

Pursuant to the rate schedules attached as Exhibit B to the Interchange Agreement, Dayton and ECI will provide each other a variety of power supply services. ECI's rate schedules, attached as page 1 of Exhibit B to the Agreement, was approved by the Commission in Docket No. ER94-968-000. Dayton's rate schedules attached as pages 2 through 8 of Exhibit B to the Agreement, have been filed for the Commission's approval on June 5, 1995 in Docket No. ER94-1158-000, as was the cost support schedules and work papers.

Comment date: July 17, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-16913 Filed 7-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-577-000, et al.]

Williams Natural Gas Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

July 3, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Williams Natural Gas Company

Docket No. CP95-577-000

Take notice that on June 22, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP95-577-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.208 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.208, 157.216) for authorization to abandon pipeline and measuring and regulating facilities and to construct and operate new facilities for service to

Kansas Gas & Electric (KG&E), a local distribution company, in Sedgwick County, Kansas, under WNG's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

WNG proposes to abandon in place 5,800 feet of 10-inch and 569 feet of 8-inch lateral pipeline and to abandon by reclaim obsolete measuring, regulating and appurtenant facilities installed to serve KG&E's Ripley power plant. It is asserted that, although the power plant ceased operations in 1983, WNG still has a need for gas service for heating an office and training center located on the premises. WNG also proposes to construct and operate 120 feet of 2-inch lateral pipeline to continue to provide service to KG&E. It is stated that WNG's deliveries to KG&E will not change. The construction cost is estimated at \$7,435. The cost of reclaiming facilities is estimated at \$17,506. WNG states that there will be no salvage value as a result of the abandonment. WNG further states that it has sufficient capacity to render the specified deliveries service without detriment or disadvantage to its other existing customers and that its tariff does not prohibit the addition of delivery points.

Comment date: August 17, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-578-000]

Take notice that on June 23, 1995, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (National Fuel), 10 Lafayette Square, Buffalo, New York 14203, filed in Docket No. CP95-578-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to transfer various production and gas supply facilities and abandon certain facilities located in the State of New York to its affiliate, National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation (Distribution), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

National Fuel states that as part of its continuing review of the facilities classified on its books as production properties, National Fuel has identified 15 pipelines and 14 associated regulating and metering stations that are serving a distribution function for customers of Distribution. National Fuel states that all but one of the 15 pipelines, Line R-27 in Cattaraugus County, are nonjurisdictional gathering

facilities and that Line R-27 was replaced under the authority granted to National Fuel in its blanket certificate at Docket No. CP83-4. National Fuel states that it proposes to abandon 66 delivery points located along the pipelines that are to be transferred to Distribution. National Fuel states that service to the customers served off the facilities will not be affected by the transfer. National Fuel states the net book value of the facilities is estimated to be \$451,733.84 as of December 31, 1994. National Fuel states that the transfer of the facilities from National Fuel to Distribution will result in 14 new delivery points from National Fuel to Distribution.

National Fuel states that following the transfer of the facilities to Distribution, National Fuel will continue to own and operate 10 well lines connecting wells operated by Seneca Resources Corporation (Seneca), an affiliate of National Fuel and Distribution, to the facilities. National Fuel states that it has not yet been determined whether these lines will be sold to Seneca, or another party that may acquire the wells from Seneca, or whether one or more of these lines will be abandoned following the plugging of a well. National Fuel states the net book value of these well lines is estimated to be \$4,056.74, as of December 31, 1994. National Fuel states that it seeks authority to establish new delivery points with Distribution at the intersection of these ten well lines with the pipelines they feed into, and pregranted authority to abandon these delivery points as the well lines are transferred to another party, or the wells are plugged.

Comment date: July 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

3. Midwestern Gas Transmission Trunkline Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-581-000]

Take notice that on June 26, 1995, Midwestern Gas Transmission Company (Midwestern), Post Office Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252-2511, and Trunkline Gas Company (Trunkline), Post Office Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251-1642, filed in Docket No. CP95-581-000 a joint application pursuant to Section 7(b) and (c) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval for Midwestern to abandon and Trunkline to acquire, by operating lease, firm capacity on Midwestern's system from Potomac, Illinois to downstream delivery points terminating around Chicago in Joliet, Illinois in order for Trunkline to provide a transportation service to Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company (Peoples), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file

with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Midwestern proposes to abandon 110,000 Dth per day of firm capacity to Trunkline pursuant to a May 13, 1994, Agreement and a pro forma Operating Lease Agreement (the Agreements). Trunkline proposes to lease 110,000 Dth per day of firm capacity pursuant to the agreements to provide transportation service to Peoples at a point on Trunkline's system without the addition of new facilities. Trunkline proposes to acquire the abandoned capacity extending from Potomac, Illinois, where Midwestern currently interconnects with Trunkline to points downstream of Midwestern's system, through and including the Union Hill and Wilmington, Illinois points for deliveries to Peoples.

Midwestern and Trunkline would execute the Operating Lease Agreement upon approval of this application, it is stated. Trunkline states that Trunkline would operate and utilize the capacity as if the capacity was part of Trunkline's system and that all the delivery points on the leased capacity would be available to Trunkline's shippers in accordance with the provisions of Trunkline's open access transportation tariff and the agreements. Midwestern states that Midwestern would lease the 110,000 Dth per day of capacity to Trunkline commencing on December 1, 1995, for a primary term of ten years and that Trunkline would pay Midwestern a monthly lease payment of \$1.734 per each Dth of leased capacity, plus applicable ACA and 1 per cent fuel throughout the primary term of the agreements.

Comment date: July 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. Trunkline Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-584-000]

Take notice that on June 28, 1995, Trunkline Gas Company (Trunkline), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251-1642, filed in Docket No. CP95-584-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216) for authorization to abandon one tap and the related service under Trunkline's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-84-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Trunkline proposes to abandon as requested by farm tap customer D. R. Siebarth, one tap and the related

service. The tap is located in Beauregard Parish, Louisiana.

Comment date: August 17, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

5. Northern Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-585-000]

Take notice that on June 28, 1995, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), P.O. Box 3330, Omaha, Nebraska 68103-0330, filed in Docket No. CP95-585-000, a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to install and operate one new delivery point for Community Utilities Company (C U) for ultimate residential and commercial use, and upgrade four existing delivery points for Minnegasco for ultimate residential, commercial, and industrial use, under Northern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-401-000 and Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northern proposes a new delivery point for C U to be located in Olmstead County, Minnesota. Northern states that the proposed point will deliver fifty-six Mcf on a peak day and 8,176 Mcf annually. Northern also proposes to upgrade four existing delivery points for Minnegasco located in Anoka, Isanti, Mille Lacs, and Wright Counties, Minnesota. Northern indicates that the upgrade of these existing delivery points will increase the peak day capacity of the Annandale No. 1 delivery point, the Cambridge No. 1 delivery point, the Lexington No. 1A delivery point, and the Princeton No. 1 delivery point, by 40 Mcf, 60 Mcf, 350 Mcf, and 110 Mcf, respectively. Northern further indicates that in addition to the installation and upgrade of the proposed and existing delivery points, it will construct branchline looping and compression pursuant to its blanket certificate and Section 157.208(a) of the Commission's Regulations once the authorization requested herein becomes effective.

Northern advises that the new delivery point and the upgrade of the four existing delivery points will accommodate deliveries to Minnegasco and C U pursuant to executed precedent agreements for self-implementing throughput service. Northern further advises that the throughput agreements will be executed prior to the construction of the subject facilities and that the total volumes to be delivered to Minnegasco and C U after the request will not exceed the total volumes authorized prior to the request.

Northern states that the proposed activity is not prohibited by its existing tariff and that Northern has sufficient capacity to accommodate the changes proposed herein without detriment or disadvantage to Northern's other customers. Northern estimates that the total cost to construct the new delivery point and to upgrade the four existing delivery points will be \$236,000. Northern indicates that the financing of the construction will be in accordance with Section 4 of the General Terms and Conditions of Volume 1 of Northern's tariff.

Comment date: August 17, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

6. Williams Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-586-000]

Take notice that on June 26, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed a petition for a declaratory order in Docket No. CP95-586-000 requesting that the Commission issue an order permitting the reclassification of certain miscellaneous facilities owned by WNG from the gathering function to the transmission function, all as more fully set forth in the petition which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

WNG states that as a result of the Commission's recognition of the separate and distinct nature of the gathering and processing business, WNG's corporate parent has entered into a restructuring process involving the separation of non-jurisdictional gathering and processing services and facilities from the jurisdictional interstate transmission companies. WNG notes that it has previously filed a series of applications seeking authority to abandon most of its gathering facilities to both affiliated and non-affiliated gathering companies. WNG asserts that certain minor facilities which had previously been functionalized as gathering but which, in WNG's view, do not perform a gathering function, were not included in any of the abandonment applications. WNG proposes to refunctionalize these facilities to the transmission function.

WNG states that it proposes to refunctionalize 95 pipeline delivery settings on its transmission system which consists of taps and associated piping and measurement facilities to receive gas from gathering facilities owned by others, gas processing plants or other pipelines. WNG relates that the facilities proposed to be refunctionalized had a net book value on October 1, 1994, of approximately \$562,000.

Comment date: July 24, 1995, in accordance with the first paragraph of Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

7. El Paso Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-587-000]

Take notice that on June 28, 1995, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), Post Office Box 1942, El Paso, Texas 79978, filed an application at Docket No. CP95-587-000, pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for permission and approval to abandon the firm transportation and delivery of 300,000 Mcf per day of natural gas to Southern California Gas Company (SoCal) at the Ehrenberg Delivery Point, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

El Paso states that El Paso and SoCal are parties to a Transportation Service Agreement (TSA) dated October 16, 1990, as amended and restated July 16, 1993. El Paso explains that Section 9.4 of Article IX of the TSA provides for an option which permits SoCal to reduce its Transportation Contract Demand. El Paso further explains that by letter dated June 1, 1994, SoCal informed El Paso that SoCal would exercise the option to reduce its Transportation Contract Demand at the Ehrenberg Delivery Point by 300,000 Mcf per day. Accordingly, El Paso proposes to reduce SoCal's Transportation Contract Demand by 300,000 Mcf per day to 1,150,000 Mcf per day, and firm deliveries under the TSA by El Paso to SoCal at the Ehrenberg Delivery Point would be limited to 610,000 Mcf per day.

Comment date: July 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

8. Northwest Pipeline Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-591-000]

Take notice that on June 29, 1995, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, filed in Docket No. CP95-591-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.216, and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216, and 157.211) for approval to abandon certain facilities at the Roseburg Meter Station in Douglas County, Oregon, and to construct and operate upgraded replacement facilities at the Roseburg Meter Station to better accommodate existing firm maximum daily delivery obligations at this delivery point to the Washington Water Power Company (Water Power) under the blanket certificate issued in Docket

No. CP82-433-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest proposes to upgrade the Roseburg Meter Station by replacing the existing 4-inch orifice meter with a new 4-inch turbine meter. Northwest says it plans to install a 4-inch filter upstream of the new 4-inch turbine meter. Northwest states that the proposed facility upgrade will increase the maximum design capacity of the meter station from 3,440 Dth per day to approximately 6,880 Dth per day at the 150 psig contract pressure.

Northwest relates that the total cost of the proposed facility upgrade at the Roseburg Meter Station is estimated to be approximately \$82,652. Northwest indicates that because the upgrade will be made to allow Northwest to better accommodate existing delivery obligations at the Roseburg Meter Station, Northwest will not require any cost reimbursement from Water Power. Northwest states that the proposed facility replacement will occur entirely within the existing fenced and graveled meter station site.

Comment date: August 17, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

9. Northern Natural Gas Company And Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-592-000]

Take notice that on June 29, 1995, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124-1000 and Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (TGPL), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251, filed in Docket No. CP95-592-000 a joint application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon service under an individually certificated exchange agreement, which was authorized in Docket No. CP81-75, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Northern and TGPL propose to abandon Rate Schedules X-87 and X-237 contained in their respective FERC Gas Tariffs, Original Volumes No. 2. It is stated that the parties mutually agree to the termination of the service under these rate schedules.

Comment date: July 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs:

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for

authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-16912 Filed 7-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

Algonquin Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

[Docket No. GT95-45-000]

July 5, 1995.

Take notice that on June 30, 1995, Algonquin Gas Transmission Company (Algonquin) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, to become effective August 1, 1995:

Seventh Revised Sheet No. 1100
Seventh Revised Sheet No. 1101
Seventh Revised Sheet No. 1102
Seventh Revised Sheet No. 1103
Seventh Revised Sheet No. 1104
Seventh Revised Sheet No. 1105
Seventh Revised Sheet No. 1106
Seventh Revised Sheet No. 1107
Seventh Revised Sheet No. 1108
Sixth Revised Sheet No. 1109

Algonquin states that the purpose of this filing is to reflect changes in Algonquin's index of purchasers.

Algonquin states that copies of this filing were served upon each affected party and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 12, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-16875 Filed 7-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-365-000]

Carnegie Interstate Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Change in FERC Gas Tariff

July 5, 1995.

Take notice that on June 30, 1995, Carnegie Interstate Pipeline Company (CIPCO) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheet, with a proposed effective date of August 1, 1995:

First Revised Sheet No. 7

CIPCO states that this is its quarterly filing pursuant to revised Section 32.2 of the General Terms and Conditions of its FERC Gas tariff to reflect prospective changes in transportation costs associated with unassigned upstream capacity held by CIPCO on Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern) for the 3-month period commencing August 1, 1995 and ending October 31, 1995. The filing reflects a decrease in the Transportation Cost Rate ("TCR") from \$1.1519 to \$1.1216. The new TCR includes a TCR Adjustment of \$1.0547 and TCR Surcharge of \$0.0669.

CIPCO states that copies of its filing were served on all jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.214 and 18 CFR 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 12, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-16862 Filed 7-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-366-000]

CNG Transmission Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

July 5, 1995.

Take notice that on June 30, 1995, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG),

filed for inclusion in its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets:

Ninth Revised Sheet No. 32

Ninth Revised Sheet No. 33

CNG requests an effective date for these tariff sheets of August 1, 1995.

CNG states that the purpose of this filing is to collect \$376,573.75 in additional Account No. 858 stranded upstream transportation costs. This total cost results in a revised Section 18.2B. Stranded Cost Surcharge of \$0.069 per Dt, applicable to service during the quarterly period commencing August 1, 1995. CNG further states that it has provided workpapers that detail the reservation charges reflected in CNG's proposed tariff sheets, which are attributable to certain transportation agreements with Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation and Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company.

CNG states that copies of this letter of transmittal and enclosures are being mailed to CNG's customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a protest or motion to intervene with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, DC, 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR Sections 385.214 and 385.211. All motions or protests should be filed on or before July 12, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-16863 Filed 7-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-583-000]

East Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

July 5, 1995.

Take notice that on June 27, 1995, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), filed in Docket No. CP95-583-000 a request pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) for authorization to install, own, operate, and maintain an additional delivery point for continuing