

Clermont, Hamilton and Warren) ozone nonattainment areas have attained the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for ozone. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, USEPA is making these determinations without prior proposal because USEPA views this as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse or critical comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The USEPA will institute a second comment period on this action only if warranted by revisions to the rulemaking based on comments received. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this action must be received by July 31, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments must be mailed to: William L. MacDowell, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Enforcement Branch (AE-17J), USEPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

A copy of the air quality data and USEPA's analysis are available for inspection at the following address: Regulation Development Section, Air Enforcement Branch (AE-17J), USEPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard Schleyer, Environmental Engineer, Regulation Development Section, Air Enforcement Branch (AE-17J), USEPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-5089.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the direct final rule published in the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: June 14, 1995.

David A. Kee,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-15960 Filed 6-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[UT20-3-6773b; FRL-5212-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Utah; 1990 Base Year Carbon Monoxide Emission Inventories for Utah

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing approval of the 1990 base year carbon monoxide (CO) emission inventories for Ogden City, Salt Lake City, and Utah County (which includes Provo-Orem) that were submitted by the State to satisfy certain requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA), as amended in 1990. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. **DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by July 31, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Douglas M. Skie, Chief, Air Programs Branch (8ART-AP), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday at the following office: United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, Air Programs Branch, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Russ, Air Programs Branch (8ART-AP), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466, (303) 293-1814.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: May 17, 1995.

Robert L. Duprey,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-16066 Filed 6-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 22, 90, and 94

[WT Docket No. 95-70; RM-8200, FCC 95-204]

Routine Use of Signal Boosters

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission has released a Notice of Proposed Rule Making that proposes to permit routine use of signal boosters by licensees without separate authorization from the Commission. This action was initiated by a petition from TX RX Systems, Inc. and is necessary to enable licensees to use signal boosters without obtaining a waiver of the rules, thus reducing the workload burden on both the applicant and the Commission.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 21, 1995, and reply comments on or before August 8, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eugene Thomson, Private Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, (202) 418-0634.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making (Notice), in the Matter of Amendment of Parts 22, 90, and 94 of the Commission's Rules to Permit Routine Use of Signal Boosters, WT Docket No. 95-70, FCC 95-204, adopted May 17, 1995, and released June 22, 1995. The full text of the *Notice* is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room 239, 1919 M Street N.W. Washington, D.C. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS Inc. 2100 M St. N.W., Washington, D.C. 20037, telephone (202) 857-3800.

Summary of Notice of Proposed Rule Making

1. This proceeding was initiated by a petition for rule making filed by TX RX Systems Inc. requesting that Parts 22, 90, and 94 of the Rules and Regulations be amended to permit licensees to routinely use one-way or two-way signal