

*Federal Republic of Germany, et al.*, 56 FR 31692 (July 11, 1994). See also *Allied-Signal Aerospace Co. v. United States*, 996 F.2d 1195, 1191-92 (Fed. Cir. 1993), *Krupp Stahl AG et al v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 789 (CIT May 26, 1993). Therefore, we have used the highest rate from the LTFV investigation, which was 16.57 percent, in determining the margins for these three companies for this review.

Therefore, consistent with the preliminary results, the final results for the period April 1, 1993, through March 31, 1994, are as follows:

Manufacturer/exporter	Percent margin
Samsung .....	10.47
Cosmos .....	16.57
Quantronics .....	16.57
Tongkook .....	16.57

<sup>1</sup> No shipments or sales subject to this review. Rate from last segment of the proceeding in which the firm had shipments/sales.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisement instructions on each exporter directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of CTVs entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be those rates established above; (2) For previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) If the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) If neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rates will be the "all others" rate of 13.90 percent established in the LTFV investigation (49 FR 18336). These deposit requirements will remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation

of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: July 20, 1995.

**Paul L. Joffe,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 95-18741 Filed 7-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**International Trade Administrative**

[A-583-009]

**Color Television Receivers, Except for Video Monitors, From Taiwan; Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request from Proton Electronic Industrial Co. (Proton), the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a review for that respondent on May 15, 1995, for the period April 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995. On July 13, 1995, Proton filed a timely withdrawal of its request for this review. Because there were no requests for review from other interested parties we are terminating this review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 31, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Kugelman or Michael J. Heaney, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-0649 or 482-4475, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On April 30, 1984, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (49 FR 18336) the antidumping duty order on color television receivers, except for video monitors, from Taiwan. On April 4, 1995, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 17052) the opportunity to request an administrative review. On May 1, 1995, Proton requested a review for the period April 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995. On May 15, 1995, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(c), we initiated an administrative review for the period April 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995 (60 FR 25885).

We had initiated a review for Proton covering sales of color television receivers, except for video monitors, for the period April 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995. We received a timely request for withdrawal of this request from Proton. Because there were no requests for review from other interested parties, we are terminating this review in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(3).

This termination notice is in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(3).

Dated: July 25, 1995.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 95-18742 Filed 7-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

**International Trade Administration**

**Determination Not to Revoke Antidumping Duty Orders and Findings Nor to Terminate Suspended Investigations**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Determination Not to Revoke Antidumping Duty Orders and Findings Nor to Terminate Suspended Investigations.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is notifying the public of its determination not to revoke the antidumping duty orders and findings nor to terminate the suspended investigations listed below.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 31, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Panfeld or the analyst listed under Antidumping Proceeding at: Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone (202) 482-4737.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) may revoke an antidumping duty order or finding or terminate a suspended investigation, pursuant to 19 CFR § 353.25(d)(4)(iii), if no interested party has requested an administrative review for four consecutive annual anniversary months and no domestic interested party objects to the revocation or requests an administrative review.

We had not received a request to conduct an administrative review for the most recent four consecutive annual anniversary months. Therefore, pursuant to § 353.25(d)(4)(i) of the Department's regulations, on June 1, 1995, we published in the **Federal Register** a notice of intent to revoke these antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the suspended investigations and served written notice of the intent to each domestic interested party on the Department's service list in each case. Within the specified time frame, we received objections from domestic interested parties to our intent to revoke these antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the suspended investigations. Therefore, because domestic interested parties objected to our intent to revoke or terminate, we no longer intend to revoke these antidumping duty orders and findings or to terminate the suspended investigations.

#### **Antidumping Proceeding**

A-423-077

Belgium  
Sugar

Objection Date: June 21, 1995, June 30, 1995

Objector: Florida Sugar Marketing and Terminal Association, Inc. American Sugar Cane League et. al.

Contact: Joe Fargo at (202) 482-5345

A-427-078

France

Sugar

Objection Date: June 21, 1995, June 30, 1995

Objector: Florida Sugar Marketing and Terminal Association, Inc. American Sugar Cane League et. al.

Contact: Joe Fargo at (202) 482-5345

A-428-802

Germany

Industrial Belts, except Synchronous and V belts

Objection Date: June 30, 1995

Objector: Gates Rubber Company

Contact: Zev Primor at (202) 482-4114

A-428-061

Germany

Precipitated Barium Carbonate

Objection Date: June 20, 1995

Objector: Chemical Products Corporation

Contact: Kim Moore at (202) 482-0090

A-428-082

Germany

Sugar

Objection Date: June 21, 1995, June 30, 1995

Objector: Florida Sugar Marketing and Terminal Association, Inc. American Sugar Cane League et. al.

Contact: Joe Fargo at (202) 482-5345

A-588-706

Japan

Nitrile Rubber

Objection Date: June 28, 1995

Objector: Zeon Chemicals Inc.

Contact: Sheila Forbes at (202) 482-0065

A-401-040

Sweden

Stainless Steel Plate

Objection Date: June 23, 1995

Objector: Allegheny Ludlum Steel Corporation

Contact: Michael Heaney at (202) 482-4475

A-583-080

Taiwan

Carbon Steel Plate

Objection Date: June 28, 1995

Objector: Bethlehem Steel Corporation

Contact: Michael Heaney at (202) 482-4475

A-583-505

Taiwan

Oil Country Tubular Goods

Objection Date: June 27, 1995, June 30, 1995

Objector: North Star Steel Company, Maverick Tube Corporation

Contact: Michael Heaney at (202) 482-4475

Dated: July 25, 1995.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 95-18739 Filed 7-28-95; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### **Intent to Revoke Antidumping Duty Orders and Findings and to Terminate Suspended Investigations**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Revoke Antidumping Duty Orders and Findings and to Terminate Suspended Investigations.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its intent to revoke the antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the suspended investigations listed below. Domestic interested parties

who object to these revocations and terminations must submit their comments in writing no later than the last day of September 1995.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 31, 1995.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Michael Panfeld or the analyst listed under Antidumping Proceeding at: Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone (202) 482-4737.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

The Department may revoke an antidumping duty order or finding or terminate a suspended investigation if the Secretary of Commerce concludes that it is no longer of interest to interested parties. Accordingly, as required by § 353.25(d)(4) of the Department's regulations, we are notifying the public of our intent to revoke the following antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the suspended investigations for which the Department has not received a request to conduct an administrative review for the most recent four consecutive annual anniversary months:

##### **Antidumping Proceeding**

Japan

Amorphous Silica Filament Fabric

A-588-607

52 FR 35750

September 23, 1987

Contact: Leon McNeill at (202) 482-4236

The People's Republic of China

Cotton Printcloth

A-570-101

48 FR 41614

September 16, 1983

Contact: Zev Primor at (202) 482-4114

If no interested party requests an administrative review in accordance with the Department's notice of opportunity to request administrative review, and no domestic interested party objects to the Department's intent to revoke or terminate pursuant to this notice, we shall conclude that the antidumping duty orders, findings, and suspended investigations are no longer of interest to interested parties and shall proceed with the revocation or termination.

##### **Opportunity to Object**

Domestic interested parties, as defined in § 353.2(k)(3), (4), (5), and (6) of the Department's regulations, may object to the Department's intent to revoke these antidumping duty orders