

are those specified for the contiguous States.

SCHOOL PROGRAMS—MEALS AND MILK PAYMENTS TO STATES AND SCHOOL FOOD AUTHORITIES
 [Expressed in dollars or fractions thereof—effective from July 1, 1995–June 30, 1996]

National School Lunch Program ¹	Less than 60 percent	60 percent or more	Maximum rate
Contiguous States:			
Paid	\$0.1725	\$0.1925	\$0.2525
Reduced price	1.3950	1.4150	1.5650
Free	1.7950	1.8150	1.9650
Alaska:			
Paid28	.30	.3975
Reduced price	2.51	2.53	2.77
Free	2.91	2.93	3.17
Hawaii:			
Paid2025	.2225	.2925
Reduced price	1.7025	1.7225	1.8950
Free	2.1025	2.1225	2.2950
School Breakfast Program	Non-Severe Need		Severe Need
Contiguous States:			
Paid	\$0.1950		\$0.1950
Reduced price6975		.8850
Free9975		1.1850
Alaska:			
Paid28		.28
Reduced price	1.2775		1.5825
Free	1.5775		1.8825
Hawaii:			
Paid2175		.2175
Reduced price8575		1.0775
Free	1.1575		1.3775
Special Milk Program	All milk	Paid milk	Free milk
Pricing Programs without Free Option	\$.1125	N/A	N/A
Pricing Programs with Free Option	N/A	\$.1125	N/A ⁽²⁾
Nonpricing programs1125	N/A	N/A
Supplements Served in Afterschool Care Programs			
Contiguous States:			
Paid		\$.0450	
Reduced price2475	
Free4925	
Alaska:			
Paid0725	
Reduced price4000	
Free8000	
Hawaii:			
Paid0525	
Reduced price2875	
Free5775	

¹ Payments listed for Free and Reduced Price Lunches include both sections 4 and 11 funds.
² Average cost 1/2 pint milk.

Authority: Sec. 4, 8, 11 and 17A of the National School Lunch Act, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 1753, 1757, 1759a, 1766a) and sections 3 and 4(b) of the Child Nutrition Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1772 and 42 U.S.C. 1773(b)).

Dated: June 27, 1995.

William E. Ludwig,
 Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-16272 Filed 6-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

Forest Service

Snowcreek Golf Course Expansion

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, will prepare a environmental impact statement (EIS) for the proposed expansion of the Snowcreek Golf Course on National

Forest System lands. The proposed expansion is located adjacent to the Town of Mammoth Lakes, within the boundary of the Inyo National Forest, Mono County, California. The EIS will evaluate at least four alternatives, the expansion as proposed, land exchange between the Forest Service and the proponent, expansion of the golf course on private lands, and denial of the Special-Use Application (the No Action alternative). In addition, the agency

gives notice of the environmental analysis and decision making process that will occur on the proposal so that interested and affected people are aware of how they may participate and contribute to the final decision.

DATES: Comments concerning the scope of the analysis must be received by July 31, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments and suggestions concerning the proposed Snowcreek Golf Course Expansion to Dennis Martin, Forest Supervisor, Inyo National Forest, 873 North Main Street, Bishop, California 93514, ATTN: Snowcreek.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Direct questions about this environmental impact statement to Bob Hawkins, Winter Sports Specialist, Inyo National Forest, 873 North Main Street, Bishop, California 93514 or telephone (619) 873-2400.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: An application for the expansion of the Snowcreek Golf Course was first submitted by Dempsey Construction Corporation in 1990. An Environmental Assessment and Decision Notice/Finding of No Significant Impact approving the proposal were issued by the Forest Supervisor on February 1, 1991. That decision was appealed pursuant to regulations at 36 CFR part 217. During the appeals process it became apparent that the Forest Supervisor did not have the authority to approve construction of a golf course, as that authority is reserved by the Chief of the Forest Service. The original decision was withdrawn by the Forest Supervisor on November 3, 1992. The application was forwarded to the Chief for review. The Chief denied the application based on policy on August 24, 1994.

Dempsey Construction Corporation re-applied for the use on December 13, 1994. The new application contained additional information regarding how the proposed use conformed with Forest Service Policy. Based on this new information, the application was accepted for review by the Chief on May 25, 1995. Acceptance of the application acknowledges that the expansion of the golf course on National Forest System lands is consistent with agency policy as well as statutory mission. The Chief also delegated the authority to make a final decision on the proposal to the Inyo National Forest Supervisor.

The proposal to expand the existing golf course includes adding an additional 9 holes, as well as the infrastructure needed to support the activity, such as irrigation systems, decorative water storage ponds, driving range, parking lot, clubhouse/pro-shop

building, and storage/maintenance facilities. The golf course will be open to the public for a four month, 120-day season from June 10 to October 10. The expected use is estimated at 25,000 rounds of golf. Irrigation for this project will be with a combination of reclaimed wastewater and pumped ground water from private property. Estimated irrigation water demand is 390,000 gallons per day during the peak growing season. Turf management will be guided by the objectives of Integrated Plant Management, which is defined as the use of pest and environmental information and pest control methods to help prevent unacceptable levels of pest damage. The tools of pest management include cultural, mechanical, physical, biological, and chemical methods of pest control.

Public participation will be especially important at several points during the analysis. The first point is the scoping process (40 CFR 1501.7). The Forest Service has and is seeking information, comments, assistance from Federal, State, and local agencies and other individuals or organizations who may be interested in or affected by the proposed action. This input will be used in preparation of the draft EIS. The scoping process includes:

1. Identifying potential issues.
2. Identifying issues to be analyzed in depth.
3. Eliminating insignificant issues or those which have been covered by a relevant previous environmental analysis.
4. Exploring additional alternatives.
5. Identifying potential environmental effects of the proposed action and alternatives (i.e., direct, indirect, and cumulative effects and connected actions).
6. Determining potential cooperating agencies and task assignments.

Mailings to individuals and agencies that participated in the previous planning efforts will provide them with information about the proposed project. Public meetings, if held, will be announced locally. Federal, State, and local agencies, user groups, and other organizations who would be interested in the study will be invited to participate in scoping the issues that should be considered.

The draft EIS is scheduled to be completed by August 1995. The comment period on this draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency's notice of availability appears in the **Federal Register**. It is very important that those interested in the proposal participate at that time.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 533 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft EIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

After the comment period ends on the draft EIS, the comments will be analyzed and considered by the Forest Service in preparing the final environmental impact statement. The final EIS is expected to be completed by December 1995. The final EIS is expected to be completed by December 1995. The Forest Service is required to respond in the final EIS to the comments received (40 CFR 1503.4). The responsible official will consider the comments, responses, and environmental consequences discussed in the final EIS and applicable laws, regulations, and policies in making his decision on the proposal.

The decision will either be approval of the proposal as submitted, approval of the proposal as modified, or denial of

the proposal (No Action). If the proposal is approved, a special use permit would be issued for the construction and operation of a golf course. The responsible official will document the decision and rationale in the Record of Decision. The decision will be subject to appeal under 36 CFR 215 or regulations applicable at the time of the decision. Dennis Martin, Forest Supervisor, Inyo National Forest, 873 N. Main, Bishop, California 93514 is the responsible official for review of the proposal.

Dated: June 26, 1995.
Dan Totheroh,
Acting Forest Supervisor.
 [FR Doc. 95-16263 Filed 6-30-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Economic Development Administration

Notice of Petitions by Producing Firms for Determination of Eligibility to Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance

AGENCY: Economic Development Administration (EDA), Department of Commerce.

ACTION: To give firms an opportunity to comment.

Petitions have been accepted for filing on the dates indicated from the firms listed below.

LIST OF PETITION ACTION BY TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR PERIOD 05/16/95-06/16/95

Firm name	Address	Date petition accepted	product
QUALI-CAST FOUNDRY, INC	102 SEARS ROAD, CHEHALIS, WA 98532.	06/01/95	PUMP AND VALVE HOUSINGS.
THE GLASS EYE STUDIO CO	600 NORTHWEST 40TH STREET, SEATTLE, WA 98107.	06/01/95	DECORATIVE GLASS.
EPRO, INC	156 EAST BROADWAY, WESTERVILLE, OH 43081.	06/01/95	HAND MADE CUSTOM CERAMIC TITLE.
WORLD CLOCK COMPANY	2211 LAPEER ROAD, FLINT, MI 48503-4222.	06/01/95	DECORATIVE WALL CLOCKS.
VIRGINIA APPAREL CORPORATION	721 NORTH MAIN STREET, ROCKY MOUNT, VA 24151.	06/07/95	MEN'S AND LADIES PANTS AND SHORTS MADE OF COTTON AND COTTON BLEND MATERIALS.
I.T.B. INC., DBA COYOTE SPORTS, INC	136 HAKL STREET, TABOR, SD 57063	06/08/95	GOLF BAGS.
J.W. BRAY COMPANY, INC	305 EAST HOWTHORNE ST, BOX 189, DALTON, GA 30720.	06/12/95	HOUSE SLIPPERS OF FABRIC.
HAMILTON DIGITAL CONTROLS, INC	2118 BEACHGROVE PLACE, UTICA, NY 13501-1798.	06/13/95	MAGNETIC TAPE RECORDING HEADS.
F.H.M. CLOTHING MANUFACTURING CO., INC.	35 EAST ELIZABETH AVENUE, LINDEN, NJ 07036.	06/15/95	MEN'S AND BOY'S JACKETS, TROUSERS, AND SUITS.
UNIFLAIR, INC	1501 GUILFORD AVENUE, BALTIMORE, MD 21202.	06/15/95	WOMEN'S AND MEN'S TOP, BOTTOM, DRESSES, AND LAB COATS.
GENERAL MACHINE WORKS, INC	515 PROSPECT STREET, PO BOX 546, YORK, PA 17405.	06/15/95	MACHINED PARTS FROM BAR STOCK, SHEET METAL AND PLASTIC.
TRIMBLEHOUSE CORPORATION	4658 S. OLD PEACHTREE ROAD, NORCROSS, GA 30071.	06/15/95	ELECTRICAL LIGHTING OF BRASS AND OTHER METALS.
MARWIN CONTROLS, INC	11567 GOLDCOAST DRIVE, CINCINNATI, OH 45249.	06/15/95	ACTUATORS AND 3-PIECE BALL VALVES.
TIMBER LAKE CHEESE COMPANY, INC	P.O. BOX A, TIMBER LAKE, SD 57656 .	06/16/95	COLBY CHEESE.

The petitions were submitted pursuant to Section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2341). Consequently, the United States Department of Commerce has initiated separate investigations to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by each firm contributed importantly to total or partial separation of the firm's workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of each petitioning firm.

Any party having a substantial interest in the proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A

request for a hearing must be received by the Trade Adjustment Assistance Division, Room 7023, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, no later than the close of business of the tenth calendar day following the publication of this notice.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official program number and title of the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance.

Dated: June 26, 1995.
Lewis R. Podolske,
Acting Director, Trade Adjustment Assistance Division.
 [FR Doc. 95-16241 Filed 6-30-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-24-M

Bureau of Export Administration

[Docket No. 1107-01]

Decision and Order

In the Matter of: American Technology Trading Group, 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 500, San Francisco, California 94104, Respondent.