

statistical samples of establishments, legal entities, or enterprises; provides a standard basis for assigning SIC codes; and provides establishment level data from multi-establishment companies that are summarized and published in the annual County Business Patterns series of reports. In this request for revision, we are adding a one-time data user survey to selected respondent mailings to collect information on the respondents' ability and interest in reporting data electronically in subsequent years. We are also deleting an item from the COS report form which collected data on health plans.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit organizations, not for-profit institutions.

Frequency: Annually.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

OMB Desk Officer: Maria Gonzalez, (202) 395-7313.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Gerald Taché, DOC Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3271, Department of Commerce, room 5312, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to Maria Gonzalez, OMB Desk Officer, room 10201, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: June 22, 1995.

Gerald Taché,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 95-15904 Filed 6-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-F

International Trade Administration

[A-475-801]

Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof from Italy; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On February 28, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of its administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof (AFBs) from Italy (60 FR 10959). On May 11, 1995,

the Court of International Trade (CIT) ordered the Department to correct two ministerial errors in the final results with respect to AFBs from Italy sold by Meter. Accordingly, we are amending our final results of administrative review with respect to Meter. The review covers the period May 1, 1992, through April 30, 1993. The "class or kind" of merchandise covered by the review of Meter is ball bearings and parts thereof (BBs).

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 29, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles Riggle or Michael Rill, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 28, 1995, the Department published the final results of antidumping duty administrative review and revocation in part of the antidumping duty orders on AFBs from Italy (60 FR 10959). The review period is May 1, 1992, through April 30, 1993. The review covered one class or kind of merchandise, BBs, from Italy sold by Meter S.p.A. For a detailed description of the products covered under this class or kind of merchandise, including a compilation of all pertinent scope determinations, see the "Scope Appendix" of the final results referenced above.

Meter challenged the final results before the CIT, alleging ministerial errors in the final results for AFBs from Italy. On May 11, 1995, the CIT ordered the Department to correct the errors and publish the amended final results in the *Federal Register*.

Amended Final Results of Review

The CIT ordered the Department to make the following corrections to its analysis for Meter: (1) Calculate the cost of manufacturing by applying the computed adjustment percentage only to variable overhead costs, and (2) calculate Meter's general and administrative expense by removing the adjustment for severance costs. We have corrected these ministerial errors in Meter's margin calculation for the period May 1, 1992, through April 30, 1993.

Based on the correction of these ministerial errors in our calculations for Meter, we have determined that the following weighted-average percentage margin exists for the period May 1, 1992, through April 30, 1993:

| Manufacturer/Exporter | Country | BBs |
|-----------------------|-------------|------|
| Meter | Italy | 2.62 |

Based on these results, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to collect cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with the procedures discussed in the final results of these reviews. These deposit requirements are effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice and shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This amendment of final results of review and notice are in accordance with section 751(f) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1673(d)) and 19 CFR 353.28(c).

Dated: June 23, 1995.

Paul L. Joffe,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-16048 Filed 6-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[C-549-501]

Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

June 21, 1995.

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of countervailing duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On March 28, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand (60 FR 15901). We have now completed this review and determine the total net subsidy rate to be 0.73 percent *ad valorem* for all

companies for the period January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992. We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties as indicated above.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 29, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Penelope Naas or Carole Showers, Office of Countervailing Investigations, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room B099, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3534 and 482-3217, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 28, 1995, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 15901) the preliminary results of its administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand (50 FR 32751; August 14, 1985). The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. On April 27, 1995, a case brief was submitted by the domestic interested parties. On May 4, 1995, a rebuttal brief was submitted by the Royal Thai Government. The review period is January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992. This review involves one company, Saha Thai Pipe and Tube, and the following programs:

1. Export Packing Credits
2. Tax Certificates for Exporters
3. Tax and Duty Exemptions Under Section 28 of the Investment Promotion Act
4. Repurchase of Industrial Bills
5. Export Processing Zones
6. International Trade Promotion Fund/Export Promotion Fund
7. Electricity Discounts for Exporters
8. Reduced Business Taxes for Producers of Intermediate Goods for Export Industries
9. Additional Incentives under the IPA

We have now completed this review in accordance with section 751 of the Act. We have made no calculation changes since the preliminary determination. Therefore, we determine that the net subsidy for these final results is the same as in the preliminary determination (60 FR 15091): 0.73 percent *ad valorem* for all exporters and

producers of pipe and tube from Thailand.

Scope of Review

Imports covered in this review are shipments of circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes (pipes and tubes) with an outside diameter of 0.375 inch or more but not over 16 inches, of any wall thickness. These products, commonly referred to in the industry as standard pipe or structural tubing, are produced to various ASTM specifications, most notably A-120, A-53 and A-135. During the review period, this merchandise was classified under item numbers 7306.30.10 and 7306.30.50 of the HTS. The HTS numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments

Comment 1

Petitioners challenge the Department's decision to publish a country-wide countervailing duty rate. They argue that the statute, legislative history and court precedent give the Department ample authority to calculate a company-specific rate and a separate "all others" rate in the final results of this review. In this case, petitioners argue that the Department does not have a representative sample, since other producers are known to export the subject merchandise to the United States. Petitioners state that section 355.22(d) does not control the results in this review, as the country-wide rate must bear some relation to the average rate for all producers in the country. Thus, the Department should issue a company-specific rate to Saha Thai and an all-others rate to the remaining companies.

Respondents state that section 355.22(d) requires the publication of a single, country-wide rate in this review. Respondents point to the regulations, which state that only if there is a significant differential between producers or exporters during the period under review would the Department issue individual rates. They claim that petitioners' argument, which would require the Department to use the preliminary results of the 1988 review as the basis for an "all other" rate, is not allowed by the statute or the regulations because these results are outside the period under review.

DOC Position

We agree with respondents. At verification, we verified that Saha Thai was the only company that exported the subject merchandise during the period

of review (see Verification of the Government of Thailand and Saha Thai, dated May 26, 1994). Thus, based on 355.22(c)(7)(ii), we calculated a country-wide rate. The fact that a producer did not export during the POR, but now exports, will be addressed in a subsequent administrative review if one is requested, and the appropriate rate then will be determined.

Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine the net subsidy for the period of January 1, 1992, through December 31, 1992, to be 0.73 percent *ad valorem* for all exporters and producers of pipe and tube from Thailand.

Therefore, the Department intends to instruct the Customs Service to assess countervailing duties of 0.73 percent *ad valorem* on the f.o.b. invoice price on all shipments of this merchandise from Thailand entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 1992, and on or before December 31, 1992.

Further, the Department intends to instruct the Customs Service to collect cash deposits of 0.73 percent *ad valorem* on the f.o.b. invoice price on all shipments of this merchandise entered, or withdrawn from the warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review. This deposit instruction shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

The administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 355.22.

Dated: June 21, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-16049 Filed 6-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

Intent to Revoke Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of intent to revoke countervailing duty order.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its intent to revoke the countervailing duty order listed below. Domestic interested parties who object to revocation of this order must submit their comments in writing not later than the last day of July 1995.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 29, 1995.