

trifluralin peppermint and spearmint oil FARs on grounds that trifluralin "induces cancer" within the meaning of the Delaney clause. As EPA states in this proposal, the trifluralin peppermint and spearmint oil FARs are no longer necessary. Ideally, EPA would prefer to have reached the conclusions announced in this proposal with respect to trifluralin residues in mint oils sooner. However, EPA has only recently been able to complete and release its revised policy interpreting the phrase "ready to eat," a reinterpretation that provides alternative grounds for revoking the trifluralin mint FARs. EPA had an obligation in 1993 to respond promptly to the Ninth Circuit's order in *Les v. Reilly*. Moreover, EPA did not believe it would be appropriate to delay its response to the *Les* Court's order until it had vetted the many issues raised in NFPA's petition, a petition that was filed many years after the petition that was the subject of *Les*.

Given that other, less controversial grounds for revoking these FARs have recently become available, EPA is taking this opportunity to revoke the FARs on these grounds. EPA believes that there is no need to continue to litigate the legality of its 1993 and 1994 Orders relating to trifluralin where there are less controversial grounds available to achieve the revocation of the mint FARs. Therefore, EPA will inform the Court in *DuPont v. EPA* that it is proposing these revocations.

If EPA receives no adverse comments on its notice proposing the revocation of the trifluralin mint FARs on alternative grounds, EPA will issue a final order revoking the FARs. EPA will also request that the D.C. Circuit Court remand the 1993 and 1994 Orders with respect to trifluralin so that EPA may likewise issue a final order withdrawing the trifluralin-related aspects of those Orders.

#### IV. Procedural Matters

##### A. Comments

Interested persons may comment on the following: EPA's determination that peppermint oil and spearmint oil are not ready to eat commodities; and EPA's proposal to withdraw the 1993 Order with respect to revocation of the trifluralin FARs.

If EPA receives no adverse comments on the revocation of the FARs for trifluralin in mint oils, it will issue a final order, effective upon publication, subject to objections and requests for a hearing. If a party does not submit comments on this proposal, EPA believes that it would be appropriate to

deny objections or a request for a hearing from that party.

Written comments must bear a notation indicating the document control number, [OPP-300394]. All written comments filed in response to this notice will be available for public inspection in Rm. 1132 at the address given above from 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except legal holidays.

A record has been established for this rulemaking under docket number [OPP-300394] (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Room 1132 of the Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Electronic comments can be sent directly to EPA at:

opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov.

Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

The official record for this rulemaking, as well as the public version, as described above will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, EPA will transfer all comments received electronically into printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official rulemaking record which will also include all comments submitted directly in writing. The official rulemaking record is the paper record maintained at the address in ADDRESSES at the beginning of this document.

#### V. Regulatory Requirements

##### A. Executive Order 12866

Under Executive Order 12866, the Agency must determine whether the regulatory action is "significant" and therefore subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the requirements of the Executive Order. Under the order, a "significant regulatory action" is an action that is likely to result in a rule (1) having an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more, or adversely and materially affecting a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, and the environment, public health

or safety, of State, local, or tribal governments or communities"; (2) creating serious inconsistency or otherwise interfering with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially altering the budgetary impacts of entitlement, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or (4) raising novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order. EPA has determined that this proposed rule is not a "significant" action under E.O. 12866. EPA is taking this action because it has determined that the food additive regulation for trifluralin is not needed. Therefore, the Agency expects that no economic impact will result.

##### B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The proposed regulatory action has been reviewed under the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, and, as stated above, EPA expects that it will not have any economic impacts, including impacts on small entities.

##### C. Paperwork Reduction Act

This proposal does not contain any information collection requirements subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 185

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedures, Agricultural commodities, Food additives, Pesticides and pests, Records and recordkeeping.

Dated: July 24, 1995.

**Lynn R. Goldman,**

*Assistant Administrator for Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.*

Therefore, it is proposed that 40 CFR part 185 be amended as follows:

#### PART 185—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 185 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 346a and 348.

#### § 185.5900 [Removed]

2. By removing § 185.5900 *Trifluralin*.

[FR Doc. 95-18621 Filed 7-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

## COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

### 41 CFR Part 51-5

#### Mandatory Source Requirement

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This proposed rule revises the Committee's mandatory source requirement regulation to permit sales of Javits-Wagner-O'Day (JWOD) products to the Government through commercial distributors as well as the Committee's traditional sources of supply.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before September 26, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Crystal Square 3, Suite 403, 1735 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3461

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** G. John Heyer, (703) 603-7740. Copies of this notice will be made available on request in computer diskette format.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Entities of the Government desiring to buy commodities and services which are on the Committee's Procurement List are required by law (41 U.S.C. 48) to buy them from a qualified nonprofit agency designated by the Committee at the fair market price established by the Committee, in accordance with the Committee's rules and regulations. The Committee has traditionally interpreted this statutory mandate as requiring a direct buying relationship between a Government entity and a nonprofit agency. The Committee's mandatory source requirement regulation, 41 CFR 51-5.2, is based on this interpretation.

In light of ongoing changes in Federal procurement, the Committee has reexamined its traditional interpretation of its statute and has concluded that the regulatory authority it has been granted allows it to prescribe by regulation that its products may be procured through commercial distributors. As Government distributors such as the General Services Administration and the Defense Logistics Agency have long been providing these products to Government agencies, the Committee does not believe that this new interpretation is a departure from the statutory scheme which Congress established for the Committee to create jobs for people who are blind or have other severe disabilities by requiring Government agencies to purchase

commodities and services from nonprofit agencies which employ these people.

The current version of the mandatory source requirement regulation mentions the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) as one of the Government central supply agencies which distribute commodities produced by the JWOD Program. Because VA has closed its depot system, a specific reference to VA does not appear in the proposed regulation. The proposed regulation retains the requirement that persons providing commodities to Government agencies by contract are required to order them from the same Committee-authorized sources the Government agencies would use if they bought the commodities directly.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

I certify that this proposed revision of the Committee regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because the revision clarifies program policies and does not essentially change the impact of the regulations on small entities.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply to this proposed rule because it contains no information collection or recordkeeping requirements as defined in that Act and its regulations.

#### Executive Order No. 12866

The Committee has been exempted from the regulatory review requirements of the Executive Order by the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs. Additionally, the proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action as defined in the Executive Order.

#### List of Subjects in 41 CFR Part 51-5

Government procurement, Handicapped.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, Part 51-5 of Title 41, Chapter 51 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as follows:

#### PART 51-5—CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS

1. The authority citation for Part 51-5 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 41 U.S.C. 46-48c.

2. Section 51-5.2 is amended by revising paragraphs (b) and (c), removing paragraphs (d) and (e), and redesignating paragraph (f) as paragraph (d), to read as follows:

#### § 51-5.2 Mandatory source requirement.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) Purchases of commodities on the Procurement List by entities of the Government shall be made from sources authorized by the Committee. These sources may include nonprofit agencies, central nonprofit agencies, Government central supply agencies such as the Defense Logistics Agency and the General Services Administration, and certain commercial distributors. Identification of the authorized sources for a particular commodity may be obtained from the central nonprofit agencies at the addresses noted in § 51-6.2 of this chapter.

(c) Contracting activities shall require other persons providing commodities which are on the Procurement List to entities of the Government by contract to order these commodities from the sources authorized by the Committee.

\* \* \* \* \*  
Dated: July 25, 1995.

**Beverly L. Milkman,**

*Executive Director.*

[FR Doc. 95-18577 Filed 7-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-33-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 92-245; RM-8026]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Frederiksted, VI

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; dismissal of.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission dismisses the petition for rule making filed by Jose J. Arzuaga, proposing the allotment of Channel 298A at Frederiksted, Virgin Islands, as its second local FM transmission service. See 57 FR 54543 November 19, 1992. The petitioner has abandoned its interest in a Class A allotment at Frederiksted, and there are no other timely expressions of interest for the channel. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sharon P. McDonald, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Report and Order*, MM Docket No. 92-245, adopted July 14, 1995, and released July 25, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased