

*Contact Person:* Mr. Charles R. Hauer, Program Manager, Small Business Innovation Research, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 306-1391.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate Small Business Innovation Research [SBIR] proposals as part of the selection for awards.

*Reason for Closing:* The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: July 3, 1995.

**M. Rebecca Winkler,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-16750 Filed 7-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

### Special Emphasis Panel in Science and Technology Infrastructure; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

*Name:* Committee of Visitors

*Date and Time:* July 27-28, 1995; 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

*Place:* Room 1280, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230

*Type of Meeting:* Closed

*Contact Person:* Dr. Nathaniel G. Pitts, Director, Office of Science and Technology Infrastructure, Room 1270, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230; Telephone (703) 306-1040

*Purpose of Meeting:* To carry out the Committee of Visitors (COV) review, including examination of decisions on proposals, reviewer comments, and other privileged materials.

*Agenda:* To provide oversight review of the Academic Research Infrastructure-Facilities Program

*Reason for Closing:* The meeting is closed to the public because the Committee is reviewing proposal actions that will include privileged intellectual property and personal information that could harm individuals if they were disclosed. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552B(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: July 3, 1995.

**M. Rebecca Winkler,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-16751 Filed 7-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Office of Management and Budget Review

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of the Office of Management and Budget review of information collection.

**SUMMARY:** The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has recently submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.

2. The title of the information collection:

10 CFR Part 72—Licensing

Requirements for the Independent Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste

3. The form number if applicable: Not applicable.

4. How often the collection is required: Required reports are collected and evaluated on a continuing basis as events occur. Applications for new licenses and amendments may be submitted at any time. Applications for renewal of licenses would be required every 20 years for an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) and every 40 years for a Monitored Retrievable Storage (MRS) facility.

5. Who will be required or asked to report: Vendors of casks for the storage of spent fuel, licensees and applicants for a license to possess power reactor spent fuel and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel storage in an ISFSI, and the Department of Energy for licenses to receive, transfer, package and possess power reactor spent fuel, high-level waste, and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel and high-level waste storage in an MRS.

6. An estimate of the number of annual responses: 92

7. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 21,454 (an average of approximately 167 hours per response for applications and reports, plus approximately 756 hours annually per recordkeeper).

8. An indication of whether Section 3504(h), Pub. L. 96-511 applies: Not applicable.

9. Abstract: 10 CFR Part 72 establishes requirements, procedures, and criteria

for the issuance of licenses to possess power reactor spent fuel and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel storage in an ISFSI, and requirements for the issuance of licenses to the Department of Energy to receive, transfer, package, and possess power reactor spent fuel and high-level radioactive waste, and other associated radioactive materials, in an MRS. The information in the applications, reports and records is used by NRC to make licensing and other regulatory determinations. The revised estimate of burden reflects an increase primarily because of the addition of requirements for decommissioning funding requirements, financial assurance provisions, documentation additions for decommissioning and license termination, and notification of incidents.

Copies of the submittal may be inspected or obtained for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W. (Lower Level), Washington, DC.

Comments and questions may be directed by mail to the OMB reviewer: Troy Hillier, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0132), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments may also be communicated by telephone at (202) 395-3084.

The NRC Clearance officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, (301) 415-7233.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 28th day of June 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Gerald F. Cranford,**

*Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.*

[FR Doc. 95-16693 Filed 7-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

### [Docket No. 50-280]

#### Virginia Electric and Power Company, Surry Power Station, Unit No. 1; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from Facility Operating License No. DPR-32, issued to Virginia Electric and Power Company (the licensee), for operation of the Surry Power Station, Unit No. 1 (SPS1) located in Surry County, Virginia.

#### Environmental Assessment

##### Identification of the Proposed Action

This Environmental Assessment has been prepared to address potential environmental issues related to the

licensee's application of April 28, 1995. The proposed action would exempt the licensee from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Paragraph III.D.1.(a), to the extent that a one-time interval extension for the Type A test (containment integrated leak rate test) by approximately 18 months from the October 1995 refueling outage to the February 1997 refueling outage would be granted.

#### *The Need for the Proposed Action*

The proposed action is needed to permit the licensee to defer the type A test from the October 1995 refueling outage to the February 1997 refueling outage, thereby saving the cost of performing the test and eliminating the test period from the critical path time of the outage.

#### *Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed one-time exemption would not increase the probability or consequences of accidents previously analyzed and the proposed one-time exemption would not affect facility radiation levels or facility radiological effluents. The licensee will continue to be required to conduct the Type B and C local leak rate tests which historically have been shown to be the principal means of detecting containment leakage paths with the Type A tests confirming the Type B and C test results. It is also noted that the licensee, as a condition of the proposed exemption, will perform the visual containment inspection although it is only required by Appendix J to be conducted in conjunction with Type A tests. The NRC staff considers that these inspections, though limited in scope, provide an important added level of confidence in the continued integrity of the containment boundary. The NRC staff also notes that the containment is maintained at a subatmospheric pressure which provides a means for continuously monitoring potential containment leakage paths during power operation. The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed

action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

#### *Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts.

#### *Alternative Use of Resources*

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Surry Power Station, Unit No. 1.

#### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

In accordance with its stated policy, on May 16, 1995 the NRC staff consulted with the Virginia State official, L. Foldesi of the State Health Department, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

#### **Finding of no Significant Impact**

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated April 28, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Swem Library, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia 23185.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of June 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

**David B. Matthews,**

*Director, Project Directorate II-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-16694 Filed 7-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

#### **Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste; Notice of Meeting**

The Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste (ACNW) will hold its 76th meeting on July 26, 27 and 28, 1995, in Room T-2B3, at 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The meeting will be open to public attendance, with the exception of portions that may be closed to discuss information provided in confidence by a foreign source (Germany) pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4).

The agenda for this meeting shall be as follows:

*Wednesday, July 26, 1995—8:30 A.M. until 6:00 P.M.*

*Thursday, July 27, 1995—8:30 A.M. until 6:00 P.M.*

*Friday, July 28, 1995—8:30 A.M. until 1:00 P.M.*

During this meeting the Committee plans to consider the following:

A. *Meeting with the RSK*—The Committee will meet with members of Germany's Reaktor—Sicherheitkommission (RSK) to discuss the disposal of radioactive waste in both the U.S. and Germany. Portions of this session may be closed to discuss information provided in confidence by a foreign source pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4).

B. *Meeting with the Director, NRC's Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards*—The Director will discuss items of current interest related to the Division of Waste Management programs.

C. *SDMP Streamlining*—The NRC staff will update the Committee on efforts to streamline the Site Decommissioning Management Plan program process.

D. *Integration of Hydrology, Geochemistry and Performance Assessment*—The Committee will be briefed by representatives of the Department of Energy on efforts to integrate investigations into hydrology, geology, geochemistry and performance assessment for the Yucca Mountain site.

E. *Preparation of ACNW Reports*—The Committee will discuss proposed reports including lessons learned from licensing activities at proposed low-level waste sites. Additional topics will be considered as time permits.

F. *Ethics Training*—The Committee will receive its annual ethics training from a representative of the Office of the General Council.

G. *Committee Activities/Future Agenda*—The Committee will consider topics proposed for future consideration by the full Committee and Working Groups. The Committee will also discuss ACNW-related activities of individual members.

H. *Miscellaneous*—The Committee will discuss miscellaneous matters related to the conduct of Committee activities and organizational activities and complete discussion of matters and specific issues that were not completed during previous meetings, as time and availability of information permit.

Procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACNW meetings were