

appropriate number for its receipt of requests for review.

(3) By electronic transmission to the carrier.

(d) *Time of filing request.* (1) For telephone requests, a party to the initial determination may request a review of the initial determination within 150 days after the date of the notice of the initial determination.

(2) For requests made in writing or by electronic transmission, a party to the initial determination may request a review of the determination within 180 days after the date of the notice of the initial determination.

(3) The carrier may, upon request by the party affected, extend the period for requesting the review.

(4) For telephone requests, a party to the initial determination is not precluded from later making a written or electronic request if unable to contact the carrier within the 150 day timeframe. The party has an additional 30 days to submit a written or electronic request for review.

(e) *Exception to telephone and electronic review requests.* A party that submits a request for review of a Medicare Part B initial determination on a claim by a PRO must follow the submittal requirements described in paragraph (c)(1) of this section.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.774, Medicare—Supplementary Medical Insurance Program)

Dated: June 28, 1995.

Bruce C. Vladeck,

Administrator, Health Care Financing Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-16807 Filed 7-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 32 and 36

[DA 95-1409]

Proposed Reporting Requirements on Video Dialtone Costs and Jurisdictional Separations for Local Exchange Carriers Offering Video Dialtone Service

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On June 23, 1995, the Bureau issued an Order Inviting Comments that solicits comments on proposed reports for local exchange carriers offering video dialtone service. The proposed reports would enable the Commission to monitor video dialtone's impact on

LECs cost, local telephone rates, and the assignment of costs between federal and state jurisdictions. The Bureau acted under authority delegated to it in the *Video Dialtone Reconsideration Order*, (FCC 94-269, 10 FCC Rcd 244, 326(1994)) which set forth accounting and reporting requirements for LECs that offer video dialtone service.

DATES: Comments are due July 26, 1995. Reply comments are due August 14, 1995.

ADDRESSES: The Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kenneth Ackerman, Common Carrier Bureau, Accounting and Audits Division, (202) 418-0810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 7, 1994 the Commission issued the *Video Dialtone Reconsideration Order*, requiring LECs to establish two sets of subsidiary accounting records to capture the shared and wholly dedicated video dialtone investment, revenue and expense. The Commission also required the summaries of these records be filed on a quarterly basis in order to enhance the Commission's ability to identify and evaluate video dialtone costs for the tariff review process and for future monitoring efforts. The Commission delegated to the Common Carrier Bureau the authority to determine the content and format of the subsidiary records and the quarterly reports. In addition, the Commission directed the Bureau to develop a data collection program to track the impact of video dialtone on local telephone rates and the assignment of costs between federal and state jurisdictions. The Bureau Order asks parties to comment on its proposal to establish a quarterly report and an annual report in which they would collect and summarize video dialtone investment, expense and revenue data disaggregated by regulated and nonregulated classification and also by jurisdictional categories. The Order also requests that parties identify the circumstances under which the Bureau could streamline or lift these proposed reporting requirements and the changes it should make in response to those circumstances.

Complete text of this Order Inviting Comments is available for inspection and copying in the Accounting and Audits Division public reference room, 2000 L Street, NW., Suite 812, Washington DC. Copies are also available from International Transcription Service, Inc., at 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037, or call (202) 857-3800.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-16844 Filed 7-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 95-103, RM-8659]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Wyeville, WI

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition filed by Josephine Miracle requesting the allotment of Channel 267A to Wyeville, Wisconsin, as that community's first local service. The coordinates for Channel 267A are 44-01-39 and 90-16-35. There is a site restriction 8.7 kilometers (5.4 miles) east of the community.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before August 21, 1995, and reply comments on or before September 5, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, as follows: Josephine Miracle, 206 East 19th Street, Lockport, Illinois 60441.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 95-103, adopted June 23, 1995, and released June 30, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037, (202) 857-3800.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments.