

Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 95-82, adopted June 7, 1995, and released June 19, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 1919 M Street, NW., Room 246, or 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95-15481 Filed 6-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 95-85, RM-8518]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Copeland, KS

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Greater Plains Christian Radio, Inc., proposing the allotment of Channel 280C1 to Copeland, Kansas, and the reservation of Channel 280C1 for noncommercial use. Channel *280C1 can be allotted in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements without the imposition of a site restriction. The coordinates for Channel *280C1 at Copeland are North Latitude 37-32-31 and West Longitude 100-37-45.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before August 10, 1995, and reply comments on or before August 25, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Stephen C. Simpson, 1090 Vermont Avenue, NW., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20005 (Counsel for petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 95-85, adopted June 7, 1995, and released June 19, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95-15482 Filed 6-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 571

[Docket No. 95-50; Notice 01]

RIN 2127-AF74

Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Reflecting Surfaces

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: NHTSA proposes to rescind Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 107, *Reflecting Surfaces*. This proposed action is part of NHTSA's efforts to implement the President's Regulatory Reinvention Initiative to remove unnecessary regulations. The agency has tentatively concluded that market forces and product liability concerns will achieve the same results as Standard No. 107. Therefore, the Standard can be rescinded without affecting safety. Eliminating the Standard will remove the need to certify compliance with it.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before July 26, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments must refer to the docket and notice numbers cited at the beginning of this notice and be submitted to: Docket Section, Room 5109, NHTSA, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590. It is requested, but not required, that 10 copies of the comments be provided. The Docket Section is open on weekdays from 9:30 a.m. to 4 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Richard Van Iderstine, Office of Vehicle Safety Standards, Office of Safety Performance Standards, NHTSA, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590. Mr. Van Iderstine's telephone number is (202) 366-5280, and his FAX number is (202) 366-4329.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

President's Regulatory Reinvention Initiative

Pursuant to the March 4, 1995 directive "Regulatory Reinvention Initiative" from the President to the heads of departments and agencies, NHTSA has undertaken a review of its regulations and directives. During the course of this review, the agency identified several requirements and regulations that are potential candidates for rescission, including Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 107, *Reflecting Surfaces* (49 CFR 571.107).

This document discusses why NHTSA believes Standard No. 107 can be rescinded without adversely affecting motor vehicle safety. That belief is based primarily on the vehicle manufacturers' established practice of using nonglossy materials and finishes on regulated and nonregulated components in the driver's forward field of view. Since the nonregulated components are not glossy, the agency believes that currently regulated components would not become glossy if they were deregulated.