

on its commitment to roll-in the costs of Penn-York's services with those of National Fuel and implement rolled-in rates, and that National Fuel's disregard of its commitment has denied the former Penn-York customers an essential benefit under the Penn-York settlement. To correct this inequity, petitioners request that the Commission direct National Fuel to comply with the Penn-York settlement by implementing as of May 1, 1995, subject to refund, the rolled-in rates that the Commission accepted in its June 14 order.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said complaint should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.214 and 18 CFR 385.211. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 31, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before July 31, 1995.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-16659 Filed 7-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-575-000]

Questar Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

June 30, 1995.

Take notice that on June 22, 1995, Questar Pipeline Company (Questar), 79 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111, filed in Docket No. CP95-575-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to install metering facilities to measure natural gas deliveries to Mountain Fuel Supply Company (Mountain Fuel) at the General Chemical District Regulator Station (GenChem DRS) in Sweetwater County, Wyoming, under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-491-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Questar states that the installation of measurement equipment at this existing open-access delivery point will eliminate Questar's dependence on Mountain Fuel to measure delivered volumes. Specifically, Questar proposes to modify the existing GenChem DRS by installing one six-inch Rockwell turbine meter and one two-inch Roots Model 1-M-900 positive-displacement meter. Questar asserts that the new two-inch and six-inch meters will have no effect on current delivery-point capacity.

Questar states that it will continue to deliver the natural gas volumes historically required by Mountain Fuel at this delivery point. Questar claims that Mountain Fuel expects peak-day and annual requirements at the delivery point to continue to approximate 12,000 Dth per day and 3,850,000 Dth per year.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lindwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-16660 Filed 7-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[P-2984-024]

S.D. Warren Company; Notice of Extension of Comment Due Date

June 30, 1995.

On April 3, 1995, the S.D. Warren Company, licensee for the Eel Weir Project, submitted its Final Proposed Level Management Plan for Sebago Lake (Sebago Lake Plan). The plan was submitted in accordance with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Order on Complaint, dated August 4, 1994 and Order Granting Extension of Time, dated December 20, 1994 and March 7, 1995. The submittal, prepared by S.D. Warren Company, is a lake level plan that seeks to balance the various competing uses of Sebago Lake.

On April 26, 1995, the Commission issued a Notice of Reservoir Level Management Plan for Sebago Lake. The notice was published in the Portland Press Herald on May 12, 1995, and provided the public with the opportunity to comment on S.D. Warren's Sebago Lake Plan. The notice required that comments be filed no later than June 12, 1995.

By letter dated May 12, 1995, State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) requested an extension of the comment due date from June 12, 1995 to June 30, 1995. The Commission found the DEP's request reasonable and extended the comment due date for the Sebago Lake Plan from June 12, 1995 to June 30, 1995.

By letter dated June 29, 1995, State of Maine Department of Conservation (DEC) requested an extension of the comment period from June 30, 1995 to July 7, 1995. In support of its request, the State Resource Agencies of Maine are going to provide one response, including comments from a public meeting, regarding S.D. Warren's proposal. The DEC stated a 7 day extension, to compile all comments into one document, would be sufficient. The DEC requested an extension of the comment deadline from June 30, 1995 to July 7, 1995.

The Commission finds the DEC's request reasonable and will hereby extend the comment period due date for the Sebago Lake Plan from June 30, 1995 to July 7, 1995.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-16661 Filed 7-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5255-6]

Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected cost and burden; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before August 7, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandy Farmer at EPA, (202) 260-2740, please refer to EPA ICR #1331.06.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response

Title: Accidental Release Information Program, EPA ICR #1331.06. This ICR requests renewal of a currently approved collection (OMB #2050-0065).

Abstract: The Accidental Release Information Program (ARIP) collects data on the causes of chemical accidents and points to steps that could be taken by industrial facilities to prevent accidental releases. In this collection, refined ARIP criteria are used to obtain data on unique chemical accidents that pose a direct hazard to the public and the environment. It will survey only those releases that involve injury and death to members of the general public and cause off-site consequences, such as evacuation, sheltering in place, or environmental damage. Fixed facilities responsible for the selected release are required to complete and return a questionnaire which asks for more detailed information on the causes and consequences of the accidental release, and the release prevention practices and technologies in place prior to and following the accident.

The collected information will serve to support a range of chemical accident prevention and preparedness efforts involving industry, local and state governments, as well as EPA regions and headquarters.

Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 25 hours per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. There is no recordkeeping burden.

Respondents: Owners/operators of fixed facilities with accidental releases meeting selection criteria.

Estimated No. of Respondents: 125.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 2,513 hours.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion, when releases meet specific triggers.

Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, (please refer to EPA ICR #1331.06, and OMB #2050-0065) to:

Sandy Farmer, EPA ICR #1331.06, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Regulatory Information Division (Mail

Code: 2136), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460

and
Jonathan Gledhill, OMB #2050-0065, Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: June 29, 1995.

Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division.

[FR Doc. 95-16757 Filed 7-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[ER-FRL-4724-7]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared May 29, 1995 Through June 02, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (60 FR 19047).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-K99025-CA Rating EC2, Pacific Pipeline Transportation Project, Construction/Operation, Right-of-Way Grant, Special-Use-Permit, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Angeles National Forest, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Los Angeles and Kern Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential adverse impacts to water and air quality and environmental justice issues.

ERP No. D-BLM-K67030-NV Rating EC2, Bald Mountain Gold Mine Expansion Project, within the Horseshoe/Galaxy Mine, Plan of Operation Approval and COE Section 404 Permit, White Pine and Elko Counties, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the proposed project's potential impact to water quality. EPA suggests additional information be included in the final EIS on potential impacts to water and air quality, waste rock characterization and disposal, mitigation measures and monitoring.

ERP No. D-BOP-G81008-LA Rating LO, Pollock US Penitentiary and Federal Prison Camp (FPC), Construction and Operation and Site Selection of a former World War II Military Installation, Grant Parish, LA.

Summary: While EPA has no objection to the proposed action, it requested that the final document provide additional discussion of the potable water and wastewater treatment facilities.

ERP No. D-DOE-G06006-NM Rating LO, Dual Axis Radiographic Hydrodynamic Test (DARHT) Facility, Construction and Operation, Approval of Operating Permit, Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos and Santa Fe Counties, NM.

Summary: While EPA has no objection to the proposed action, it did suggest that all dynamic test be contained.

ERP No. D-DOE-L05212-WA Rating LO, Columbia Wind Farm #1 Project, Construction and Operation of a 25 Megawatt (MW) Wind Power Project in the Columbia Hills Area, Conditional-Use-Permit, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Klickitat County, WA.

Summary: EPA abbreviated review has revealed no concerns on this project.

ERP No. DR-FHW-LA0191-AK Rating LO, Whittier Access Project, Additional Information, Construction between Port of Whittier and Seward Highway, Funding, Right-of-Way Agreement and COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Chugach National Forest, Municipality of Anchorage, City of Whittier, AK.

Summary: EPA previous concerns have been adequately addressed, therefore EPA has no objection to the proposed action.

ERP No. DS-COE-G32051-TX Rating LO, Galveston Bay Area Navigation Improvements, Houston Ship and Galveston Channels, Additional Information, Funding and Implementation, Galveston and Harris Counties, TX.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the proposed action.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-BLM-K67028-CA Rand Open Pit Heap Leach Gold Mine Project, Construction, Expansion and Operation, Conditional-Use-Permit and Plan of Operations and Reclamation Plan Approval, Randburg, Kern County, CA.

Summary: Review of the final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-FHW-E40736-NC Greensboro Western Urban Loop Transportation Improvement, from Lawndale Drive near Cottage Place to I-85 South near Holden Road, Funding, Right-of-Way Acquisition, and COE Section 404 Permit, Guilford County, NC.