

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 6, 1995.

**Darrell M. Pederson,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane  
Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*  
[FR Doc. 95-17033 Filed 7-21-95; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Customs Service

#### 19 CFR Part 10

[T.D. 95-31]

RIN 1515-AB53

#### **Express Consignments; Formal and Informal Entries of Merchandise; Administrative Exemptions; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Customs Service, Treasury.  
**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document makes a correction to the document published in the **Federal Register** which adopted final rules implementing two Customs Modernization provisions of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act concerning raising administrative exemptions and exempting from entry requirements specified merchandise. The document also clarified the entry procedures for shipments by express consignment operators or carriers.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This correction is effective July 24, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gregory R. Vilders, Attorney, Regulations Branch, (202) 482-6930.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On April 14, 1995, Customs published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 18983) T.D. 95-31 which adopted final rules to implement two Customs Modernization provisions of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act concerning raising administrative exemptions and exempting from entry requirements specified merchandise. The document also clarified the entry procedures for shipments by express consignment operators or carriers.

This document corrects an editing error contained in the final rule document (T.D. 95-31) that amended the interim rule document (T.D. 94-51), which revised § 10.151. In the interim rule document, § 10.151 was revised, in part, to provide for certain documentary forms of evidence to establish fair retail value for purposes of obtaining an exemption from duty. As revised, the interim language of the pertinent clause

read "as evidenced by the bill of lading (or other document filed as the entry) or manifest listing each bill of lading." In the final rule document an additional form of evidence was added—oral declarations—to the documentary forms already provided for. However, in adding this new form of evidence, the amendatory language failed to properly place the words "an oral declaration" between the words "as evidenced by" and "the", with the result that the subject clause now reads "as evidenced by the, an oral declaration."

Accordingly, this document corrects that editing error by adding the words "an oral declaration" after the words "as evidenced by" so that the corrected clause will read as follows: "As evidenced by an oral declaration, the bill of lading (or other document filed as the entry), or the manifest listing each bill of entry".

#### **Correction of Publication**

Accordingly, the final rule publication of April 14, 1995 (T.D. 95-31) (60 FR 18983), is corrected as follows:

##### **§ 10.151 [Corrected]**

On page 18990, in the third column under the heading Part 10, the second amendatory instruction is corrected to read as follows: 2. In § 10.151, add the words "an oral declaration," following the words "as evidenced by" in the first sentence.

Dated: July 14, 1995.

**Harold M. Singer,**

*Chief, Regulations Branch.*

[FR Doc. 95-17984 Filed 7-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-02-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 63

[FRL-5260-3]

#### **Approval of Existing Federally Enforceable State and Local Operating Permit Programs To Limit Potential To Emit for Hazardous Air Pollutants; State of Alabama; Knox County, Tennessee**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** On January 25, 1995, the State of Alabama through the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) submitted a letter requesting approval of the State's existing Federally enforceable state

operating permits (FESOP) program under section 112(l) of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA). On February 6, 1995, Knox County, Tennessee through the Knox County Department of Air Pollution Control (KCDAPC) submitted a letter requesting approval of the County's existing Federally enforceable local operating permits (FELOP) program under section 112(l) of the CAA. The two agencies submitted these requests to provide each Agency the ability to issue Federally enforceable operating permits to hazardous air pollutant (HAP) sources regulated under section 112 of the CAA. EPA is approving both of these requests under section 112(l) of the CAA for purposes of limiting PTE for HAP sources.

**DATES:** This action will be effective by September 22, 1995 unless notice is received by August 23, 1995 that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments. If the effective date is delayed, timely notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to Scott Miller at the EPA Regional office listed below.

Copies of the material submitted by both agencies may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations:

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Alabama Department of Environmental Management, Air Division, 1751 Congressman W.L. Dickinson Drive, Montgomery, Alabama 36109.

Knox County Department of Air Pollution Control, City/County Building, Suite 339, 400 West Main Street, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Scott Miller, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is 404/347-2864.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 28, 1989 (54 FR 27274), EPA published criteria for approving and incorporating into the SIP regulatory programs for the issuance of FESOP and FELOP. Permits issued pursuant to an operating permit program approved into the SIP as meeting these criteria may be considered Federally enforceable. EPA has encouraged states and local agencies to develop such FESOP and FELOP