

- Antibiotic Study Working Files, 1953-58.
11. General Services Administration (N1-269-95-2). Reduction in retention period for Contract Appeal Case Files.
  12. Immigration and Naturalization Service, (N1-85-92-1). Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements System.
  13. National Endowment for the Arts, Administrative Services Division (N1-288-95-2). Grant applicants' supporting materials.
  14. Peace Corps (N1-490-95-7). Office of University Programs files.
  15. Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (N1-465-95-1). Records of the Corporate Financing and Negotiations Department.
  16. Tennessee Valley Authority (N1-142-92-12). Maps and Surveys correspondence file, 1933-1988.
  17. Tennessee Valley Authority (N1-142-94-1). Original drawings, maps, sketches, and manufacturers' prints for TVA's power transmission system.
  18. Tennessee Valley Authority (N1-142-95-8). Eliminated land tract files.
  19. Tennessee Valley Authority (N1-142-95-10). Cemetery relocation project administration records.
  20. U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (N1-453-95-1). Computer printouts, complaints, legislative study and administrative subject files.
  21. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (N1-22-94-1). Electronic records relating to the 1970 and 1975 national surveys of fishing, hunting, and wildlife associated recreation.

Dated: June 19, 1995.

**John W. Carlin,**

*Archivist of the United States.*

[FR Doc. 95-16265 Filed 6-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-M

## NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

### Cooperative Agreement for Designing a National System for Collecting Economic Data on Arts Organizations

**AGENCY:** National Endowment for the Arts.

**ACTION:** Notification of Availability.

**SUMMARY:** The National Endowment for the Arts requests proposals leading to the award of a Cooperative Agreement with the goal of creating specific recommendations regarding practical steps to be undertaken by the

Endowment to improve the current state of economic data collection on arts organizations. The project will consist of three parts. Phase 1: A description of current national data collection systems on arts organizations. Phase 2: An assessment of needs of arts policymakers, researchers, and practitioners regarding arts organization economic data. Phase 3: An assessment of the ability of current data collection systems as described in Phase 1 to address the needs as determined in Phase 2. Those interested in receiving the Solicitation should reference Program Solicitation PS 95-08 in their written request and include two (2) self-addressed labels. Verbal requests for the Solicitation will not be honored.

**DATES:** Program Solicitation PS 95-08 is scheduled for release approximately July 21, 1995 with proposals due on August 21, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Requests for the Solicitation should be addressed to National Endowment for the Arts, Contracts Division, Room 217, 1100 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20506.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William I. Hummel, Contracts Division, National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20506 (202/682-5482).

**William I. Hummel,**

*Director, Contracts and Procurement Division.*

[FR Doc. 95-16228 Filed 6-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-M

### National Endowment for the Arts; Design Advisory Meeting

Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), as amended, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Design Advisory Panel (Overview Section) to the National Council on the Arts will be held on July 19-20, 1995 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:45 p.m. on July 19 and from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on July 20. This meeting will be held in Room M-07, at the Nancy Hanks Center, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20506.

This meeting will be open to the public on a space available basis.

Any interested person may observe meetings or portions thereof, which are open to the public, and may be permitted to participate in the discussions at the discretion of the meeting chairman and with the approval of the full-time Federal employee in attendance.

If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact the Office of Special Constituencies,

National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20506, 202/682-5532, TTY 202/682-5496, at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from Ms. Yvonne M. Sabine, Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, DC 20506, or call 202/682-5433.

Dated: June 27, 1995.

**Yvonne M. Sabine,**

*Director, Office of Council and Panel*

*Operations, National Endowment for the Arts.*

[FR Doc. 95-16218 Filed 6-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-289]

### GPU Nuclear Corporation; Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the provisions of 10 CFR 50.44, 10 CFR 50.46, and appendix K to 10 CFR part 50 to GPU Nuclear Corporation (GPUN, the licensee) for Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (TMI-1), located in Dauphin County, Pennsylvania.

#### Environmental Assessment

##### Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would enable the licensee to use demonstration fuel assemblies that contain some fuel rods whose zirconium-based cladding composition is somewhat different from the zirconium based compound named zircaloy. These demonstration assemblies would be loaded into TMI-1 during the upcoming September 1995 refueling outage and irradiated through fuel Cycles 11, 12, and 13.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption of June 1, 1995.

##### The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed exemption to 10 CFR 50.44, 10 CFR 50.46, and appendix K to 10 CFR part 50 is needed because these regulations specifically refer to light-water reactors containing fuel consisting of uranium oxide pellets enclosed in zircaloy tubes. Zircaloy is a zirconium-based alloy currently in use as cladding for fuel pellets. A new zirconium-based cladding has been developed which is not the same chemical composition as

zircaloy, and which the licensee wants to test in reactor operation. Since 10 CFR 50.46 and 10 CFR part 50, appendix K limit Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) calculations to zircaloy and 10 CFR 50.44 relates to the generation of hydrogen gas from a metal-water reaction with zircaloy, an exemption is required in order to place two demonstration assemblies in the core. The staff has reviewed the chemical composition of the new cladding and found no significant difference between the new composition and zircaloy. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, a special circumstance exists in which application of these regulations is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the regulations. The NRC staff finds that granting the requested exemption is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. Thus, an exemption is authorized by 10 CFR 50.12. The underlying purpose of 10 CFR 50.46 and 10 CFR 50 appendix K is to establish requirements for calculations of emergency core cooling systems. The licensee addressed the safety impact of the demonstration assemblies on emergency core cooling system performance as part of the application for exemption and demonstrated that the new zirconium based cladding does not affect the ECCS calculations. The underlying purpose of 10 CFR 50.44 is to ensure that means are provided for the control of hydrogen gas that may be generated following a postulated loss-of-coolant accident. The licensee previously addressed hydrogen generation following a loss-of-coolant accident. The licensee's proposed action has no significant effect on the previous assessment of hydrogen gas production.

#### *Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

With regard to potential radiological impacts to the general public, the proposed exemption involves features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR part 20. It does not affect the potential for radiological accidents and does not affect radiological plant effluents. The demonstration assemblies meet the same design bases as the fuel which is currently in the reactor. No safety limits have been changed or setpoints altered as a result of the use of these assemblies. The Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) analyses are bounding for the demonstration assemblies as well as the remainder of the core. The advanced zirconium-based alloys have been shown through testing to perform

satisfactorily under conditions representative of a reactor environment. In addition, the relatively small number of fuel rods involved does not represent a prohibitively large inventory of radioactive material which could be released into the reactor coolant in the event of cladding failure. The only credible consequence of this change would be a failure of the demonstration claddings. Even in the case of gross fuel failure, the number of rods involved is less than 1% of the core and, thus, sufficiently small that environmental impact would be negligible and is bounded by previous assessments. The small number of fuel rods involved in conjunction with the chemical similarity of the demonstration cladding to zircaloy cladding ensures that hydrogen production would not be significantly different from previous assessments. As a result, the proposed exemption does not affect the consequences of radiological accidents. Consequently, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

With regard to the potential environmental impacts associated with the transportation of the demonstration assemblies, the advanced cladding have no impact on previous assessments determined in accordance with 10 CFR 51.52. With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed exemption does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

#### *Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

Because the Commission's staff has concluded that there is no significant environmental impact associated with the proposed exemption, any alternative to the proposed exemption will have either no significantly different environmental impact or greater environmental impact. The principal alternative would be to deny the requested exemption. This would not reduce environmental impacts as a result of plant operations.

#### *Alternative Use of Resources*

This action does not involve the use of resources not previously considered in connection with the Final Environmental Statement related to the operation of Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, issued by the Commission in December 1972.

#### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

In accordance with its stated policy, the NRC staff consulted with Richard Janati of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources on June 9, 1995, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. Mr. Janati had no comments on behalf of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

#### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the request for exemption dated June 1, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555 and at the local public document room located at the Law/Government Publication Section, State Library of Pennsylvania, (Regional Depository) Walnut Street and Commonwealth Avenue, Box 1601, Harrisburg, PA 17105.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 26th day of June, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Ronald W. Hernan,**

*Acting Director, Project Directorate I-3,  
Division of Reactor Projects—I/II Office of  
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-16248 Filed 6-30-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-1-M

#### **[Docket No. 50-272]**

#### **Public Service Electric and Gas; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from Facility Operating License No. DPR-70, issued to the Public Service and Gas Company, (the licensee) for the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1. The plant is located at the licensee's site in Salem County, New Jersey.

#### **Environmental Assessment**

##### *Identification of Proposed Action*

The proposed action would grant an exemption from a requirement of Section III.D.1.(a) of appendix J to 10 CFR part 50, which requires a set of three Type A tests (Containment