

Policy Act of 1992 (EPACT) [42 U.S.C. 13525], in the event EPACT applies to financial assistance instruments issued as a result of this solicitation. A copy of Section 2306 will be included in the DOE Application Instruction package.

Procurement Request Number: 07-95ID13375.000.

Dated: June 9, 1995.

**R. Jeffrey Hoyles,**

*Director, Procurement Services Division.*

[FR Doc. 95-15546 Filed 6-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

### Office of Fossil Energy

[FE Docket No. 95-41-NG]

#### Crestar Energy Marketing Corp.; Order Granting Blanket Authorization To Import and Export Natural Gas From and To Canada

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of order.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Fossil Energy of the Department of Energy gives notice that it has issued an order granting Crestar Energy Marketing Corp. authorization to import and export up to a combined total of 50 Bcf of natural gas from and to Canada over a two-year term beginning on the date of the first import or export after July 12, 1995.

This order is available for inspection and copying in the Office of Fuels Programs Docket Room, 3F-056, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586-9478. The docket room is open between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Issued in Washington, DC, June 13, 1995.

**Clifford P. Tomaszewski,**

*Director, Office of Natural Gas, Office of Fuels Programs, Office of Fossil Energy.*

[FR Doc. 95-15543 Filed 6-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

[FE Docket No. 95-45-NG]

#### Pan-Alberta Gas (U.S.) Inc., Order Granting Blanket Authorization To Import Natural Gas From Canada

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of order.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Fossil Energy of the Department of Energy gives notice that it has issued an order granting Pan-Alberta Gas (U.S.) Inc. authorization to

import up to 730 Bcf of natural gas from Canada over a two-year term beginning on the date of the first delivery after July 3, 1995.

This order is available for inspection and copying in the Office of Fuels Programs Docket Room, 3F-056, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585, (202) 586-9478. The docket room is open between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Issued in Washington, D.C., June 13, 1995.

**Clifford P. Tomaszewski,**

*Director, Office of Natural Gas, Office of Fuels Programs, Office of Fossil Energy.*

[FR Doc. 95-15544 Filed 6-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP95-565-000]

#### Equitrans, Inc.; Notice of Application

June 20, 1995.

Take notice that on June 15, 1995, Equitrans, Inc. (Equitrans), 3500 Park Lane, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15275, filed in Docket No. CP95-500-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing Equitrans to recover the costs associated with implementing a new technology for decreasing the investment in cushioning storage reservoirs by replacing the natural gas serving as cushion gas in Equitrans' Shirley reservoir in Tyler and Doddridge Counties, West Virginia with nitrogen, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Equitrans proposes to inject up to 300 Mmcf of nitrogen into the existing Shirley storage reservoir, but states that the reservoir will not be physically enlarged. According to Equitrans, less of the natural gas will need to remain in the reservoir to maintain the working capacity and deliverability of the reservoir, and the former cushion gas replaced with nitrogen will thereby be available for withdrawal, sale and public consumption. Equitrans proposes that the cushion natural gas withdrawn will be replaced by nitrogen at a lower cost to Equitrans' ratepayers.

In order to execute this procedure Equitrans state that it will contract with a third party to install temporary facilities at the surface of the Shirley reservoir to produce the nitrogen needed for injection. The nitrogen

generation facilities will be installed and removed by the provider. It is stated that the cost of constructing these facilities will be included in the unit cost of nitrogen which will be purchased by Equitrans at the point of injection. Equitrans states that the wells that will be used in this project are owned and operated by an independent producer of natural gas. It is stated that these wells were dually completed to allow access by Equitrans to the storage formation. Under an existing operating and farmout agreement between Equitrans and the producer, Equitrans states that it will withdraw cushion natural gas from the storage reservoir for one year prior to injecting nitrogen. Equitrans proposes to commence nitrogen injection in late 1996 or early 1997.

Equitrans states that the natural gas that will be replaced as cushion gas by nitrogen under this proposal is currently reflected in Equitrans' ratebase at \$1.10 per Mcf. Upon the sale of the natural gas that no longer needs to remain in the reservoir as cushion rates, Equitrans proposes to credit its "Account 117, Gas stored underground—noncurrent" by the amount that the gas is currently reflected in the rate base. Equitrans states that it will correspondingly debit the appropriate rate base account for the lower cost of the nitrogen. Equitrans contends that this rate base reduction will be included in rate base accounts chargeable to Equitrans' jurisdictional customers. It is stated that the cost of service impact of this rate base reduction will be included in Equitrans' next general Section 4(e) rate filing to be made in August of 1997, and will provide customers with rate benefits while maintaining the same level and reliability of storage service.

Equitrans further requests that the certificate issued herein provides that in the event of project failure, Equitrans be guaranteed recovery of the current book value of its Shirley facilities, together with a return on its investment in these facilities. Equitrans states that this regulatory protection is consistent with the Commission's treatment of the coal gasification projects undertaken in the 1970s.

Equitrans states that its proposal to offer its Shirley reservoir as a demonstration site will culminate the effort begun by the Gas Research Institute (GRI) in 1985 that has involved a variety of industry participants. It is stated that the potential benefits to the public of this project are significant, given the readily transferable nature of the technology to Equitrans' other storage reservoirs and to other storage operators in the industry.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before July 11, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20436, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held with further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Equitrans to appear or be represented at the hearing.

**Lois D. Cashell,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-15505 Filed 6-23-95; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP95-568-000]**

**Williams Natural Gas Co.; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

June 20, 1995.

Take notice that on June 16, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP95-568-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216) for authorization to abandon by reclaim facilities originally installed to deliver sales gas to Farmland Industries (Farmland) and to the Kansas Public

Service Haskell town border (KPS Haskell), both located in Douglas County, Kansas, under WNG's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

WNG states that the Farmland facilities were originally installed in 1954 and the KPS Haskell facilities were originally installed in 1938. In addition, WNG states that the Farmland setting has been blinded since 1983 and is no longer required to supply natural gas to the plant. WNG also states that the KPS Haskell setting has been blinded for several years, WNG having received authorization in Docket No. CP92-637-000 to install an additional tap for KPS in Douglas County which shifted the load from the low pressure distribution system serving the Haskell town border in anticipation of abandoning the town border. WNG further states that the reclaim of the Farmland and KPS Haskell facilities will also enable WNG to reclaim two regulator settings thereby eliminating unnecessary facilities.

WNG states that the total cost to reclaim the facilities at both locations is estimated to be \$1,200 with an estimated salvage value of \$0.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**Lois D. Cashell,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-15506 Filed 6-23-95; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. ER94-108-003, et al]**

**Heartland Energy Services, Inc., et al; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings**

June 16, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

**1. Heartland Energy Services, Inc.**

[Docket No. ER94-108-003]

Take notice that on April 28, 1995, Heartland Energy Services, Inc. tendered for filing a summary of its activity for the quarter ending March 31, 1995.

**2. Valero Power Services Company**

[Docket No. ER94-1394-003]

Take notice that on May 8, 1995, Valero Power Services Company tendered for filing a letter resubmitting a summary of its activity for the quarter ending March 31, 1995.

**3. CNG Power Services Corporation**

[Docket No. ER94-1554-003]

Take notice that on June 1, 1995, CNG Power Services Corporation (CNG Power) tendered for filing an amendment to its filing in this docket as required by the Commission's October 25, 1994, order in Docket No. ER94-1554-000. Copies of CNG Power's informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**4. Northeast Utilities Service Company**

[Docket No. ER94-1591-000]

Take notice that on May 26, 1995, Northeast Utilities Service Company tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* June 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**5. Mock Resources, Inc.**

[Docket No. ER95-300-002]

Take notice that on June 2, 1995, Mock Resources, Inc. tendered for filing a letter stating that the power marketing business was transferred from Wickland Power Services to Mock Resources, Inc.

*Comment date:* June 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**6. Ruffin Energy Service Inc.**

[Docket No. ER95-1047-000]

Take notice that on June 7, 1995, Ruffin Energy Service, Inc. tendered for filing an amendment to its May 15, 1995, filing in the above-referenced docket.

*Comment date:* June 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**7. Robert S. Jepson**

[Docket No. ID-2908-000]

Take notice that on May 25, 1995, Robert S. Jepson (Applicant) tendered for filing an application under Section 305(b) of the Federal Power Act to hold the following positions: