

at the Region 5 offices by September 5, 1995, will be considered in the final rulemaking action taken by USEPA.

Administrative Review

This action has been classified as a Table 3 action by the Regional Administrator under the procedures published in the **Federal Register** on January 19, 1989 (54 FR 2214-2225), as revised by an October 4, 1993 memorandum from Michael H. Shapiro, Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation. The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this regulatory action from Executive Order 12866 review.

Nothing in this action should be construed as permitting or allowing or establishing a precedent for any future request for a PSD Class I redesignation. Each request for redesignation shall be considered separately and in relation to relevant statutory and regulatory requirements.

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. Section 600 *et seq.*, USEPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. sections 603 and 604.

Alternatively, USEPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000. The proposed action does not have a significant direct impact on small entities and may only prospectively affect the amount of air quality deterioration that is allowed from major stationary sources and major modifications, as defined by 40 CFR 52.21, and will not result in any significant additional requirements for small entities. Therefore, I certify that this action does not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Under Sections 202, 203, and 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Unfunded Mandates Act), signed into law on March 22, 1995, the USEPA must undertake various actions in association with proposed or final rules that include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to the private sector, or to State, local, or Tribal governments in the aggregate.

Through submission of the request for redesignation, the Tribal government has elected to adopt an option allowed them under Section 164 of the Act. The redesignation being proposed for approval in this action may bind State, local, and Tribal governments to

perform certain actions and also may ultimately lead to the private sector being required to perform certain duties. However, USEPA has also determined that this action does not include a mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to State, local, or Tribal governments in the aggregate or to the private sector.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Environmental Protection, Hydrocarbons, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides.

Dated: June 19, 1995.

Valdas V. Adamkus,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-16003 Filed 6-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[AK 9-1-6975b; FRL-5223-2]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: Alaska

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Alaska for the purpose of forecasting and tracking vehicle miles traveled (VMT) in the Anchorage area. On March 24, 1994, the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) submitted a SIP revision to EPA to satisfy the requirements of sections 187(a)(2)(A) and 187(a)(3) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by July 31, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Montel Livingston, Environmental Protection Specialist (AT-082), Air Programs Section, at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Air Programs Section, 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101. The Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, 410 Willoughby, Suite 105, Juneau, Alaska 99801-1795.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Montel Livingston, Air Programs Branch (AT-082), EPA, 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101, (206) 553-0180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 6, 1995.

Chuck Clarke,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-15955 Filed 6-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[OH87-1-7075b; FRL-5227-2]

Determination of Attainment of the Ozone Standard by the Cleveland, Toledo, Dayton and Cincinnati-Hamilton Interstate Ozone Nonattainment Areas and Determination Regarding Applicability of Certain Reasonable Further Progress and Attainment Demonstration Requirements; Ohio

AGENCY: United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The USEPA is proposing to determine, through direct final procedure, that the Cleveland (which includes the Counties of Ashtabula, Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Lorain, Medina, Portage and Summit); Toledo (which includes the Counties of Lucas and Wood); Dayton (which includes the Counties of Clark, Greene, Miami and Montgomery); and the Ohio portion of the Cincinnati-Hamilton Interstate (which includes the Counties of Butler,