

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rindy Ramos, Air & Radiation Branch (AT-082), EPA, Seattle, Washington 98101, (206) 553-6510.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 23, 1995.

**Chuck Clarke,**

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-17671 Filed 7-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 64

[CC Docket No. 91-346; DA 95-1512]

#### Intelligent Networks

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed Rules; Extension of Time.

**SUMMARY:** This order provides an extension of time for parties to file comments and reply comments on the Intelligent Networks proceeding so that parties can file more substantive responses.

**DATES:** *Comment dates:* The dates for filing comments and reply comments are July 19, 1995 and August 2, 1995, respectively.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rose Crellin, Policy and Program Planning Division, Common Carrier Bureau (202) 418-1571.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission proposed to adopt rules on intelligent networks in a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in this docket (58 FR 48623, September 17, 1993). In a subsequent public notice, released June 28, 1995, (not published in the **Federal Register**) the Commission sought comment on a filing by Bell Atlantic, GTE, Pacific Bell, Southwestern Bell, and five other local exchange carriers (LECs), which presented an industry-wide collaborative proposal for an intelligent network (IN) project (IN project). In the IN project, the LECs propose to explore the service creation capabilities of IN platforms owned by LECs and third parties in connection with exchange and exchange access services. The LECs propose laboratory tests and field trials to obtain data regarding the requirements and issues

concerning mediated access in the IN. The proposed IN project would be voluntary and may include LECs, interexchange carriers, enhanced service providers, and other telecommunications providers. At the completion of the 24-month IN project, the LECs propose to deliver a final report to the industry and the Commission. The LECs recommend that the Commission recognize the IN Project as the appropriate way to proceed in the IN proceeding.

In addition, the public notice sought comment on a filing by Ameritech on June 26, 1995, in which Ameritech provided an IN competitive network report to the Common Carrier Bureau in which it states that there has been increased competition and consumer choice in access to and use of intelligent network capabilities.

Thus, Ameritech contends, there is no longer a need for the Commission to mandate IN access as proposed in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

Adopted: July 5, 1995

Released: July 5, 1995

By the Chief, Policy and Program Planning Division, Common Carrier Bureau:

1. On June 30, 1995, NYNEX Telephone Companies (NYNEX) requested an extension of time to file comments and reply comments in the Intelligent Networks (INs) proceeding. Comments are scheduled to be filed by July 12, 1995 and replies by July 19, 1995.<sup>1</sup> NYNEX seeks an extension until July 26, 1995 for comments and August 9, 1995 for replies.

2. NYNEX gives three reasons for its request. First, NYNEX argues that it has had difficulty in obtaining the two filings in the proceedings on which the Commission seeks comment. Second, NYNEX argues that the filings "raise complex substantive matters" that will require additional time for adequate review. Third, NYNEX asserts that additional time will enable more focused and thorough submissions.

3. We do not routinely grant extensions of time.<sup>2</sup> In this case, however, we are persuaded that because of the complexity of the issues presented by the two filings that additional time will enable commenting parties to develop more substantive responses. In the Public Notice, the Commission sought comment on the collaborative proposal for market trials and laboratory tests for intelligent network services filed by Bell Atlantic, GTE, Pacific Bell, Southwestern Bell

<sup>1</sup> Public Notice, Intelligent Networks Proceeding, CC Docket 91-346, DA 95-1456, released June 28, 1995.

<sup>2</sup> 47 C.F.R. 1.46(a).

and five other supporting local exchange carriers (LECs). The LECs presented the proposal as the method for the Commission to proceed on INs rather than the mediated access proposed in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in CC Docket No. 91-346 (58 FR 48623, September 17, 1993). The Public Notice also sought comment on the report filed by Ameritech regarding competitively provided INs. Ameritech contends that there has been increased competition and consumer choice in access to and use of IN capabilities since the release of the NPRM. Thus, Ameritech contends that the Commission should not mandate third party access.

4. Because of the complex technology and competitive issues presented by these filings, we conclude that parties should have additional time to develop their responses. Although it is important to provide parties sufficient time to more fully respond to the two filings, we decline to provide the full period requested by NYNEX because it would result in delays in the proceeding. We conclude that an additional week for the comment and reply periods will provide parties with sufficient time to prepare responses. Therefore, we grant all parties an extension of time for the filing of comments from July 12, 1995 to July 19, 1995 and for the filing of reply comments from July 19, 1995 to August 2, 1995.

4. Accordingly, it is ordered that the NYNEX Request for Extension of Time is granted to the extent provided herein, and otherwise is denied.<sup>3</sup>

Federal Communications Commission.

**James D. Schlichting,**

*Chief, Policy and Program Planning Division, Common Carrier Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 95-17790 Filed 7-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 93-191; RM-8088]

#### Television Broadcasting Services; Pueblo, CO

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; denial.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission denies a petition for rule making filed jointly by the University of Southern Colorado

<sup>3</sup> This action is taken pursuant to Sections 4(j) and 5(c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(j) and 155(c), and authority delegated thereunder pursuant to Sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. 0.91 and 0.291.

("USC"), licensee of noncommercial television station KTSC(TV), Channel \*8, Pueblo, Colorado, and Sangre De Cristo Communications, Inc. ("SCC"), licensee of commercial television station KOAA-TV, Channel 5, Pueblo, Colorado. U.S.C. and SCC requested to exchange channels, and sought to include in the channel exchange a construction permit held by U.S.C. to relocate its transmitter to a short-spaced site. The Commission denies the petition because it would be contrary to FCC policy to grant SCC a minimum spacing waiver at the allotment rule making stage. U.S.C. and SCC stated that they were not interested in pursuing the Commission's alternative proposal, set forth in its *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, 58 FR 38548 (July 19, 1993). The Commission also denies a petition filed by U.S.C. and SCC requesting that this rule making proceeding be consolidated with various application proceedings. With this action your proceeding is terminated.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 19, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Diane Conley, Mass Media Bureau, (202)776-1653.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Report and Order*, MM Docket No. 93-191, adopted June 30, 1995, and released on July 14, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for public inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

**John A. Karousos,**

*Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 95-17725 Filed 7-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

#### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 95-108, RM 8631]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Ankeny and West Des Moines, IA

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document requests comments on a petition filed by Fuller-Jeffrey Broadcasting Corporation of Greater Des Moines, licensee of station KJYY-FM, Channel 223C2, Ankeny, Iowa, proposing the reallocation of Channel 223C2 from Ankeny to West Des Moines, Iowa, and the modification of its license to specify West Des Moines as its community of license, in accordance with Section 1.420(i) of the Commission's Rules. Channel 223C2 can be allotted to West Des Moines in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements at its current site. The coordinates for Channel 223C2 at West Des Moines are North Latitude 41-39-53 and West Longitude 93-45-24.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before September 5, 1995, and reply comments on or before September 20, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: John Griffith Johnson, Jr., Bryan, Cave, McPheeters & McRoberts, 700 13th Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC 20005-3960 (Attorney for Petitioner).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Arthur D. Scrutchins, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 776-1660.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 95-108, adopted June 29, 1995, and released July 14, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 1919 M Street, NW., Room 246, or 2100, M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

**John A. Karousos,**

*Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 95-17726 Filed 7-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

#### 49 CFR Part 571

#### Denial of Petition for Rulemaking; Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

**ACTION:** Denial of petition for rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This document denies Mr. John Chevedden's petition for rulemaking to specify the rear license plate mounting location of certain trucks. NHTSA's analysis of the petition concludes that this action would have a negligible effect on reducing crashes or fatalities and that to conduct any more than a cursory technical review would use public resources inappropriately.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Richard Van Iderstine, Office of Rulemaking, NHTSA, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590. Mr. Van Iderstine's telephone number is: (202) 366-5275. His facsimile number is (202) 366-4329.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** By letter dated May 31, 1995, Mr. John Chevedden petitioned the agency to issue a rule applicable to new trucks with off-center rear license plates. Mr. Chevedden asked NHTSA to mandate that those license plates be positioned on the driver's side. Mr. Chevedden stated that the rulemaking was needed because it is a safety enhancement that will prevent death, injury and property damage. Mr. Chevedden speculates that a reflectorized license plate mounted on the driver's side, instead of the passenger side, will serve as a back-up reflector and safety warning in many cases where the vehicle's rear lights are not operating. He stated that the driver's side mounting would be more useful than the passenger side location in