

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 353.22(c)(1) and 355.22(c)(1).

Dated: July 10, 1995.

Roland L. MacDonald,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 95-17352 Filed 7-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[A-588-029]

Fishnetting of Man-Made Fibers From Japan; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from one respondent, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fishnetting of man-made fibers from Japan. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States for the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994.

We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below the foreign market value (FMV). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct U.S. Customs to assess antidumping duties equal to the difference between the United States price (USP) and the FMV.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 14, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kim Moore or Thomas Futtner, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-0090/3814.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 7, 1994, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to

Request an Administrative Review" of the antidumping finding on fishnetting from Japan (37 FR 11560, June 9, 1972) for the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994 (59 FR 29411). We received a timely request for an administrative review on June 29, 1994, from Yamaji Fishing Net Company Ltd. (Yamaji). The Department initiated the review, covering the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994, on July 15, 1994 (59 FR 36160). The Department is now conducting this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of fishnetting of man-made fibers, not including salmon gill netting, from Japan. This merchandise is currently classified under item numbers 5608.11.00, 5608.19.10, and 5608.90.10 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). The HTS subheading is provided for convenience and for U.S. Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage. The period of review is June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994.

United States Price

In accordance with section 772(b) of the Act, the Department based USP on purchase price, because the merchandise was sold to unrelated U.S. purchasers prior to importation. Purchase price was based on c.i.f. U.S. port and packed prices to unrelated purchasers in the United States. The contract date was the date that the terms of sale, quantity, and price were final; thus, the Department accepted the respondent's contract date as the date of sale. We made adjustments, where applicable, for Japanese and U.S. ocean freight, marine insurance, shipping charges, and inland freight. No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

We reviewed information Yamaji submitted regarding product matches and determined product comparisons based on this information. We first compared products sold in the United States to identical products sold in the home market. For several of the products sold in the United States, we did not find a contemporaneous sale of the identical product in the home market. To determine similar merchandise in the home market, we grouped products according to their specifications. We then compared U.S. sales to these groups, again using these specifications as our matching criterion.

Foreign Market Value

In accordance with section 773(a) of the Act, the Department calculated FMV for Yamaji based on f.o.b. and delivered prices to unrelated purchasers in the home market. We used the invoice date as the date of sale for these transactions. Because information from Yamaji indicated that there were no cost differences between the U.S. merchandise and similar home market merchandise, we did not make an adjustment to FMV for physical differences. We adjusted FMV for the differences in packing costs between the home market and the U.S. market. We deducted home market packing costs from the home market price and added U.S. packing costs to the FMV. No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the following margin exists for the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Percent margin
Yamaji	2.58

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of fishnetting of man-made fibers entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a) (1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Yamaji will be the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) For previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) If the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or in the original less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) If neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be zero percent, the all others rate established in the final results of the first administrative review (49 FR 19339, April 30, 1984).

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Interested parties may request disclosure within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice, and may

request a hearing within 10 days of the date of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held as early as is convenient for the parties but not later than 44 days after the date of publication of this notice or the first work day thereafter. Case briefs or other written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttal comments, limited to issues in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written comments.

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a) (1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a) (1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: July 6, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-17348 Filed 7-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[C-351-005]

Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice From Brazil; Termination of Administrative Review of Suspended Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Termination of Administrative Review of Suspended Countervailing Duty Investigation.

SUMMARY: On April 14, 1995, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated an administrative review of the suspended countervailing duty investigation on frozen concentrated orange juice from Brazil. The Department is now terminating this review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 14, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alain Letort or Linda Ludwig, Office of

Agreements Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone (202) 377-3793 or telefax (202) 377-1388.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 14, 1995, the Department of Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of administrative review of the suspended countervailing duty investigation on frozen concentrated orange juice from Brazil (60 FR 19017) at the request of the Associação Brasileira dos Exportadores de Cítricos ("ABECitrus") and its member exporters. This notice stated that we would review information submitted by ABECitrus and its member exporters for the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994. ABECitrus and its member exporters subsequently withdrew their request for review on June 19, 1995. Under § 355.22(a)(3) of the Department's regulations, a party requesting a review may withdraw that request no later than 90 days after the date of publication of the notice of initiation. Because the withdrawal by ABECitrus and its member exporters occurred within the time frame specified in 19 CFR 355.22(a)(3), and no other interested party has requested an administrative review for this period, the Department is now terminating this review.

This notice is published pursuant to § 355.22(a)(3) of the Department's regulations (19 CFR 355.22(a)(3)).

Dated: July 10, 1995.

Roland L. MacDonald,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Compliance.

[FR Doc. 95-17349 Filed 7-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

Export Trade Certificate of Review

ACTION: Notice of Issuance of an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review, Application No. 92-4A001.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has issued an amendment to the Export Trade Certificate of Review granted to the Aerospace Industries Association of America, Inc. ("AIA") on June 26, 1995. Notice of the original Certificate was published in the **Federal Register** on April 17, 1992 (57 FR 13707).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: W. Dawn Busby, Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482-5131. This is not a toll-free number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. Sections 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR part 325 (1993).

The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the Department of Commerce to publish a summary of a Certificate in the **Federal Register**. Under Section 305(a) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary's determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

Description of Amended Certificate

Export Trade Certificate of Review No. 92-00001 was issued to Aerospace Industries of America, Inc. on April 10, 1992 (57 FR 13707) and previously amended on September 8, 1992 (57 FR 41920, September 14, 1992), October 8, 1993 (58 FR 53711, October 18, 1993), and November 17, 1994 (59 FR 60349, November 23, 1994). AIA seeks to amend its Certificate to:

1. Delete the following companies as "Members" of the Certificate: Aluminum Company of America, Cleveland, Ohio; Dynamic Engineering Inc., Newport News, Virginia; Reflectone, Inc., Tampa, Florida; and Vought Aircraft Company, Dallas, Texas.

2. Change the listing of the following current "Members" as follows: Change the name of HEICO Corporation to HEICO Aerospace Corporation, Hollywood, California; DuPont Company to E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Wilmington, Delaware; Williams International to Williams International Corporation, Walled Lake, Michigan.

3. Change the name and address of Aerojet, a Segment of GenCorp, Rancho Cordova, California to Aerojet-General Corporation, Sacramento, California; AlliedSignal Aerospace Company, Torrance, California to AlliedSignal, Inc., Morristown, New Jersey; Dowty Aerospace Los Angeles, Duarte, California to Dowty Decoto, Inc., Yakima, Washington; Lucas Aerospace, Inc., Brea, California to Lucas Industries Inc., Reston, Virginia.

4. Change the address of Hexcel Corporation from Dublin, California to Pleasanton, California; Digital Equipment Corporation from Marlboro, Massachusetts to Maynard, Massachusetts; ITT Defense and