

on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-17256 Filed 7-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-381-000]

**Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company;
Notice of Filing of Petition for
Clarification**

July 10, 1995.

Take notice that on July 5, 1995, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee) filed a petition for clarification requesting that the Commission clarify that Tennessee is authorized to retain, among others, two case-specific upstream transportation service agreements necessary to continue post-restructuring transportation service to its "NOEX" and Boundary Gas, Inc. (Boundary) customers. The two upstream transportation agreements are Rate Schedule X-48 with Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation for service to the Boundary customers, and Rate Schedule X-81 with Consolidated Natural Gas Transmission Company for service to the NOEX customers.

Tennessee states that it is clear from the orders issued in Docket Nos. RS92-23, *et al.* that the Commission intended to allow Tennessee to retain these upstream services post-restructuring but, due to inadvertent error, the actual rate schedules were mislabeled in Tennessee's filings, and consequently in the Commission's orders.

Tennessee states that copies of the filing have been mailed on all parties listed on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 17, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the

Commission and are available for public inspection in the public reference room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-17249 Filed 7-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-380-000]

**Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company;
Notice of Reconciliation Report**

July 10, 1995.

Take notice that on July 5, 1995, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee) tendered for filing a Reconciliation Report in accordance with Article I, Section 4, of the "Stipulation and Agreement" approved by the Commission in *Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.*, 69 FERC ¶ 61,203 (1994), *reh'g denied*, 71 FERC ¶ 61,021 (1995).

Tennessee states that the purpose of this filing is to report adjustments to the revenues and costs recorded in Tennessee's Account No. 191 during the period from March 1, 1994 through May 31, 1995. Tennessee reports that it has underrecovered its Account No. 191 balance as of May 31, 1995 by \$20,332,420.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 17, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available in the public reference room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-17250 Filed 7-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP92-149-006]

**Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.;
Notice of Refund Report**

July 10, 1995.

Take notice that on June 14, 1995, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) a report summarizing refunds disbursed

on May 31, 1995, in the amount of \$7,041,267.61. Transco states that these refunds, including interest and principal, were made in compliance with an order issued by the Commission on May 1, 1995. That order denied rehearing of the Commission's February 13, 1995, order in Docket Nos. RP92-149-001, 002, and 003. The May 1 order directed Transco to refund to Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia) Order 94 costs that Transco has collected from Columbia, plus interest from March 15, 1995.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). All such protests should be filed on or before July 17, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public information.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-17254 Filed 7-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-193-003]

**Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline
Company; Notice of Compliance Filing**

July 10, 1995.

Take notice that on July 6, 1995, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston Basin), tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, revised tariff sheets.

Williston Basin states that, in accordance with the Commission's June 21, 1995 Order, the revised tariff sheets modify the time allowed for a shipper to execute a Service Agreement once it has been tendered to such shipper by Williston Basin.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). All such protests should be filed on or before July 17, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make

protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-17253 Filed 7-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-329-001]

Wyoming Interstate Company, Ltd.;
Notice of Tariff Compliance Filing

July 10, 1995.

Take notice that on July 5, 1995, Wyoming Interstate Company, Ltd. (WIC), tendered for filing revised tariff sheets, to its FERC Gas Tariffs, First Revised Volume No. 1, and FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 2. The new tariff sheets are filed in accordance with the letter order issued June 21, 1995, in Docket No. PR95-329-000. In the June 21 order, the Commission conditioned acceptance of WIC's June 1, 1995 filing on a compliance filing by WIC to conform with Order No. 577-A. WIC has filed revisions to Sheet No. 26 of its Volume No. 1 Tariff, and Sheet No. 55 of its Volume No. 2 Tariff.

Accordingly, WIC submitted for filing Fourth Revised Sheet No. 26 of its Volume No. 1 Tariff and Fourth Revised Sheet No. 55 of its Volume No. 2 Tariff to become effective July 10, 1995, the effective date of Order No. 577-A.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). All such protests should be filed on or before July 17, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the commission and are available for public inspection in the public reference room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-17251 Filed 7-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY

[ER-FRL-4724-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and
Regulations; Availability of EPA
Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared June 05, 1995 Through June 09, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (60 FR 19047).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-A65161-00 Rating EC2, Gypsy Moth Management in the United States: A Cooperative Approach, Implementation, US.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential habitat and water quality impacts and insufficient information to predict project effects on nontarget species.

ERP No. D-AFS-G65062-NM Rating LO, Agua/Caballos Timber Sale, Harvesting Timber and Managing Existing Vegetation, Implementation, Carson National Forest, El Rito Ranger District, Taos County, NM.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed project. However, EPA requests that additional information on cumulative impacts and environmental justice be included in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65230-WY Rating EO2, Tie Hack Dam and Reservoir Construction, Special-Use-Permit, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits, Bighorn National Forest, Buffalo Ranger District, City of Buffalo, WY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections to the proposed alternative due to potential adverse impacts to wetlands. EPA suggests that the final EIS explore additional alternatives of hydropower production. EPA believes that the conservation alternative could show greater water savings and would be more effective in meeting the purpose and need than stated in the draft EIS.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65232-UT Rating LO, Brian Head Recovery Project, Timber Harvest, Implementation, Dixie National Forest, Cadar City Ranger District, Iron County, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections to the proposed project.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65238-WA Rating EC2, Thunder Mountain Fire Recovery and Salvage Project, Implementation, Okanogan National Forest, Tonasket and Methow Valley Ranger Districts, Okanogan County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the existing conditions in the Chewuch River, Thirtymile Creek, Dog Creek, Windy Creek and Smarty Creek within the proposed project area and whether the proposed action will meet water quality standards.

ERP No. D-UAF-K11061-GU Rating EO2, Andersen Air Force Base (AFB) Solid Waste Management Facility, Construction, Island of Guam, GU.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections because the DEIS does not support statements regarding landfill location, unstable areas and monitorability of the groundwater. EPA has requested additional information including storm water permitting and air emissions.

ERP No. DS-DOE-L08050-WA Rating EC2, Puget Power Northwest Washington Electric Transmission Project, Updated Information, Construction and Operation, Whatcon and Skagit Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns based on the project's impact on water quality.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-J65224-MT, Running Wolf Timber Sales, Implementation, Lewis and Clark National Forest, Judith Ranger District, Stanford, Judith Basin County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding water quality impacts, the adequacy of the water quality monitoring program and believes additional information is needed to fully assess all potential impacts of the proposed action.

ERP No. F-FHW-D40238-MD, US 29 Improvements, Sligo Creek Parkway to the Patuxent River Bridge, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Montgomery County, MD.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern regarding the mass transit HOV options and the use of old traffic data.

ERP No. F-FHW-E40742-NC, I-85 Greensboro Bypass Study Area Transportation Improvement, I-85 South of Greensboro to I-40/85 east of Greensboro, Funding, Possible COE Section 404 Permit, City of Greensboro, Guilford County, NC.

Summary: EPA continued to believe that the Grand/85 alternative would be the most environmental sound build alternative for meeting the project's