

Integrated Leakage Rate Test or CILRT) be performed at approximately equal intervals during each 10-year service period. The licensee's request for an exemption would defer the next scheduled CILRT for one outage, from Refuel 12 to Refuel 13.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's request for exemption dated April 4, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed because the licensee's current schedule would require a CILRT to be performed during Refuel 12 (September 1995). Minimal safety benefit would be realized by performing the scheduled CILRT, since the majority of primary containment leakage has previously been identified through the performance of the Local Leak Rate Tests (LLRT). Without the exemption, the licensee would incur additional cost and downtime of the unit.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed exemption would not significantly increase the probability or amount of expected containment leakage, and that containment integrity would thus be maintained.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternative to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impacts associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of

the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement related to the operation of Salem Nuclear Generating Station," dated April 1973.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on May 31, 1995, the NRC staff consulted with the New Jersey State official, Mr. Dennis Zannoni of the Department of Environmental Protection regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to this proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated April 4, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC and at the local public document room located at the Salem Free Public Library, 112 West Broadway, Salem, NJ 08079.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of June 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John F. Stolz,

Director, Project Directorate I-2, Division of Reactor Projects—II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-16247 Filed 6-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Delegation of Authority

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of delegation of authority to the Chairman of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

SUMMARY: On July 2, 1995, due to vacancies on the Commission, a quorum of Members of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission will not be available. This circumstance is provided for in a delegation of authority approved by the Commission under section 1 of

Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1980, whereby all Commission functions are delegated to the Chairman at such time as a quorum (at least three Members) ceases to exist.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This delegation shall take effect on July 2, 1995 and shall remain in effect only until a quorum has been restored.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Crane, 301-415-1622.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The text of the delegation of authority follows:

Delegation of Authority

Under section 201(a) of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, a quorum for the transaction of business shall consist of at least three Members. While the Commission has a quorum, it is making necessary delegations of authority to ensure that the agency mission can be carried out in the event that, unexpectedly, a quorum is no longer available due to vacancies or the incapacitation of a Member. These delegations shall take effect immediately upon the lack of a quorum for the reasons stated above and shall remain in effect only until a quorum has been restored. This document is to be published in the **Federal Register** by the Secretary of the Commission should the delegations come into force.

Under section 1 of Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1980, the Commission's functions are limited to policy formulation, rulemaking and adjudication. It is imperative that the agency be able to carry out these functions at all times. Section 1 further provides that the performance of any of these functions can be delegated to a member of the Commission, including the Chairman.

To ensure that these functions can be successfully carried out, the Commission, pursuant to section 1 of Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1980, is hereby delegating the authority to carry out all Commission functions, should the absence of a quorum arise, to the Chairman of the Commission. In the event the Chairman is incapacitated or that position is not filled, the authority is delegated to the Commissioner with the longest service on the Commission. The Chairman or Commissioner exercising the authority conferred by this delegation is required to consult with the other Commissioner before taking action on a matter. For the purpose of this delegation the term "Chairman" shall also include "Acting Chairman".

All existing delegations of authority to NRC officials in effect prior to the effective date of this delegation of authority remain in full force and effect.

Approved at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of June, 1994.

/S/ Ivan Selin

Ivan Selin,

Chairman.

/S/ Kenneth C. Rogers

Kenneth C. Rogers,

Commissioner.

/S/ Forrest J. Remick

Forrest J. Remick,

Commissioner.

/S/ E. Gail de Planque

E. Gail de Planque,

Commissioner.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of June, 1995.

John C. Hoyle,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 95-16316 Filed 6-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

The National Partnership Council; Meeting

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) announces the next meeting of the National Partnership Council (the Council). Notice of this meeting is required under the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

TIME AND PLACE: The Council will meet July 12, 1995, at 1 p.m., in the auditorium at the Office of Personnel Management, Theodore Roosevelt Building, 1900 E Street, NW., Washington, DC 20415-0001. The auditorium is located on the ground level.

TYPE OF MEETING: This meeting will be open to the public. Seating will be available on a first-come, first-served basis. Handicapped individuals wishing to attend should contact OPM at the number shown below to obtain appropriate accommodations.

POINT OF CONTACT: Douglas K. Walker, National Partnership Council, Executive Secretariat, Office of Personnel Management, Theodore Roosevelt Building, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 5315, Washington, DC 20415-0001, (202) 606-1000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Council will receive reports on and discuss activities contained in the strategic action plan for 1995 that was adopted at the January 10, 1995, meeting. Additionally, there will be a panel discussion of the Administration's

May 24, 1995, specifications for the Federal Human Resource Management Reinvention Act of 1995. The panel will include representatives from Federal employee unions, veterans organizations, and the Coalition for Effective Change. To get a copy of the Administration's specifications, call Phyllis G. Foley at (202) 606-2930.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION: We invite interested persons and organizations to submit written comments on the Administration's specifications. Mail or deliver your comments to Mr. Douglas K. Walker at the address shown above. Comments should be submitted before the July 12 meeting or within 30 days after this notice is published in the **Federal Register**.

Office of Personnel Management,

James B. King,

Director.

[FR Doc. 95-16229 Filed 6-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-35909; File No. SR-Amex-95-14]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the American Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to Permanent Approval of Its Pilot Program That Permits Specialists to Grant Stops in a Minimum Fractional Change Market

June 28, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on March 23, 1995, the American Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange requests permanent approval of the pilot program that amended Exchange Rule 109 to permit a specialist, upon request, to grant stops in a minimum fractional change market.¹ The text of the proposed rule

¹ The Amex received approval to amend Rule 109, on a pilot basis, in Securities Exchange Act

change is available at the Office of the Secretary, Amex, and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

On January 31, 1995, the Commission extended its pilot approval of amendments to Exchange Rule 109 until July 21, 1995.² The amendments permit a specialist, upon request, to grant a stop³ in a minimum fractional change market⁴ for any order of 2,000 shares or less, up to a total of 5,000 shares for all stopped orders, provided there is an order imbalance, without obtaining prior Floor Official approval. A Floor Official, however, must authorize a greater order size or aggregate share threshold.

During the course of the pilot program, the Exchange has closely monitored compliance with the rule's requirements, as well as analyzed the impact on orders on the specialist's book resulting from the execution of stopped orders at a price that is better than the stop price, and reviewed

Release No. 30603 (Apr. 17, 1992), 57 FR 15340 (Apr. 27, 1992) (File No. SR-Amex-91-05) ("1992 Approval Order"). The Commission subsequently extended the Amex's pilot program in Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 32185 (Apr. 21, 1993), 58 FR 25681 (Apr. 27, 1993) (File No. SR-Amex-93-10) ("April 1993 Approval Order"); 32664 (July 21, 1993) 58 FR 40171 (July 27, 1993) (File No. SR-Amex-93-22) ("July 1993 Approval Order"); 33791 (Mar. 21, 1994), 59 FR 14432 (Mar. 28, 1994) (File No. SR-Amex-93-47) ("1994 Approval Order"); and 35310 (Jan. 31, 1995) 60 FR 7236 (Feb. 7, 1995) (File No. SR-Amex-95-01) (January 1995 Approval Order").

² See January 1995 Approval Order, *supra*, note 1.

³ An agreement to "stop" stock at a specified price constitutes a guarantee by the member who grants the stop that the order of the member who accepts the stop will be executed at the stop price or better. See Amex Rule 109(a).

⁴ Amex Rule 127 sets forth the minimum fractional changes for securities traded on the Exchange.