

cash deposits in all current and future administrative reviews.

Because this proceeding is governed by an antidumping finding, and we are unable to ascertain the "All Others" rate from the Treasury LTFV investigation, the "All Others" rate for the purposes of this review would normally be the "new shipper" rate established in the first notice of final results of administrative review published by the Department. However, a "new shipper" rate was not established or ascertainable in that notice. Therefore, for the purposes of this review, we have drawn the "All Others" rate of 5.56 percent from the final results of administrative review of this finding conducted by the Department generally for the period December 1, 1980 through November 30, 1982. See *Elemental Sulphur from Canada; Final Results of Administrative Review of Antidumping Finding*, 48 FR 53592 (November 28, 1983).

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: July 17, 1995.

**Susan G. Esserman,**

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-18136 Filed 7-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**[A-570-840]**

**Amended Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Antidumping Duty Investigation of Manganese Metal From the People's Republic of China**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 24, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Boyland or Sue Strumbel, Office of Countervailing Investigations, Import Administration, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4198 and 482-1442, respectively.

### Scope of Investigation

The scope of this investigation, manganese metal, is fully described in the preliminary determination (see *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination: Manganese Metal from the People's Republic of China* 60 FR 3182, (June 14, 1995)).

### Case History

On June 6, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) made its affirmative preliminary determination of sales at less than fair value in the above-cited investigation concerning subject merchandise from the People's Republic of China. On June 20, 1995, respondents in this investigation, China National Electronics Import & Export Hunan Company (CEIEC), China Hunan International Economic Development Corporation (HIED), China Metallurgical Import & Export Hunan Corp. (CMIECHN), and Minmetal Precious & Rare Minerals Import & Export Co. (Minmetal), alleged that the Department made two ministerial errors in the preliminary determinations and requested that the Department correct these ministerial errors accordingly.

### Amendment of Preliminary Determination

Since a preliminary determination only establishes estimated margins, which are subject to verification and which may change at the final determination, the Department does not routinely amend preliminary determinations. However, the Department has stated that it will amend a preliminary determination to correct significant ministerial errors (see *Amendment to Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Welded Stainless Steel Pipes from Taiwan*, 57 FR 33492 (July 29, 1992).)

In the preliminary determination of this investigation, the calculation of HIED's foreign market value (FMV) double counted material input costs. Additionally, with respect to HIED and the other companies for which margins were calculated, the Department added freight to the input cost of manganese ore. (Note: the addition of freight was despite the fact that the Department determined that freight costs were already reflected in the input cost of manganese ore (see June 6, 1995

concurrence memorandum to the Deputy Assistant Secretary)).

The Department considers the above-referenced errors to be ministerial errors pursuant to 19 CFR 353.28(d) (see June 29, 1995 Clerical Error Memorandum to the Deputy Assistant Secretary). With respect to HIED's original margin at the preliminary determination, the correction of these errors results in a change which is (1) greater than 5 absolute percentage points, and is (2) greater than 25 percent of the margin at the preliminary determination. Accordingly, these errors are considered significant ministerial errors. The ministerial errors alleged by respondents that relate to all other companies are not significant and therefore will not be corrected in this amended preliminary notice.

At the preliminary determination, HIED's margin was the highest calculated margin and was higher than the highest margin in the petition, as recalculated by the Department. Accordingly, HIED's margin was used as the PRC-wide rate. Because Minmetal's margin is now the highest calculated margin and is higher than the highest margin in the petition, as recalculated by the Department, Minmetal's margin is now the PRC-wide rate.

### Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 733(d)(2) of the Act, the Department will direct the U.S. Customs Service to continue to require a cash deposit or posting of bond on all entries of subject merchandise from the People's Republic of China at the rates indicated below, that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The suspension of liquidation will remain in effect until further notice. The revised company-specific rate for HIED and the PRC-wide rate, as well as those rates which have not changed are as follows:

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Margin percent
CEIEC .....	132.22
CMIECHN/CNIECHN .....	82.44
HIED .....	57.18
Minmetal .....	148.24
PRC-Wide Rate .....	148.24

### ITC Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of the amended preliminary determination. If our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine whether imports of the subject merchandise are materially injuring, or threaten material

injury to, the U.S. industry, before the later of 120 days after the date of the original preliminary determination (June 6, 1995) or 45 days after our final determination.

This notice is published pursuant to section 733(f) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.15(a)(4).

Dated: July 17, 1995.

**Susan G. Esserman,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-18138 Filed 7-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-401-603]

**Stainless Steel Hollow Products From Sweden: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent To Revoke Order In Part**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review, and intent to revoke order in part.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request from AL Tech Specialty Steel Corporation (AL Tech) and the United Steelworkers of America (USWA), the only petitioners in this proceeding who are involved in the production of seamless stainless steel hollow products (SSHP), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating a changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review and issuing an intent to revoke in part the antidumping duty order on SSHP from Sweden, the scope of which currently includes both seamless and welded SSHP. AL Tech and USWA requested that the Department revoke the order in part as to imports of seamless SSHP. AL Tech also requested that this partial revocation of seamless SSHP be retroactive to the beginning of the 1990/1991 administrative review (i.e., December 1, 1990). Based on the fact, that this order is no longer of interest to domestic parties, we intend to partially revoke this order.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 24, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Amy S. Wei or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5253.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On October 9, 1987, the Department published the final determination in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation (52 FR 37810), which covered both seamless and welded SSHP. The International Trade Commission (ITC) found no injury due to imports of welded SSHP (52 FR 45256, November 25, 1987), and subsequently, the Department published an antidumping duty order and amended final determination, which included only seamless SSHP (52 FR 45985, December 3, 1987).

Following the negative injury determination concerning welded SSHP, the petitioners filed suit against the ITC in the Court of International Trade (CIT), and the CIT remanded the negative determination to the ITC. Upon remand, the ITC did find injury with respect to welded SSHP, and issued an amended final affirmative injury determination for welded SSHP, which the CIT affirmed on November 11, 1990, and which the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit upheld on September 8, 1992. Subsequently, the Department published an amended antidumping duty order to include welded SSHP in the scope of the order (57 FR 52761, November 5, 1992).

On February 9, 1995, AL Tech and USWA requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances administrative review to determine whether to partially revoke the order with regard to seamless SSHP. The order with regard to imports of welded SSHP is not affected by this request. In addition, the petitioners informed the Department that they have canvassed interested parties known to them to be actively involved in the production of seamless SSHP in the United States, and did not find any opposition to the revocation of the order with regard to seamless SSHP. Furthermore, AL Tech and USWA requested that the partial revocation on seamless SSHP be effective retroactive to December 1, 1990, which is the beginning of the period for the currently pending fourth and fifth administrative reviews.

**Scope of Review**

The merchandise covered by this changed circumstances review are seamless stainless steel hollow products including pipes, tubes, hollow bars, and blanks of circular cross section, containing over 11.5 percent chromium by weight. This merchandise is currently classified under subheadings 7304.41.00 and 7304.49.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). The

HTS numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

This changed circumstance administrative review covers all manufacturers/exporters of seamless SSHP from Sweden.

**Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent To Revoke Order In Part**

Pursuant to section 751(d) and 782(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department may partially revoke an antidumping duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (i.e., a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances administrative review to be conducted upon receipt of a request containing sufficient information concerning changed circumstances.

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 353.25(d)(2) permit the Department to conduct a changed circumstances administrative review under § section 353.22(f) based upon an affirmative statement of no interest from the petitioner in the proceeding. Section 353.25(d)(1)(i) further provides that the Department may revoke an order or revoke an order in part if it determines that the order under review is no longer of interest to interested parties. In addition, in the event that the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted, § 353.22(f)(4) of the regulations permits the Department to combine the notices of initiation and preliminary results.

Therefore, in accordance with sections 751(d) and 782(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.25(d) and 353.22(f), based on an affirmative statement of no interest in the proceeding by AL Tech and USWA, we are initiating this changed circumstances administrative review. Further, based on the representation made by the petitioners that other U.S. producers and potential producers of this merchandise have no interest in the order regarding seamless SSHP, we have determined that expedited action is warranted, and we have preliminarily determined that the order regarding seamless SSHP no longer is of interest to domestic interested parties. Because we have concluded that expedited action is warranted, we are combining these notices of initiation and preliminary results. Therefore, we are hereby notifying the public of our intent to revoke in part the antidumping duty order as to imports of seamless SSHP from Sweden.