

issues related to management of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area and Point Reyes National Seashore. Meeting changes of the Advisory Commission are as follows:

The meeting previously scheduled for Wednesday, July 12 at GGNRA Park Headquarters, Building 201, Fort Mason, Bay and Franklin Streets, San Francisco is cancelled.

The meeting previously scheduled for Saturday, September 16 at Point Reyes Station, California is cancelled, and instead a September meeting of the Advisory Commission will be scheduled for Wednesday, September 20 in San Francisco.

The meeting previously scheduled for Wednesday, October 18 in San Francisco is cancelled, and instead an October meeting of the Advisory Commission will be scheduled for Saturday, October 21 at Point Reyes Station, California.

All meetings of the Advisory Commission will be held at 7:30 p.m. at GGNRA Park Headquarters, Building 201, Fort Mason, Bay and Franklin Streets, San Francisco or at 10:30 a.m. at the Dance Palace, corner of 5th and B Streets, Point Reyes Station, California, unless otherwise noticed. Information confirming the time and location of all Advisory Commission meetings can be received by calling the Office of the Staff Assistant at (415) 556-4484.

The Advisory Commission was established by Public Law 92-589 to provide for the free exchange of ideas between the National Park Service and the public and to facilitate the solicitation of advice or other counsel from members of the public on problems pertinent to the National Park Service systems in Marin, San Francisco and San Mateo Counties. Members of the Commission are as follows:

Mr. Richard Bartke, Chairman  
 Ms. Amy Meyer, Vice Chair  
 Ms. Naomi T. Gray  
 Dr. Howard Cogswell  
 Mr. Michael Alexander  
 Mr. Jerry Friedman  
 Ms. Lennie Roberts  
 Ms. Yvonne Lee  
 Ms. Sonia Bolaños  
 Mr. Trent Orr  
 Mr. Redmond Kernan  
 Ms. Jacqueline Young  
 Mr. Merritt Robinson  
 Mr. R. H. Sciaroni  
 Mr. John J. Spring  
 Dr. Edgar Wayburn  
 Mr. Joseph Williams  
 Mr. Mel Lane

Specific final agendas for these meetings will be made available to the public at least 15 days prior to each

meeting and can be received by contacting the Office of the Staff Assistant, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Building 201, Fort Mason, San Francisco, California 94123 or by calling (415) 556-4484.

These meetings are open to the public. They will be recorded for documentation and transcribed for dissemination. Minutes of the meetings will be available to the public after approval of the full Advisory Commission. A transcript will be available three weeks after each meeting. For copies of the minutes contact the Office of the Staff Assistant, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Building 201, Fort Mason, San Francisco, California 94123.

Dated: June 29, 1995.

**Brian O'Neill,**

*General Superintendent.*

[FR Doc. 95-16533 Filed 7-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

#### **Manzanar National Historic Site Advisory Commission Notice of Meeting**

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act that a meeting of the Manzanar National Historic Site Advisory Commission will be held at 1:00 p.m. (PSDT) on Saturday, July 22, 1995, at the County of Inyo Administrative Center, Board of Supervisors' Chambers, 224 N. Edwards Street (U.S. Highway 395), Independence, California to hear presentations on issues related to the planning, development, and management of Manzanar National Historic Site.

The Advisory Commission was established by Public Law 102-248, to meet and consult with the Secretary of the Interior or his designee, with respect to the development, management, and interpretation of the site, including the preparation of a general management plan for the Manzanar National Historic Site.

Members of the Commission are as follows:

Ms. Sue Kunitomi Embrey, Chairperson  
 Mr. William Michael, Vice Chairperson  
 Mr. Keith Bright  
 Ms. Martha Davis  
 Mr. Ronald Izumita  
 Mr. Gann Matsuda  
 Mr. Vernon Miller  
 Mr. Rose Ochi  
 Mr. Mas Okui  
 Mr. Glenn Singley  
 Mr. Richard Stewart

The main agenda items at this meeting of the Commission will include the following:

(1) Status report on the development of Manzanar National Historic Site by Superintendent Ross R. Hopkins.

(2) Review of the draft park General Management Plan.

(3) General discussion of miscellaneous matters pertaining to future Commission activities and Manzanar National Historic Site development issues.

This meeting is open to the public. It will be recorded for documentation, and transcribed for dissemination. Minutes of the meeting will be available to the public after approval of the full Commission. A transcript will be available after August 31, 1995. For a copy of the minutes, contact the Superintendent, Manzanar National Historic Site, P.O. Box 426, Independence, California 93526.

Dated: June 25, 1995.

**Ross R. Hopkins,**

*Superintendent, Manzanar National Historic Site.*

[FR Doc. 95-16538 Filed 7-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

#### **Notice of Completion of Inventory of Native American Human Remains within the Rainbow House Collection, Bandelier National Monument, Los Alamos County, NM**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior  
**ACTION:** Notice

Notice is hereby given under provisions of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, 25 U.S.C. 3003(d), of the completion of the inventory of human remains within the Rainbow House collection (LA 217), a Federally curated collection at Bandelier National Monument, Los Alamos County, NM.

The detailed inventory and assessment of the Rainbow House collection has been made by National Park Service professional staff and consultation with representatives of the following Pueblo groups: Pueblo of Santa Clara; Pueblo of San Ildefonso; Pueblo of Tesuque; Pueblo of Cochiti; Pueblo of Santo Domingo; Pueblo of Jemez; Pueblo of Zuni; and the Hopi Tribe.

Between 1948 and 1955, Fredrick Worman of Adams State College, CO and Louis Caywood of the National Park Service, carried out legally authorized archeological excavations on Federal public lands, including the Rainbow House archeological site within Bandelier National Monument. At Rainbow House one hundred rooms were excavated, as well as a kiva and an associated plaza. A minimum of seven

fragmentary individuals were found at Rainbow House in the kiva floor level and in the plaza room blocks. The occupation date assigned to Rainbow House was between AD 1412—1453.

Artifactual evidence does not allow specific identification of a single culturally affiliated Indian tribe. However, examination of cultural materials (e.g., ceramics, stone tools, and other items) and oral history regarding traditional and religious practice indicate probable cultural affiliation between the human remains and various Pueblo Indian groups. The National Park Service has determined that these human remains are culturally affiliated with: Pueblo of Santa Clara; Pueblo of San Ildefonso; Pueblo of Tesuque; Pueblo of Cochiti; Pueblo of Santo Domingo; Pueblo of San Felipe; Pueblo of Jemez; Pueblo of Zuni; Pueblo of Isleta; Pueblo of Laguna; Pueblo of Acoma; Ysleta del Sur Pueblo; Pueblo of Santa Ana; Pueblo of Sandia; Pueblo of Zia; and the Hopi Tribe. Other Pueblo peoples may also be culturally affiliated with these human remains. No lineal descendants have been identified.

This notice has been sent to consultation representatives of the following Indian tribes: Pueblo of Santa Clara; Pueblo of San Ildefonso; Pueblo of Tesuque; Pueblo of Cochiti; Pueblo of Santo Domingo; Pueblo of Jemez; Pueblo of Zuni; and the Hopi Tribe. Representatives of any other Indian tribe which believes itself to be culturally affiliated with these human remains should contact Superintendent Roy W. Weaver, Bandelier National Monument, HCR 1 Box 1 Suite 15, Los Alamos, NM, 85744, telephone: (505) 672-3861 fax (505) 672-9607, before August 4, 1995. Repatriation of these human remains may begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

Dated: June 29, 1995

**Veletta Canouts,**

*Acting Departmental Consulting Archeologist and*

*Acting Chief, Archeological Assistance Division*

[FR Doc. 95-16472 Filed 7-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-F

## ADVISORY COMMISSION ON INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

### Criteria for Review of Federal Mandates by the Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations

**ACTION:** Notice of criteria for review of federal mandates.

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations (ACIR) is

issuing criteria for investigating and reviewing existing federal mandates and formulating recommendations to modify, suspend, or terminate specific mandates on State, local, or tribal governments. These criteria were approved by the Commission on June 28, 1995.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Philip M. Dearborn, Director, Government Finance Research, ACIR, 800 K Street, NW, Suite 450 South, Washington, DC 20575, phone (202) 653-5538, FAX (202) 653-5429.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations (42 U.S.C. 4271) is charged in Section 302 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4, 109 Stat. 48) with investigating and reviewing the role of Federal mandates in intergovernmental relations [Sec. 302(a)(1)] and with making recommendations for improving the operation of mandates [Sec. 302(a)(3)]. The law defines "Federal mandate" very broadly for the purposes of the ACIR review as "any provision in statute or regulation or any Federal court ruling that imposes an enforceable duty on State, local, or tribal governments including a condition of Federal assistance or a duty arising from participation in a voluntary Federal program."

For purposes of reviewing the role of Federal mandates under Sec. 302(a)(1), ACIR will take into account the positive attributes of mandates and the rationale for their adoption, as well as the characteristics of mandates that present problems. For purposes of making the recommendations required under Section 302(a)(3), ACIR will select for review only Federal mandates that are generally recognized as creating significant concerns within the intergovernmental system. In accordance with Public Law 104-4, ACIR will give review priority to mandates that are subject to judicial proceedings in Federal courts.

Prior to making recommendations under Sec. 302(a)(3), the Commission is required to issue criteria. The following criteria will fulfill that requirement. They were approved by the Commission on June 28, 1995, following public comment on proposed criteria published in 60 FR 27324 on May 23, 1995.

The Commission will make the final decisions about which mandates it will review and what recommendations it will make. The Commission's decisions will be based on two types of criteria:

(1) Those that provide a basis for identifying mandates of significant concern; and

(2) Those that provide a basis for formulating recommendations to retain, modify, suspend, or terminate specific mandates that are concern.

These criteria are intended solely to help the Commission make its recommendation.

#### Criteria for Identifying Mandates of Significant Concern

In general, Federal mandates will be selected for intensive review if they have one or more of the following characteristics:

*1. The Mandate Requires State, Local, or Tribal Governments to Expend Substantial Amounts of Their Own Resources in a Manner That Significantly Distorts Their Spending Priorities*

This addresses mandates that require more than incidental amounts of spending.

It will not include all Federal mandates that require governments to spend money.

*2. The Mandate Establishes Terms or Conditions for Federal Assistance in a Program or Activity in Which State, Local, or Tribal Governments Have Little Discretion Over Whether or Not to Participate*

This will include mandates in entitlements and discretionary programs. It will exclude conditions of grants in small categorical programs that are distributed on the basis of annual or periodic applications and that are received only by a limited number of governments unless the conditions effectively limit access to such programs by small governments.

*3. The Mandate Abridges Historic Powers of State, Local, or Tribal Governments, the Exercise of Which Would Not Adversely Affect Other Jurisdictions*

This will include mandates that have an impact on internal State, local, and tribal government affairs related to issues not widely acknowledged as being of national concern and for which the absence of the mandate would not create adverse spillover effects. This also will include mandates that abridge the powers of State, local, or tribal governments to impose taxes within the limits of the U.S. Constitution and that provide particular tax treatment to particular classes of taxpayers.