

Issued in Washington, D.C., June 23, 1995.

Clifford P. Tomaszewski,

Director, Office of Natural Gas, Office of Fuels Programs, Office of Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 95-16616 Filed 7-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP95-576-000]

Northwest Pipeline Corporation; Application

June 29, 1995.

Take notice that on June 22, 1995, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158, filed an abbreviated application, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, for a certificate of Public convenience and necessity authorizing Northwest to increase the storage withdrawal contract demand provided under Rate Schedule SGS-1 for certain existing storage service customers, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest says that Washington Natural Gas Company (Washington Natural), Project Operator of the Jackson Prairie Storage Project (Jackson Prairie), has requested certificate authorization in Docket No. CP95-300-000 to construct additional storage facilities and to inject 0.4 Bcf of additional cushion gas at Jackson Prairie in order to increase the maximum firm withdrawal capacity by 100,000 Mcf per day. Each of the three owners of Jackson Prairie, Washington Natural, Northwest and the Washington Water Power Company (Water Power) have a right to one-third of the resulting increased withdrawal capacity. As the designated storage provider for all capacity at Jackson Prairie, Northwest has entered into the necessary replacement storage service agreements to reflect allocations among existing storage customers of the planned additional 102,800 Dth per day (100,000 Mcf x 1.028dth/Mcf) of firm withdrawal capacity.

Northwest states that its one-third share of the increased withdrawal capacity, 34,266 Dth per day, was offered in an "open-season" process to all customers with existing Rate Schedule SGS-1 or SGS-2F service agreements for Jackson Prairie capacity owned by Northwest. As a result, six SGS-2F customers contracted for a total of 15,182 Dth per day additional withdrawal contract demand, which Northwest will self-implement under this Part 284, Subpart G blanket

transportation certificate, and two SGS-1 customers contracted for 19,084 Dth per day additional withdrawal contract demand.

Further, Northwest says that it has entered into replacement SGS-1 service agreements with Northwest Natural Gas Company, Washington Natural and Water Power which cover both the 19,084 Dth per day of Northwest's share of the increased Jackson Prairie withdrawal capacity plus all of the Washington Natural and Water Power ownership shares of 34,267 Dth per day each. Since Rate Schedule SGS-1 service is certificated on a case-by-case basis, Northwest specifically requests certificate authority to provide a total of 87,618 Dth per day of additional Rate Schedule SGS-1 withdrawal contract demand for these three existing customers, to be effective upon Washington Natural's completion of its proposed project to expand the withdrawal capacity of Jackson Prairie.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before July 20, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and permission and approval for the proposed construction and operations are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be necessary for Northwest to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-16501 Filed 7-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-579-000]

Northwest Pipeline Corporation; Request Under Blanket Authorization

June 29, 1995.

Take notice that on June 23, 1995, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158, filed in Docket No. CP95-579-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.216 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216, 157.211) for authorization to abandon certain facilities and to construct and operate upgraded replacement facilities, under Northwest's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest promises to increase the capacity of its existing Soda Springs Meter Station, located in Caribou County, Idaho to accommodate a request by Intermountain Gas Company (Intermountain) for additional service at this point. Northwest states that it would remove and retire four 2-inch regular, port regulators and install four new 2-inch large, port regulators to increase the maximum design delivery capacity from 10,070 Dth of gas per day to 12,517 Dth of gas per day. Northwest mentions that no reallocation of existing firm, maximum daily delivery obligations have been requested.

Northwest states that the total cost of the proposed facility upgrade would be approximately \$12,572 which includes \$300 for removal of the old regulators. Northwest avers that Intermountain has agreed to reimburse Northwest for these costs pursuant to provisions in Northwest's tariff.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor,

the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-16500 Filed 7-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. PR95-13-000]

AOG Gas Transmission Company, L.P.; Petition for Rate Approval

June 29, 1995.

Take notice that on May 25, 1995, AOG Gas Transmission Company, L.P. (AOG) filed pursuant to Section 284.123(b)(2) of the Commission's Regulations, a petition for rate approval requesting that the Commission approve as fair and equitable rates of \$0.0909 per MMBtu for transportation services performed in Oklahoma and \$0.1331 per MMBtu for transportation services performed in New Mexico under Section 311(a)(2) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA). AOG proposes an effective date of July 1, 1995.

AOG states that it is an intrastate pipeline within the meaning of Section 2(16) of the NGPA. AOG is the successor, with respect to the Oklahoma and New Mexico facilities, to Picor Pipeline Company.

Pursuant to Section 284.123(b)(2)(ii), if the Commission does not act within 150 days of the filing date, the rate will be deemed to be fair and equitable and not in excess of an amount which interstate pipelines would be permitted to charge for similar transportation service. The Commission may, prior to the expiration of the 150-day period, extend the time for action or institute a proceeding to afford parties an opportunity for written comments and for the oral presentation of views, data, and arguments.

Any person desiring to participate in this rate proceeding must file a motion to intervene in accordance with sections 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures. All motions must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission on or before July 17, 1995. The petition for rate approval is on file with the

Commission and is available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-16498 Filed 7-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-357-000]

Sabine Pipe Line Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

June 29, 1995.

Take notice that on June 27, 1995, Sabine Pipe Line Company (Sabine) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets proposed to be effective July 1, 1995:

First Revised Sheet No. 253

First Revised Sheet No. 254

First Revised Sheet No. 267

First Revised Sheet No. 268

Sabine states that the purpose of the filing is to revise Sabine's capacity release tariff provisions set forth in Section 10 of the General Terms and Conditions of its Volume No. 1 Tariff to comply with changes in 284.243(h) of the Commission's Regulations pursuant to Orders 577 and 577-A. Specifically, the revisions: (1) extend the maximum term of pre-arranged capacity releases at less than the maximum rate that are exempt from bidding requirements to thirty-one days, and (2) reduce the restriction period from thirty days to twenty-eight days for re-releasing capacity exempt from advance posting and bidding to the same pre-arranged shipper.

Sabine states that to date, there have been no prearranged releases of capacity that would be affected by the revised regulations, and Sabine requests that the revised tariff sheets be allowed to take effect July 1, 1995, concomitant with the effective date of Order 577-A. Sabine respectfully requests that the Commission grant a waiver of 154.22 of its Regulations, and any other waivers that may be necessary, in order that the enclosed tariff sheets be made effective as proposed herein.

Sabine states that copies of this filing are being mailed to its customers, state commissions and other interested parties.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 7, 1995. Protests

will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-16497 Filed 7-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 6117-005; Utah]

City of Ephraim; Availability of Environmental Assessment

June 29, 1995.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) Regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed an application to amend the license for Ephraim City Power Project, located on New Canyon Creek, in Sanpete County, Utah. The application's major proposed change is: the inclusion of a water storage tank as a project feature. An Environmental Assessment (EA) was prepared for the application. In the EA, the Commission staff finds that approving the application would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the EA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch, Room 3104, of the Commission's offices at 941 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. For further information, please contact Mr. Jon Cofrancesco at (202) 219-0079.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-16499 Filed 7-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Western Area Power Administration

Parker-Davis Project—Proposed Firm Power Rates, Firm and Non-Firm Transmission Service and Transmission Service for Salt Lake Integrated Projects

AGENCY: Western Area Power Administration, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: Western Area Power Administration (Western) is announcing