

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the schedule and agenda of a forthcoming hearing of the President's Advisory Commission on Educational Excellence for Hispanic Americans. This notice also describes the functions of the Commission. Notice of this hearing is required under Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

**DATES AND TIMES:** August 3 and 4, 1995, 8:30 a.m.–5:30 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Los Angeles Unified School District, 450 North Grand Avenue, Room H160 Los Angeles, California 90012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Sal Lopez, Special Assistant, White House Initiative on Educational Excellence for Hispanic Americans, Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 2115, Washington, D.C., 20202–3601, Telephone: (202) 401–8551.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The President's Advisory Commission on Educational Excellence for Hispanic Americans was established under Executive Order 12900 on February 22, 1994. The Commission was established to advise on Hispanic achievements of the National Goals, as well as other educational accomplishments. This hearing of the Commission is open to the public. The public is being given less than fifteen days' notice of this hearing because of administrative difficulties in scheduling the location. The Agenda includes:

August 3, 1995, Thursday, 8:30 a.m.–5:30 p.m.

Introductions and Commission update; press conference; public policy and administration; public testimony, teacher training.

August 4, 1995, Friday, 8:30 a.m.–5:30 p.m.

Local level education policy and governance; Hispanic special needs and appropriate practices; education equity issues.

Records are kept of all Commission proceedings, and are available for public inspection at the White House Initiative On Educational Excellence For Hispanic Education at 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 2115, Washington, D.C. 20202–3601 from the hours of 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Dated: July 26, 1995.

**Mario Moreno,**

Assistant Secretary, Office of Intergovernmental and Interagency Affairs.  
[FR Doc. 95–18773 Filed 7–27–95; 9:08 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–M

**Office of Management**

**Senior Executive Service: Performance Review Board**

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice of Membership of the Performance Review Board (PRB).

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given of the names of members of the Department of Education's PRB.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Althea Watson, Director, Executive Resources Team, Human Resources Group, Office of Management, Department of Education, Room 1135, FOB–10B, 600 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20202, Telephone: (202) 401–0546. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 4314 (c)(1) through (5) of Title 5, U.S.C. requires each agency to establish one or more Senior Executive Service (SES) PRBs. The Board shall review and evaluate the initial appraisal of a senior executive's performance along with any comments by senior executives and any higher level executive and make recommendations to the appointing authority relative to the performance of the senior executive.

**Membership**

The following executives of the Department of Education have been selected to serve on the Performance Review Board of the Department of Education: Rodney McCowan, Chair, Alicia Coro, Co-Chair, Mary Jean LeTendre, Philip Link, Dorothy Berry, Thomas Skelly, Carol Cichowski, Larry Oxendine, John Higgins, Gary Rasmussen, Hazel Fiers, Susan Craig, Jeanette Lim, Andrew Pepin, Charles Hansen, Thomas Hehir, Jamiene Studley, Thomas Wolanin, Therese Dozier. The following executives have been selected to serve as alternate members of the Performance Review Board: Francis Corrigan, Steven Winnick, Raymond Pierce, Eugene Garcia.

Dated July 25, 1985.

**Rodney McCowan,**

Assistant Secretary for Management.  
[FR Doc. 95–18670 Filed 7–28–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–M

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

**Notice of Application Filed With The Commission**

July 25, 1995.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Type of Application:* Surrender of Exemption.

b. *Project No:* P–9805–001.

c. *Date Filed:* July 20, 1995.

d. *Applicant:* Rockfish Corporation.

e. *Name of Project:* Woolen Mills Hydroelectric Project.

f. *Location:* On the Rivanna River, Albemarle County, Charlottesville, VA.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 USC Section 791(a)–825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* Mr. John K. Pollock, P.O. Box 265, Batesville, VA 22924, (804) 823–7330.

i. *FERC Contact:* Diane M. Murray, (202) 219–2682.

j. *Comment Date:* August 21, 1995.

k. *Description:* The exemptee requests surrender of his exemption. There have been no land or water disturbing activities at the project.

l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1 and D2.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825

North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-18668 Filed 7-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-613-000, et al.]

### **Florida Gas Transmission Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings**

July 24, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

#### **1. Florida Gas Transmission Company**

[Docket No. CP95-613-000]

Take notice that on July 13, 1995, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), 1400 Smith Street, P.O. Box 1188, Houston, Texas 77251-1188, filed in Docket No. CP95-613-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to construct and operate a small volume metering facility for the City of Clearwater, under FGT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-553-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

FGT proposes to construct and operate a new small volume facility at the existing Clearwater North Station on the 4-inch Clearwater North Lateral in Pinellas County, Florida. The proposed new metering facility will serve as an additional delivery point to Clearwater under two existing firm transportation service agreements pursuant to FGT's Rate Schedules FTS-1 and FTS-2 and under an existing interruptible transportation service agreement pursuant to FGT's Rate Schedule ITS-1. FGT indicates that Clearwater would reimburse FGT for the construction costs which is estimated to be \$37,000.

*Comment date:* September 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

#### **2. East Tennessee Natural Gas Company**

[Docket No. CP95-622-000]

Take notice that on July 17, 1995, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), a Tennessee Corporation, P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) and under its blanket authority granted September 1, 1982, in Docket No. CP82-412-000, for authorization to install a delivery point for continuing firm service to Dunlap Natural Gas (Dunlap), a municipal corporation, located in Marion County, Tennessee, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, East Tennessee states that Dunlap is replacing its distribution mainline and has requested East Tennessee to install a new delivery station at M.P. 3211-1+1.54 in Marion County, Tennessee, to replace existing station No. 75-9018 located at M.L.V. 3211-1. East Tennessee proposes to install, own, and operate and maintain a two-inch hot tap; approximately twenty-five feet of two-inch interconnecting pipe, and measurement facilities, including electronic gas measurement equipment. The hot tap and interconnecting pipe will be located on East Tennessee's existing right-of-way. The measurement facilities will be located on a site provided by Dunlap, adjacent to East Tennessee's existing right-of-way.

East Tennessee states that the total quantities to be delivered to Dunlap will not exceed the total quantities authorized. East Tennessee asserts that the establishment of the proposed delivery point is not prohibited by East Tennessee's tariff, and that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries at the proposed new delivery point without detriment or disadvantage to any of East Tennessee's other customers.

*Comment date:* September 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

#### **3. Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America**

[Docket No. CP95-624-000]

Take notice that on July 17, 1995, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural), 701 East 22nd Street, Lombard, Illinois 60148, filed in Docket

No. CP95-624-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon by sale to Lone Star Gas Company (Lone Star), one 10-inch meter and appurtenant facilities in Fashing Field, Atascosa County, Texas, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

*Comment date:* August 14, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

#### **4. Northwest Pipeline Corporation**

[Docket No. CP95-627-000]

Take notice that on July 19, 1995, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158, filed a prior notice request with the Commission in Docket No. CP95-627-000 pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to construct and operate a cross-over tie on the Grants Pass Lateral in Multnomah County, Oregon, under Northwest's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA, all as more fully set forth in the request which is open to the public for inspection.

Northwest proposes to construct and operate a 3-inch tap, two 4-inch regulators, a relief valve, and appurtenances on its 20-inch diameter Grants Pass Lateral loop line as an additional tie-in for the Reynolds Metal meter station. Northwest states that the proposed tie-in would provide an alternate means of gas supply whenever the Grants Pass Lateral line is out of service. Northwest also states that since it needs to construct the proposed tie-in in order to maintain service to Reynolds Metal whenever the Grants Pass Lateral line is out of service, Northwest would pay the estimated \$78,000 construction cost for the facilities. Northwest further states that the design capacity and delivery pressure of the meter station would not change as a result of the proposed loop line tie-in.

*Comment date:* September 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

#### **Standard Paragraphs**

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and