

Signed in Washington, DC., this 14th day of June 1995.

**Victor J. Trunzo,**

*Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 95-15742 Filed 6-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

### Notice of Termination of Investigation

TA-W-31,053—OXY USA, Incorporated  
Midland, Texas  
TA-W-31,054—Hobbs, New Mexico  
TA-W-31,055—Bakersfield, California

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on May 22, 1995 in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at OXY USA, Incorporated.

All workers of the subject firms are covered under existing certification (TA-W-31,049; TA-W-31,051 and TA-W-31,052). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose; and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 20th day of June, 1995.

**Victor J. Trunzo,**

*Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 95-15744 Filed 6-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[NAFTA-00405]

### Paragon Trade Brands, Incorporated City of Industry, California; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Program Manager of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Paragon Trade Brands, Inc., City of Industry, California. The review indicated that the application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

NAFTA-00405; Paragon Trade Brands, Incorporated City of Industries, CA (June 14, 1995)

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 19th day of June, 1995.

**Victor J. Trunzo,**

*Program Manager, Policy & Reemployment Services Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 95-15746 Filed 6-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

### Job Training Partnership Act: Migrant and Seasonal Farmworker Programs; Final Allocations

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice of final allocations.

**SUMMARY:** The Employment and Training Administration is publishing final allocations for Program Year (PY) 1995 (July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996) for the Job Training Partnership Act section 402 migrant and seasonal farmworker program.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Charles C. Kane, Chief, Division of Seasonal Farmworker Programs. Telephone: (202) 219-5500 (this is not a toll-free number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Employment and Training Administration publishes the final allocation for Program Year 1995 (July 1, 1995-June 30, 1996).

The allocations set forth in the appendix to this notice were computed according to the allocation formula published at 59 FR 17577 (April 13, 1994). For PY 1995, \$85,710,000 were appropriated for migrant and seasonal farmworker programs. This amount is an increase of \$134,000 above the appropriation for PY 1994. This appropriation is subject to reduction depending upon possible rescissions for FY 1995. Each year since 1987, additional funds have been included to meet the demand for training and employment services to Special Agricultural Workers (SAWs) who became eligible for the program as a result of the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986. In addition, the reports of the House of Representatives and the Senate Committees on Appropriations on the Department of Labor's 1995 appropriations state that the committees expect the Department to continue the farmworker housing program. The Department concurs with this request.

The allocation formula is being applied to \$81,832,000. The remaining \$3,878,000 of the PY 1995 section 402 appropriation is being held in the section 402 national account to fund the housing program (\$3,000,000), the Hope, Arkansas, Migrant Rest Center (\$300,000), and other training and technical assistance projects.

### Allocation Formula

As stated above, the \$81,832,000 formula total was allocated on a State-by-State basis using the same formula that was applied in PY 1994. This ensures programmatic stability.

### Formula Allocations in Future Years

The Department intends to update the allocation formula to incorporate more current data on the farmworker population. To this end, in April 1994, a special task force was convened to explore options for revising the formula and its bases. Findings from this task force will be reflected in a new proposed allocation formula which will be published in the **Federal Register** for comment.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of June, 1995.

**Paul A. Mayrand,**

*Director, Office of Special Targeted Programs.*

[FR Doc. 95-15745 Filed 6-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

### Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration

#### Work Group on Defined Contribution Adequacy Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefits Plan; Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the authority contained in Section 512 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), 29 U.S.C. 1142, a public meeting of the Work Group on Defined Contribution Adequacy of the Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefit Plans will be held on July 18, 1995, in Room N3437 A&B, U.S. Department of Labor Building, Third and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20210.

The purpose of the meeting, which will begin at 9:30 a.m., is to obtain further evidence and data concerning defined contribution plans as an adequate source of retirement income when serving as primary plans. The Work Group will also address the potential policy issues, such as:

1. In terms of the adequacy of overall retirement income, are 401(k) plans simply substituting for other types of savings, such as other pension plans or personal savings? Have 401(k)s led to expanded pension coverage? What is the evidence?

2. Is the trend towards 401(k) plans likely to contribute to greater pension inequities between low and higher income workers—even with the current contribution limits?

3. What are the main barriers to improving contribution rates besides education—i.e., stagnant wages and other economic trends, and is it realistic to assume that we can meet contribution levels that are even close to the target levels?

4. What regulatory and tax law changes have had, and would likely