(b) Failure to respond within 30 days or such other time period as may be specified by the Farm Credit Administration shall constitute a waiver of any objections to the proposed capital directive.

§ 615.5358 Decision.
After the closing date of the institution’s response period, or receipt of the institution’s response, if earlier, the Farm Credit Administration may seek additional information or clarification of the response. Thereafter, the Farm Credit Administration will determine whether or not to issue a capital directive, and if one is to be issued, whether it should be as originally proposed or in modified form.

§ 615.5359 Issuance of a capital directive.
(a) A capital directive will be served by delivery to the institution. It will include or be accompanied by a statement of reasons for its issuance.
(b) A capital directive is effective immediately upon its receipt by the institution, or upon such later date as may be specified therein, and shall remain effective and enforceable until it is stayed, modified, or terminated by the Farm Credit Administration.

§ 615.5360 Reconsideration based on change in circumstances.
Upon a change in circumstances, an institution may request the Farm Credit Administration to reconsider the terms of its capital directive or may propose changes in the plan to achieve the institution’s applicable minimum capital ratios. The Farm Credit Administration also may take such action on its own motion. The Farm Credit Administration may decline to consider requests or proposals that are not based on a significant change in circumstances or are repetitive or frivolous. Pending a decision on reconsideration, the capital directive and plan shall continue in full force and effect.

§ 615.5361 Relation to other administrative actions.
A capital directive may be issued in addition to, or in lieu of, any other action authorized by law, including cease and desist proceedings, civil money penalties, or the conditioning or denial of applications. The Farm Credit Administration also may, in its discretion, take any action authorized by law, in lieu of a capital directive, in response to an institution’s failure to achieve or maintain the applicable minimum capital ratios.

PART 618—GENERAL PROVISIONS
14. The authority citation for part 618 continues to read as follows:
Authority: Secs. 1.5, 1.11, 1.12, 2.2, 2.4, 2.5, 2.12, 3.1, 3.7, 4.12, 4.13A, 4.25, 4.29, 5.9, 5.10, 5.17, of the Farm Credit Act (12 U.S.C. 2013, 2019, 2020, 2073, 2075, 2076, 2093, 2122, 2128, 2133, 2200, 2211, 2218, 2243, 2244, 2252).

Subpart J—Internal Controls
§ 618.8440 [Amended]
15. Section 618.8440 is amended by removing the reference “§ 615.5200(b)” and adding in its place, the references “§§ 615.5200(b), 615.5330 (c) or (d), and 615.5335(b)” in paragraph (b)(6).

PART 620—DISCLOSURE TO SHAREHOLDERS
16. The authority citation for part 620 continues to read as follows:

Subpart B—Annual Report to Shareholders
17. Section 620.5 is amended by revising paragraphs (d)(1)(ix) and (g)(4)(ii) to read as follows:
§ 620.5 Contents of the annual report to shareholders.
* * * * *
(d) * *
(1) * * *
(ix) The statutory and regulatory restriction regarding retirement of stock and distribution of earnings pursuant to § 615.5213, and any requirements to add capital under a plan approved by the Farm Credit Administration pursuant to §§ 615.5330, 615.5335, 615.5351, or 615.5357.
* * * * *
(g) * *
(4) * *
(ii) Describe any material trends or changes in the mix and cost of debt and capital resources. The discussion shall consider changes in protected borrower capital, permanent capital, surplus requirements and collateral position, debt, risk-sharing agreements, and any off-balance-sheet financing arrangements.
* * * * *
Floyd Fithian,
Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board.
[FR Doc. 95–18471 Filed 7–26–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Minerals Management Service
30 CFR Part 211
Amendments of Regulations to Establish Liability for Royalty Due on Federal and Indian Leases, and to Establish Responsibility to Pay and Report Royalty and Other Payments
AGENCY: Minerals Management Service, Interior.
ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of extension of public comment period.
SUMMARY: The Minerals Management Service (MMS) hereby gives notice that it is extending the public comment period on a Notice of Proposed Rule, which was published in the Federal Register on June 9, 1995. The proposed rule would establish and clarify which persons may be held liable for unpaid or underpaid royalties, compensatory royalties, or other payments on Federal and Indian mineral leases. In response to requests for additional time, MMS will extend the comment period from August 8, 1995, to September 8, 1995.
DATES: Comments must be received by 12:00 midnight, 4:00 p.m. mountain time on September 8, 1995.
ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to the Minerals Management Service, Building 85, Denver Federal Center, P.O. Box 25165, Mail Stop 3101, Denver, Colorado 80225–0165, Attention: David S. Guzy.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David S. Guzy, Chief, Rules and Procedures Staff, telephone (303) 231–3432 or (FTS) 231–3432.
James W. Shaw,
Associate Director for Royalty Management.
[FR Doc. 95–18471 Filed 7–26–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement
30 CFR Part 936
[SPATS No. OK–016–FOR]
Oklahoma Regulatory Program
AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.
ACTION: Proposed rule; public comment period and opportunity for public hearing.
SUMMARY: OSM is announcing receipt of a proposed amendment to the Oklahoma regulatory program (hereinafter referred
to as the “Oklahoma program”) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The proposed amendment consists of a revision to the Oklahoma rules pertaining to procedures for assessment conference. The proposed amendment is intended to revise the Oklahoma program to improve operational efficiency.

DATES: Written comments must be received by 4:00 p.m., c.d.t. August 28, 1995. If requested, a public hearing on the proposed amendment will be held on August 21, 1995. Requests to speak at the hearing must be received by 4:00 p.m., c.d.t. on August 11, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and requests to speak at the hearing should be mailed or hand delivered to Tim Dieringer, Acting Director, Tulsa Field Office at the first address listed below.

Copies of the Oklahoma program, the proposed amendment, a listing of any scheduled public hearings, and all written comments received in response to this document will be available for public review at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Each requester may receive one free copy of the proposed amendment by contacting OSM’s Tulsa Field Office.

Tim Dieringer, Acting Director, Tulsa Field Office, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 5100 East Skelly Drive, Suite 470, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74135–6547, Telephone: (918) 581–6430.

Oklahoma Department of Mines, 4040 N. Lincoln, Suite 107, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105, Telephone (405) 521–3859.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Dieringer, Acting Director, Tulsa Field Office, Telephone: (918) 581–6430.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background on the Oklahoma Program

On January 19, 1981, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Oklahoma program. Background information on the Oklahoma program, including the Secretary’s findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval can be found in the January 19, 1981, Federal Register (46 FR 4902). Subsequent actions concerning Oklahoma’s program and program amendments can be found at 30 CFR 936.10, 936.15, 936.16, and 936.30.

II. Discussion of the Proposed Amendment

By letter dated July 5, 1995, Oklahoma submitted a proposed amendment to its program pursuant to SMCRA (Administrative Record No. OK–972). Oklahoma submitted the proposed amendment at its own initiative. The provisions of the Oklahoma rules that Oklahoma proposes to amend are at Oklahoma Administrative Code (OAC) 460:20–61–10 concerning procedures for assessment conference.

Specifically, Oklahoma proposes to revise OAC 460:20–61–10(a)(1) by adding the word “original” in the second sentence. The effect of this proposed wording change is that assessment conferences would be held within 60 days from the date of issuance of the proposed assessment or the end of the original abatement period, whichever is later.

III. Public Comment Procedures

In accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), OSM is seeking comments on whether the proposed amendment satisfies the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If the amendment is deemed adequate, it will become part of the Oklahoma program.

Written Comments

Written comments should be specific, pertinent only to the issues proposed in this rulemaking, and include explanations in support of the commenter’s recommendations. Comments received after the time indicated under DATES or at locations other than the Tulsa Field Office will not necessarily be considered in the final rulemaking or included in the Administrative Record.

Public Hearing

Persons wishing to speak at the public hearing should contact Tim Dieringer, Acting Director, Tulsa Field Office, Telephone: (918) 581–6430. Any disabled individual who has a need for a special accommodation to attend a public hearing should contact the individual listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Public Meeting

If only one person requests an opportunity to speak at a hearing, a public meeting, rather than a public hearing, may be held. Persons wishing to meet with OSM representatives to discuss the proposed amendment may request a meeting by contacting the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. All such meetings will be open to the public and, if possible, notices of meetings will be posted at the locations listed under ADDRESSES. A written summary of each meeting will be made a part of the Administrative Record.

IV. Procedural Determinations

Executive Order 12866

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

Executive Order 12778

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 2 of Executive Order 12778 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d))
provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

Paperwork Reduction Act
This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 et seq.).

Regulatory Flexibility Act
The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon corresponding Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the corresponding Federal regulations.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 936
Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Charles E. Sandberg,
Acting Regional Director, Mid-Continent Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 95–18437 Filed 7–26–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–05–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52
[CA 37–3–7097; FRL–5264–9]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; Butte County Air Pollution Control District, Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, and Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from the manufacture and application of cutback and emulsified asphalt materials.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAAA or the Act). EPA’s final action on this notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) will incorporate these rules into the federally approved SIP. EPA has evaluated each of these rules and is proposing to approve them under provisions of the CAAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals, SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards, and plan requirements for nonattainment areas.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before August 28, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to: Daniel A. Meier, Rulemaking Section [A–5–3], Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA’s evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA’s Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 “L” Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, Butte County Air Pollution Control District, 9287 Midway, Suite 1A, Durham, CA 95938, Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, 15428 Civic Drive, Victorville, CA 92392, Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, 24580 Silver Cloud Court, Monterey, CA 93940, Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive B–23, Goleta, CA 93117, Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District, 1947 Galileo Court, Suite 1A, Davis, CA 95616.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia A. Bowlin, Rulemaking Section [A–5–3], Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, (415) 744–1188.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicability
The rules being proposed for approval into the California SIP include: Butte County Air Pollution Control District (BCAPCD) Rule 241, Cutback and Emulsified Asphalt; Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD) Rule 1103, Cutback and Emulsified Asphalt; Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD) Rule 425, Use of Cutback Asphalt; Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (SBAPCD) Rule 329, Cutback and Emulsified Asphalt Paving Materials; and Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District (YSAQMD) Rule 2.28, Cutback and Emulsified Asphalts. These rules were submitted by the California Air Resources Board to EPA on May 13, 1993; December 22, 1994; November 18, 1993; June 19, 1992; and November 30, 1994 respectively.

Background
On March 3, 1978, EPA promulgated a list of ozone nonattainment areas under the provisions of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977 (1977 CAAA or pre-amended Act), that included the Chico Area, the Southeast Desert Modified AQMA Area, the Monterey Bay Area, the Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc Area, and the Sacramento Metro Area. 43 FR 8964; 40 CFR 81.305. Because these areas (with the exception of the Chico Area) were unable to meet the statutory attainment date of December 31, 1982, California requested under section 172(a)(2), and EPA approved, an extension of the attainment date to December 31, 1987. [40 CFR 52.222] On May 26, 1988, EPA notified the Governor of California, pursuant to section 110(a)(2)(H) of the pre-amended Act, that the above districts’ portions of the California SIP were inadequate to attain and maintain the ozone standard and requested that deficiencies in the existing SIP be corrected (EPA’s SIP-Call). On November 15, 1990, the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 were enacted. Pub. L. 101–549, 104 Stat. 2399, codified at 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. In amended section 182(a)(2)(A) of the CAAA, Congress statutorily adopted the requirement that nonattainment areas fix their deficient reasonably available control technology (RACT) rules for ozone and established a deadline of May 15, 1991 for states to submit corrections of those deficiencies.

Section 182(a)(2)(A) applies to areas designated as nonattainment prior to enactment of the amendments and classified as marginal or above as of the