

operation of Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located in Manitowoc County, Wisconsin (Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-24 and DPR-27, respectively, issued to Wisconsin Electric Power Company, the licensee).

### Environmental Assessment

#### Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would grant an exemption from Section III.G.2.b of Appendix R to 10 CFR part 50, to the extent that it requires the separation of redundant trains of safe shutdown cables and equipment by a horizontal distance of more than 20 feet, with no intervening combustibles, in the auxiliary feedwater pump fire area.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated August 5, 1994, as supplemented by letters dated September 9, 1994, October 31, 1994, and February 28, 1995.

#### The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to allow three new cable trays, which were installed as part of the diesel generator addition project, to remain in place in the auxiliary feedwater pump fire area. Intervening combustibles in the form of cable fill in these cable trays are located within the separation space between redundant trains of cables and equipment required to achieve and maintain safe shutdown after a fire.

#### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the action is acceptable because the plant configuration, administrative controls, and the fire protection provided for the auxiliary feedwater pump area gives reasonable assurance that equipment and cabling required to achieve and maintain safe shutdown will remain operable following a fire in the area, as required by Appendix R.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as

defined in 10 CFR part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

#### Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

#### Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for Point Beach.

#### Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on May 31, 1995, the staff consulted with the Wisconsin State official, Ms. Sarah Jenkins, of the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

#### Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated August 5, 1994, as supplemented by letters dated September 9, 1994, October 31, 1994, and February 28, 1995, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Joseph P. Mann Library, 1516 Sixteenth Street, Two Rivers, WI 54241.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of July 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Douglas V. Pickett,**

*Acting Director, Project Directorate III-3, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-16903 Filed 7-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

### Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Subcommittee Meeting on Thermal Hydraulic Phenomena Postponed

A meeting of the ACRS Subcommittee on Thermal Hydraulic Phenomena scheduled to be held on July 11, 1995, Room T-2B3 at 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, to discuss the revised emergency procedure guidelines to cope with an ATWS event compounded by core power instability has been postponed due to the need for additional dialogue between the NRC staff and appropriate nuclear industry representatives. Notice of this meeting was published in the **Federal Register** on Friday, June 23, 1995 (60 FR 32715). Rescheduling of this meeting will be announced in a future **Federal Register** notice.

Also, the full Committee discussion of this matter scheduled for Thursday, July 13, 1995 has been postponed to a future ACRS meeting.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Paul A. Boehnert, the cognizant ACRS staff engineer (telephone 301/415-8065), between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EDT).

Dated: July 5, 1995.

**Sam Duraiswamy,**

*Chief, Nuclear Reactors Branch.*

[FR Doc. 95-16902 Filed 7-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-35923; File No. SR-CHX-95-14]

#### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Stock Exchange, Incorporated Relating to the Chicago Match

June 30, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on June 19, 1995, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Incorporated ("CHX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and