Note: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(e) The modifications shall be done in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767-57-0043, Revision 1, dated May 6, 1993; Boeing Service Bulletin 767-57-0043, Revision 2, dated September 16, 1993; or Boeing Service Bulletin 767-57-0043, Revision 3, dated February 2, 1995; as applicable. The incorporation by reference of Boeing Service Bulletin 767-57-0043, Revision 3, dated February 2, 1995, is approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. The incorporation by reference of the remainder of the service documents listed above was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51 as of January 31, 1994 (58 FR 69221, December 30, 1993). Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(f) This amendment becomes effective on August 24, 1995. Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 6, 1995.

Darrell M. Pederson,
Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 95-17902 Filed 7-24-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 73
[Airspace Docket No. 95±AGL–3]

Change Time of Designation for Restricted Areas R±6903 Sheboygan, R±6904A and R±6904B, Volk Field; WI

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action reduces the time of designation for Restricted Areas R±6903, Sheboygan, R±6904A, and R±6904B, Volk Field; WI. The Department of the Air Force has reviewed current requirements for these areas and determined that the current designated times may be reduced. This action increases the availability of restricted airspace for public use.

EFFECTIVE DATE: 0900 UTC, September 14, 1995.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Rule

This amendment to part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations amends the time of designation for Restricted Areas R±6903, R±6904A, and R±6904B. The time of designation for R±6903 is reduced from “Continuous, sunrise to sunset,” to “Intermittent by NOTAM.” The time of designation for R±6904A and R±6904B is reduced from “Sunrise to 1900 local time, other times by NOTAM,” to “0800–1600 local time, Tuesday through Saturday, other times by NOTAM.” I find that notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are unnecessary because this action is a minor technical amendment in which the public would not be particularly interested. Section 73.69 of part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations was republished in FAA Order 7400.8B dated March 9, 1994.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Environmental Review

The action reduces the restricted areas time of designation. In accordance with FAA Order 1050.1D, “Policies and Procedures for Considering Environmental Impacts,” this action is not subject to environmental assessments and procedures and the National Environmental Policy Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 73
Airspace, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:


§ 73.69 [Amended]

2. Section 73.69 is amended as follows:

R–6903 Sheboygan, WI [Amended]
By removing the existing “Time of designation. Continuous, sunrise to sunset,” and substituting the following: “Time of designation. Intermittent by NOTAM.”
R–6904A Volk Field, WI [Amended]
By removing the existing “Time of designation. Sunrise to 1900 local time. Other times by NOTAM.” and substituting the following: “Time of designation. 0800–1600 local time, Tuesday through Saturday. Other times by NOTAM.”
R–6904B Volk Field, WI [Amended]
By removing the existing “Time of designation. Sunrise to 1900 local time. Other times by NOTAM.” and substituting the following: “Time of designation. 0800–1600 local time, Tuesday through Saturday. Other times by NOTAM.”

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 12, 1995.

Nancy B. Kalinowski,
Acting Manager, Airspace–Rules and Aeronautical Information Division.

[FR Doc. 95–17902 Filed 7–24–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

30 CFR Part 935
[OH–235; Amendment Number 70R]

Ohio Regulatory Program

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

ACTION: Final rule; approval of amendment.

SUMMARY: OSM is announcing the approval of a proposed amendment to the Ohio regulatory program (hereinafter referred to as the Ohio program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The
amendment was initiated by Ohio and is intended to make the Ohio program as effective as the corresponding Federal regulations concerning the frequency of inspections at abandoned coal mining operations.  

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 25, 1995.  

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Beverly C. Brock, Acting Director, Columbus Field Office, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 4480 Refugee Road, Suite 201, Columbus, Ohio 43232; Telephone: (614) 866-0578.  

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**  
I. Background on the Ohio Program  
II. Discussion of the Proposed Amendment  
III. Director's Findings  
IV. Summary and Disposition of Comments  
V. Director's Decision  
VI. Procedural Determinations.  

### I. Background on the Ohio Program  
On August 16, 1982, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Ohio program. Information on the general background of the Ohio program, including the Secretary's findings, the disposition of comments, and a detailed explanation of the conditions of approval of the Ohio program, can be found in the August 10, 1982, Federal Register (47 FR 34688). Subsequent actions concerning the conditions of approval and program amendments are identified at 30 CFR 935.11, 935.15, and 935.16.  

### II. Discussion of the Proposed Amendment  
The Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Reclamation (Ohio) submitted proposed Program Amendment Number 70 by letter dated March 28, 1995 (Administrative Record No. OH–2104). In this amendment, Ohio proposed to revise one rule at OAC section 1501:13–14–01 paragraph (A)(3)(c)(ii). OSM announced receipt of PA 70R in the June 16, 1995, Federal Register (60 FR 31661), and in the same document, opened the public comment period and provided an opportunity for a public hearing on the adequacy of the proposed amendment. The public comment period closed on July 3, 1995.  

### III. Director's Findings  
Set forth below, pursuant to SMCRA and the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 732.15 and 732.17, are the Director's findings concerning the proposed amendment to the Ohio program. Only substantive changes to Ohio's rules are discussed below. Rule revisions which are not discussed below concern editorial changes intended to improve the clarity and readability of the rules.  

#### A. Revisions to Ohio's Regulations That Are Substantively Identical to the Corresponding Provisions of the Federal Regulations  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State regulations (OAC section 1501:13–14–01)</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Federal regulations (30 CFR Part 840)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A)(3) ...................................</td>
<td>Definition of &quot;Abandoned Coal Mining and Reclamation Operation&quot;</td>
<td>840.11(g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) ......................................</td>
<td>Alternative inspection frequency at abandoned sites.</td>
<td>840.11(h)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because the above proposed revisions are identical in meaning to the corresponding Federal regulations, the Director finds that these proposed rules are no less effective than the Federal rules.  

#### B. Revisions to the Ohio's Regulations With No Corresponding Federal Provisions  
1. OAC Section 1501:13–14–01  
   Paragraph (A)(4)  

Ohio is revising its definition of "active coal mining and reclamation operation" to mean an operation other than an inactive or abandoned coal mining reclamation operation. Although there is no corresponding Federal definition of this term, the Director finds that Ohio's definition is not inconsistent with the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 840.11 or with the revisions which Ohio is making elsewhere in this rule.  

### IV. Summary and Disposition of Comments  

#### Public Comments  
On April 11 and June 16, 1995, the Director solicited public comments and provided an opportunity for a public hearing on the proposed amendment. No public comments were received. No public hearings were held as no one requested the opportunity to provide testimony.  

#### Agency Comments  
Pursuant to 30 CFR 732.17(h)(11)(i), the Director solicited comments on the proposed amendment from the Regional Director of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and from the heads of four other Federal agencies and one State agency with an actual or potential interest in the Ohio program.  

The EPA commented that abandoned sites can result in acid or other polluted mine drainage which may vary in severity over the seasons. EPA stated that it is important that Ohio consider this seasonal variation and other variable factors when determining the necessary inspection frequency. One inspection per year may be reasonable for non-polluting abandoned sites. However, Ohio should inspect polluting abandoned sites more frequently than one per year to assess changes in severity and the priority of the site for environmental cleanup. Polluting abandoned sites with forfeited reclamation bond should be reclaimed as soon as possible. If forfeited bonds are not sufficient to cover reclamation costs, Ohio should pursue the responsible party for available resources.  

The Director concurs with EPA's comments and OSM and Ohio staff have discussed these comments. Ohio will consider actual existing pollutants, seasonal variation, and potential generation of pollutants in evaluating and establishing any modified inspection frequency at abandoned sites. Ohio will give priority to reclaiming polluting forfeited sites and will seek recovery of any additional funds necessary within its statutory authority.  

Nonsubstantive comments were also received from the Mine Safety and Health Administration. No other agency comments were received.  

#### V. Director's Decision  
Based on the above findings, the Director approves the proposed amendment as submitted by Ohio on March 28, 1995, and revised on May 31, 1995.
The Federal regulations at 30 CFR part 935 codifying decisions concerning the Ohio program are being amended to implement this decision. This final rule is being made effective immediately to expedite the State program amendment process and to encourage States to conform their programs with the Federal standards without undue delay. Consistency of State and Federal standards is required by SMCRA.

Effect of Director's Decision

Section 503 of SMCRA provides that a State may not exercise jurisdiction under SMCRA unless the State program is approved by the Secretary. Similarly, 30 CFR 732.17(a) requires that any alteration of an approved State program be submitted to OSM for review as a program amendment. Thus, any changes to a State program are not enforceable until approved by OSM. The Federal regulations at 30 CFR 732.17(g) prohibit any unilateral changes to approved programs. In the oversight of the Ohio program, the Director will recognize only the approved program, together with any consistent implementing policies, directives, and other materials, and will require the enforcement by Ohio of such provisions.

IV. Procedural Determinations

Executive Order 12866

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

Executive Order 12778

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 2 of Executive Order 12778 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15 and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 et seq.).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon corresponding Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the corresponding Federal regulations.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 935

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: July 17, 1995.

Allen D. Klein,
Regional Director, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, Title 30, Chapter VII, Subchapter T of the Code of Federal regulations is amended as set forth below:

PART 935—OHIO

1. The authority citation for Part 935 continues to read as follows: Authority: 30 U.S.C. 1201 et seq.

2. Section 935.15 is amended by adding new paragraph (yyy) to read as follows:

§ 935.15 Approval of regulatory program amendments.
* * * * *

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
Office of Foreign Assets Control

31 CFR Part 550

Libyan Sanctions Regulations; Specially Designated Nationals List

AGENCY: Office of Foreign Assets Control, Treasury.

ACTION: Final rule; amendments to the list of specially designated nationals.

SUMMARY: The Office of Foreign Assets Control is amending the Libyan Sanctions Regulations to add three hotels in Malta, Mistra Village Ltd., Hotel Milano Due, and Marina San Gorg Co. Ltd., to appendix A, Organizations Determined to be Within the Term “Government of Libya” (Specially Designated Nationals of Libya).


ADDRESS: Copies of the list of persons whose property is blocked pursuant to the Libyan Sanctions Regulations are available upon request at the following location: Office of Foreign Assets Control, U.S. Department of the Treasury, Annex, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20220. The full list of persons blocked pursuant to economic sanctions programs administered by the Office of Foreign Assets Control is available electronically on The Federal Bulletin Board and Treasury's Electronic Library (see Supplementary Information).


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Availability

This document is available as an electronic file on The Federal Bulletin Board the day of publication in the Federal Register. By modem dial 202/512–1387 and type "GO/FAC" or call 202/512–1530 for disks or paper copies. This file is available for downloading in WordPerfect 5.1, ASCII, and Postscript formats. The document is also accessible for downloading in ASCII format without charge from Treasury's