

SECTION III. TELL US ABOUT THE CURRENT PERMANENT LABOR CERTIFICATION PROCESS

1. What is your overall opinion of the permanent labor certification process as a protection for the jobs, wages, and working conditions of U.S. workers? As an effective screening tool for foreign workers needed in the economy?
2. What does the process cost you in fees, recruitment costs, and lost productivity or business? How has it helped you?
3. How effective are the major recruitment mechanisms required by the process -- the advertisement, the job order, and the notice? What would you suggest as alternatives?
4. How are the recruitment requirements of the permanent labor certification process contrary to the usual recruitment and hiring practices of employers?
5. What suggestions do you have for improving the permanent case processing in terms of timeliness? Efficiency? Procedural steps? Responsibilities of States and Regions? Simplified decisions?
6. Is DOL's "prevailing wage" concept the most effective way of determining that the employment of foreign workers in an occupation will not adversely affect the wages of U.S. workers in that occupation?
7. What are some of the specific outside sources of prevailing wage information that employers would prefer to use over the SESA? Please give the names of any published surveys you recommend.
8. What suggestions do you have for improving the accuracy, timeliness, and consistency of SESA wage surveys and the wage rate determinations issued to employers.

SECTION IV. TELL US WHAT YOU THINK ABOUT THESE IDEAS FOR REENGINEERING THE PROCESS AND GIVE US YOUR IDEAS

1. Streamline the current process by having an upfront recruitment process, withholding the filing date until an application is complete with required documentation and correction of deficiencies, eliminating the Notice of Findings, and setting processing time limits.
2. Establish schedules of precertified occupations by geographic areas and strictly limit individual labor certifications.
3. Cease referring U.S. workers to specific jobs and make determinations based on labor market information for the occupation and the geographic area.
4. Shift authority to the States to determine whether or not certification of foreign workers should be granted for a particular job in the State with Federal concurrence and oversight.
5. Permit employers to determine the prevailing wage against criteria established by DOL. SESAs would determine the prevailing wage rate only in enforcement actions or litigation.
6. Charge a fee for each type of application, including separate fees for wage determinations and appeals.
7. Consolidate permanent labor certification processing and prevailing wage activities into fewer regions and States, such as 3 or 4 regions instead of the current 10 and 5 to 10 States instead of the current 54 States and Territories.
8. Your ideas.....

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Office of Management and Budget Review**

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for collection of information under the provision of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 45)

1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.
2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 50. Decommissioning of Nuclear Power Reactors.
3. The form number if applicable: Not applicable.
4. How often is the collection required: Periodically upon a licensee's decision to permanently cease operations.
5. Who will be required or asked to report: Part 50 licensees that have decided to permanently cease operations.
6. An estimate of the number of responses: 2 licenses per year are expected to decide to permanently cease operations.
7. An estimate of the reduction of the number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: A reduction of 24,404 hours (12,202 per licensee).
8. An indication of whether Section 3504(h), Pub. L. 96-511 applies: Applicable.
9. Abstract: The proposed amendments would clarify ambiguities regarding decommissioning requirements and codify practices that have been used on a case-by-case basis. Nuclear power plant licensees desiring to decommission would be required to submit certifications of permanent cessation of operation and permanent fuel removal, submit a post shutdown decommissioning activities report and a license termination plan. Major decommissioning activities would be permitted by extending the 10 CFR 50.59 process to permanently shutdown reactors and include the recordkeeping and reporting requirements contained therein. For power reactor licensees, the license termination plan would be made part of the FSAR and would be subject to the FSAR updating documentation requirements. For non-power reactor

licensees, the decommissioning plan would become part of the FSAR. Other Part 50 documentation and/or reporting requirements have been modified to reflect the permanent shutdown status of nuclear power reactors. These modifications clarify licensing conditions pertaining to permanently shutdown power reactors.

Copies of the submittal may be inspected or obtained for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555.

Comments and questions can be directed by mail to the OMB reviewer: Troy Hillier, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0011), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3884.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda J. Shelton, (301) 415-7230.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of July 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Gerald F. Cranford,

Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.

[FR Doc. 95-17444 Filed 7-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Nuclear Safety Research Review Committee

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of change of meeting schedule.

As announced on July 6, 1995 (60 FR 35240), the Nuclear Safety Research Review Committee (NSRRC) will hold its next meeting on July 26-27, 1995. The purpose of the present notice is to provide a revised schedule, reflecting a shift of the Committee's July 27 meeting with the Commission from the morning to the afternoon. The location of the meeting on July 26 and the morning of July 27 will be the Severn Room at the Hyatt Regency Hotel, One Bethesda Metro, Bethesda, MD. The location of the July 27 afternoon portion of the meeting will be the Commission Conference Room in the One White Flint North (OWFN) Building, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD.

The revised schedule is as follows:

Wednesday, July 26, a.m. (Severn Room, Hyatt Regency Hotel, Bethesda)
 8:00-8:20 Introductory remarks
 8:20-12:00 Overall research program plans and priorities
 1:15-4:45 Subcommittee reports
 4:45-6:00 Committee discussion in

preparation for Commission briefing

Thursday, July 27, a.m. (Severn Room, Hyatt Regency Hotel, Bethesda)

8:00-10:15 Committee discussion in preparation for Commission briefing (continued)

10:30-12:00 Status update on steam generator tube integrity issues

Thursday, July 27, p.m. (Commission Conference Room, OWFN, Rockville)

2:00-3:30 Meeting with the Commission

3:30-4:00 Committee discussion: follow-up plans.

Any inquiries regarding this notice or any subsequent changes in the status and schedule of the meeting may be made to the Designated Federal Officer, Mr. George Sege (telephone: 301-415-6593), between 8:15 am and 5:00 pm.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 11th day of July, 1995.

Andrew L. Bates,

Federal Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-17443 Filed 7-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Availability of Draft Application Format and Content Guidance and Review Plan and Acceptance Criteria for Non-Power Reactors

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is in the process of developing for Non-Power Reactors (NPRs) a "Format and Content for Applications for the Licensing of Non-Power Reactors" (F&C) and a "Standard Review Plan and Acceptance Criteria for Applications for the Licensing of Non-Power Reactors" (SRP). The NRC has made available a draft of Chapter 12, "Conduct of Operations," of the F&C and SRP documents for comment. Other draft chapters will be made available for comment as they are completed.

Copies of these chapters have been placed in the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555. Single copies of these documents may be requested in writing from Alexander Adams, Jr., Senior Project Manager, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, MS: 0-11-B-20, Washington, DC 20555. Comments on this chapter should be sent by October 10, 1995, to the Director, Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning Projects Directorate at the above address.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this July 7, 1995.