

at the Region 5 offices by September 5, 1995, will be considered in the final rulemaking action taken by USEPA.

Administrative Review

This action has been classified as a Table 3 action by the Regional Administrator under the procedures published in the **Federal Register** on January 19, 1989 (54 FR 2214-2225), as revised by an October 4, 1993 memorandum from Michael H. Shapiro, Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation. The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this regulatory action from Executive Order 12866 review.

Nothing in this action should be construed as permitting or allowing or establishing a precedent for any future request for a PSD Class I redesignation. Each request for redesignation shall be considered separately and in relation to relevant statutory and regulatory requirements.

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. Section 600 *et seq.*, USEPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. sections 603 and 604.

Alternatively, USEPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000. The proposed action does not have a significant direct impact on small entities and may only prospectively affect the amount of air quality deterioration that is allowed from major stationary sources and major modifications, as defined by 40 CFR 52.21, and will not result in any significant additional requirements for small entities. Therefore, I certify that this action does not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Under Sections 202, 203, and 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Unfunded Mandates Act), signed into law on March 22, 1995, the USEPA must undertake various actions in association with proposed or final rules that include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to the private sector, or to State, local, or Tribal governments in the aggregate.

Through submission of the request for redesignation, the Tribal government has elected to adopt an option allowed them under Section 164 of the Act. The redesignation being proposed for approval in this action may bind State, local, and Tribal governments to

perform certain actions and also may ultimately lead to the private sector being required to perform certain duties. However, USEPA has also determined that this action does not include a mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to State, local, or Tribal governments in the aggregate or to the private sector.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Environmental Protection, Hydrocarbons, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides.

Dated: June 19, 1995.

Valdas V. Adamkus,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-16003 Filed 6-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[AK 9-1-6975b; FRL-5223-2]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: Alaska

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Alaska for the purpose of forecasting and tracking vehicle miles traveled (VMT) in the Anchorage area. On March 24, 1994, the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) submitted a SIP revision to EPA to satisfy the requirements of sections 187(a)(2)(A) and 187(a)(3) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by July 31, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Montel Livingston, Environmental Protection Specialist (AT-082), Air Programs Section, at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Air Programs Section, 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101. The Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, 410 Willoughby, Suite 105, Juneau, Alaska 99801-1795.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Montel Livingston, Air Programs Branch (AT-082), EPA, 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101, (206) 553-0180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 6, 1995.

Chuck Clarke,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-15955 Filed 6-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[OH87-1-7075b; FRL-5227-2]

Determination of Attainment of the Ozone Standard by the Cleveland, Toledo, Dayton and Cincinnati-Hamilton Interstate Ozone Nonattainment Areas and Determination Regarding Applicability of Certain Reasonable Further Progress and Attainment Demonstration Requirements; Ohio

AGENCY: United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The USEPA is proposing to determine, through direct final procedure, that the Cleveland (which includes the Counties of Ashtabula, Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Lorain, Medina, Portage and Summit); Toledo (which includes the Counties of Lucas and Wood); Dayton (which includes the Counties of Clark, Greene, Miami and Montgomery); and the Ohio portion of the Cincinnati-Hamilton Interstate (which includes the Counties of Butler,

Clermont, Hamilton and Warren) ozone nonattainment areas have attained the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for ozone. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, USEPA is making these determinations without prior proposal because USEPA views this as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse or critical comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The USEPA will institute a second comment period on this action only if warranted by revisions to the rulemaking based on comments received. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this action must be received by July 31, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments must be mailed to: William L. MacDowell, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Enforcement Branch (AE-17J), USEPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

A copy of the air quality data and USEPA's analysis are available for inspection at the following address: Regulation Development Section, Air Enforcement Branch (AE-17J), USEPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard Schleyer, Environmental Engineer, Regulation Development Section, Air Enforcement Branch (AE-17J), USEPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-5089.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the direct final rule published in the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: June 14, 1995.

David A. Kee,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-15960 Filed 6-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[UT20-3-6773b; FRL-5212-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Utah; 1990 Base Year Carbon Monoxide Emission Inventories for Utah

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing approval of the 1990 base year carbon monoxide (CO) emission inventories for Ogden City, Salt Lake City, and Utah County (which includes Provo-Orem) that were submitted by the State to satisfy certain requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA), as amended in 1990. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. **DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by July 31, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Douglas M. Skie, Chief, Air Programs Branch (8ART-AP), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday at the following office: United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, Air Programs Branch, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Russ, Air Programs Branch (8ART-AP), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466, (303) 293-1814.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: May 17, 1995.

Robert L. Duprey,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-16066 Filed 6-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 22, 90, and 94

[WT Docket No. 95-70; RM-8200, FCC 95-204]

Routine Use of Signal Boosters

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission has released a Notice of Proposed Rule Making that proposes to permit routine use of signal boosters by licensees without separate authorization from the Commission. This action was initiated by a petition from TX RX Systems, Inc. and is necessary to enable licensees to use signal boosters without obtaining a waiver of the rules, thus reducing the workload burden on both the applicant and the Commission.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 21, 1995, and reply comments on or before August 8, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eugene Thomson, Private Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, (202) 418-0634.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making (Notice), in the Matter of Amendment of Parts 22, 90, and 94 of the Commission's Rules to Permit Routine Use of Signal Boosters, WT Docket No. 95-70, FCC 95-204, adopted May 17, 1995, and released June 22, 1995. The full text of the *Notice* is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room 239, 1919 M Street N.W. Washington, D.C. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS Inc. 2100 M St. N.W., Washington, D.C. 20037, telephone (202) 857-3800.

Summary of Notice of Proposed Rule Making

1. This proceeding was initiated by a petition for rule making filed by TX RX Systems Inc. requesting that Parts 22, 90, and 94 of the Rules and Regulations be amended to permit licensees to routinely use one-way or two-way signal