

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rindy Ramos, Air & Radiation Branch (AT-082), EPA, Seattle, Washington 98101, (206) 553-6510.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 23, 1995.

Chuck Clarke,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-17671 Filed 7-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 64

[CC Docket No. 91-346; DA 95-1512]

Intelligent Networks

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Rules; Extension of Time.

SUMMARY: This order provides an extension of time for parties to file comments and reply comments on the Intelligent Networks proceeding so that parties can file more substantive responses.

DATES: *Comment dates:* The dates for filing comments and reply comments are July 19, 1995 and August 2, 1995, respectively.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rose Crellin, Policy and Program Planning Division, Common Carrier Bureau (202) 418-1571.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission proposed to adopt rules on intelligent networks in a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in this docket (58 FR 48623, September 17, 1993). In a subsequent public notice, released June 28, 1995, (not published in the **Federal Register**) the Commission sought comment on a filing by Bell Atlantic, GTE, Pacific Bell, Southwestern Bell, and five other local exchange carriers (LECs), which presented an industry-wide collaborative proposal for an intelligent network (IN) project (IN project). In the IN project, the LECs propose to explore the service creation capabilities of IN platforms owned by LECs and third parties in connection with exchange and exchange access services. The LECs propose laboratory tests and field trials to obtain data regarding the requirements and issues

concerning mediated access in the IN. The proposed IN project would be voluntary and may include LECs, interexchange carriers, enhanced service providers, and other telecommunications providers. At the completion of the 24-month IN project, the LECs propose to deliver a final report to the industry and the Commission. The LECs recommend that the Commission recognize the IN Project as the appropriate way to proceed in the IN proceeding.

In addition, the public notice sought comment on a filing by Ameritech on June 26, 1995, in which Ameritech provided an IN competitive network report to the Common Carrier Bureau in which it states that there has been increased competition and consumer choice in access to and use of intelligent network capabilities.

Thus, Ameritech contends, there is no longer a need for the Commission to mandate IN access as proposed in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

Adopted: July 5, 1995

Released: July 5, 1995

By the Chief, Policy and Program Planning Division, Common Carrier Bureau:

1. On June 30, 1995, NYNEX Telephone Companies (NYNEX) requested an extension of time to file comments and reply comments in the Intelligent Networks (INs) proceeding. Comments are scheduled to be filed by July 12, 1995 and replies by July 19, 1995.¹ NYNEX seeks an extension until July 26, 1995 for comments and August 9, 1995 for replies.

2. NYNEX gives three reasons for its request. First, NYNEX argues that it has had difficulty in obtaining the two filings in the proceedings on which the Commission seeks comment. Second, NYNEX argues that the filings "raise complex substantive matters" that will require additional time for adequate review. Third, NYNEX asserts that additional time will enable more focused and thorough submissions.

3. We do not routinely grant extensions of time.² In this case, however, we are persuaded that because of the complexity of the issues presented by the two filings that additional time will enable commenting parties to develop more substantive responses. In the Public Notice, the Commission sought comment on the collaborative proposal for market trials and laboratory tests for intelligent network services filed by Bell Atlantic, GTE, Pacific Bell, Southwestern Bell

¹ Public Notice, Intelligent Networks Proceeding, CC Docket 91-346, DA 95-1456, released June 28, 1995.

² 47 C.F.R. 1.46(a).

and five other supporting local exchange carriers (LECs). The LECs presented the proposal as the method for the Commission to proceed on INs rather than the mediated access proposed in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in CC Docket No. 91-346 (58 FR 48623, September 17, 1993). The Public Notice also sought comment on the report filed by Ameritech regarding competitively provided INs. Ameritech contends that there has been increased competition and consumer choice in access to and use of IN capabilities since the release of the NPRM. Thus, Ameritech contends that the Commission should not mandate third party access.

4. Because of the complex technology and competitive issues presented by these filings, we conclude that parties should have additional time to develop their responses. Although it is important to provide parties sufficient time to more fully respond to the two filings, we decline to provide the full period requested by NYNEX because it would result in delays in the proceeding. We conclude that an additional week for the comment and reply periods will provide parties with sufficient time to prepare responses. Therefore, we grant all parties an extension of time for the filing of comments from July 12, 1995 to July 19, 1995 and for the filing of reply comments from July 19, 1995 to August 2, 1995.

4. Accordingly, it is ordered that the NYNEX Request for Extension of Time is granted to the extent provided herein, and otherwise is denied.³

Federal Communications Commission.

James D. Schlichting,

Chief, Policy and Program Planning Division, Common Carrier Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95-17790 Filed 7-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 93-191; RM-8088]

Television Broadcasting Services; Pueblo, CO

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; denial.

SUMMARY: The Commission denies a petition for rule making filed jointly by the University of Southern Colorado

³ This action is taken pursuant to Sections 4(j) and 5(c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(j) and 155(c), and authority delegated thereunder pursuant to Sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. 0.91 and 0.291.