

defined in the Act and its implementing regulations, of owls and murrelets in the harvest area. The permit authorizing incidental take would be in effect for 60 years for owls, and 6 years for murrelets. The HCP includes mitigation that would be in effect for 60 years, and would benefit both owls and murrelets. The application includes an HCP and Implementation Agreement.

The Applicant proposes to mitigate the incidental take by: (1) Setting aside approximately 19 percent of the Forest in late successional reserves located along riparian areas, in important owl, murrelet, and other wildlife habitat, and other areas of high ecological or scenic value; (2) managing the remaining Forest acreage in longer (80–240-year) timber rotations; (3) reducing habitat fragmentation and edge; (4) maintaining a forest landscape conducive to dispersal by owls; (5) dedication of research funds for 5 years to investigate murrelet and owl habitat relationships; and (6) habitat enhancement at the stand level. The Applicant will minimize take through: (1) Implementing a decision hierarchy procedure designed to ensure timber harvest only occurs in the poorest quality potential murrelet habitat; (2) protect and buffer currently known murrelet-occupied sites; (3) for the first 5 years of HCP implementation, protect a 70-acre core area around currently known owl activity centers, and restrict timber harvest and road construction activities within 0.25 miles of currently known owl nest sites during the breeding season; and (4) prohibit timber harvest and road construction within 0.25 miles of known occupied murrelet stands during the breeding season.

The EA considers the environmental consequences of 5 alternatives, including the Proposed Action and No Action alternatives. The Proposed Action is the issuance of a permit under section 10(a) of the Act that would authorize incidental take of owls for 60 years and murrelets for 6 years on the Forest, in accordance with the HCP. The Conservation Biology alternative would set aside 81 percent of the Forest in reserves, and would have the lowest level of take, other than the No Action alternative. The Intermediate Rotation alternative would set aside 13 percent of the Forest, would not include many of the mitigation and minimization measures inherent in the proposed action, and would produce the highest level of take. The Modified Interim Plan alternative would set aside 67 percent of the Forest, and would produce an intermediate level of take. Under the No Action alternative, the Applicant would avoid incidental take of owls and

murrelets and the permit would not be issued.

Dated: June 19, 1995.

John H. Doebel,

Deputy Regional Director, Region 1, Portland, Oregon.

(Notice: Availability of an Environmental Assessment and Receipt of an Application for a Permit Under Section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Endangered Species Act.)

[FR Doc. 95–15409 Filed 6–22–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

Bureau of Reclamation

Interim Concessions Management Policy Statement and Corresponding Concessions Management Guidelines

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Reclamation is extending the comment period published in 60 FR 21827, May 3, 1995, for the interim concessions management policy statement and corresponding concessions management guidelines. The extension will allow the public more time to prepare comments concerning the policy and guidelines.

DATES: Comments on the policy and guidelines must be postmarked no later than September 5, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request a copy of the policy and guidelines contact Bruce Glenn, Bureau of Reclamation, P.O. Box 25007, Denver, Colorado, 80225, Telephone: 303–236–3289, extension 314. Submit written comments to Mr. Glenn at the above address.

Dated: June 16, 1995.

Wayne Deason,

Assistant Director, Program Analysis Office.

[FR Doc. 95–15387 Filed 6–22–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–94–P

National Biological Service

Information Collection Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

The proposal for the collection of information reproduced below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for approval under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). An expedited review has been requested in accordance with the Act, since allowing for the normal review period would adversely affect the public interest for the reasons given below. Approval has

been requested by July 1, 1995.

Comments and suggestions on the proposal should be made directly to the bureau clearance officer listed below and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (1089–NB52), Washington, DC 20503; telephone 202–395–7340.

Title: Request for Economic Valuation of Riverine Resources.

OMB approval number: 1089–NB52.

Abstract: This study focuses on entire river basin and ecosystem valuation methodology development. The overall goal is development of transferable models and methods for assessing the value of habitats, using the Tallapoosa River in Alabama and Georgia as a study site. Methods to collect and analyze this data is needed to be able to predict the probable social and economic consequences of riverine and ecosystem management actions.

Results can assist decision-makers in effectively managing a river system's multiple uses. Telephone, mail and on-site interviews with Tallapoosa River users and non-users from a 20 county area will be the focus audience of this research.

Reason for expedited review: To allow timely continuation of project activities and to reduce difficulty in responding to question on use of the riverine resource during primary season use. Approval by expedited date would allow surveying to begin during or shortly after peak summer season.

Frequency: Once.

Description of respondents: Users and non-users of the Tallapoosa River system.

Estimated completion time: 14.25 minutes per survey (weighted average of telephone and mail/on-site survey instruments).

Annual responses: 4,350.

Annual burden hours: 1,509.

Bureau clearance officer: Don Minnich 202–482–4838, MS 3070 MIB, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240.

Dated: June 16, 1995.

H. Ronald Pulliam,

Director, National Biological Service.

[FR Doc. 95–15282 Filed 6–22–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–DP–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–374]

Notice

In the Matter of certain electrical connectors and products containing same.

Notice is hereby given that the prehearing conference in this matter will commence at 9:00 a.m. on June 27, 1995, in Courtroom C (Room 217), U.S. International Trade Commission Building, 500 E St. SW., Washington, DC, and the hearing will commence immediately thereafter.

The Secretary shall publish this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Issued: June 20, 1995.

Paul J. Luckern,

Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 95-15426 Filed 6-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-711 and 716-717 (Final)]

OCTG From Argentina, Mexico, and Spain

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution and scheduling of final antidumping investigations.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the institution of antidumping investigations Nos. 731-TA-711 and 716-717 (Final) under section 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 673d(b)) (the Act) to determine whether an industry in the United States is materially injured, or is threatened with material injury, or the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded, by reason of imports from Argentina, Mexico, and Spain of oil country tubular goods (OCTG),¹ provided for in subheadings 7304.20, 7305.20, and 7306.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States.

For further information concerning the conduct of these investigations, hearing procedures, and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 207, subparts A and C (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 20, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Douglas Corkran (202-205-3177), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting

¹ For the purposes of these investigations, OCTG are hollow steel products of circular cross-section. These products include oil well casing, tubing, and drill pipe, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute ("API") or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes). These investigations do not cover casing, tubing, or drill pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium.

the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000.

Information can also be obtained by calling the Office of Investigations' remote bulletin board system for personal computers at 202-205-1895 (N,8,1).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—The subject investigations are being instituted as a result of affirmative final determinations by the Department of Commerce that imports of OCTG from Argentina, Mexico, and Spain are being sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV) within the meaning of section 733 of the Act (19 U.S.C. § 1673b). Commerce's preliminary determinations of sales at LTFV were negative (60 F.R. 6503, February 2, 1995, and 60 F.R. 13119, March 10, 1995). These investigations were requested in petitions filed on June 30, 1994, by Bellville Tube Corp. (Bellville, TX); IPSCO Steel, Inc. (Camanche, IA); Koppel Steel Corp. (Beaver Falls, PA); Maverick Tube Corp. (Chesterfield, MO); North Star Steel Ohio (Youngstown, OH); U.S. Steel Group (Pittsburgh, PA); and USS/Kobe Steel Co. (Lorain, OH). The schedule for the subject investigations will be identical to that of the Commission's ongoing countervailing and antidumping duty investigations of the subject product (60 F.R. 10107, February 23, 1995).

Participation in the investigations and public service list.—Any person having already filed an entry of appearance in the ongoing countervailing and antidumping duty investigations on OCTG is considered a party in the subject antidumping investigations. Any other persons wishing to participate in the investigations as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission not later than two (2) days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The time limits established in section 201.11(b) of the Commission's rules are hereby waived.

Limited disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI) under an administrative protective order (APO) and BPI service list.—The Secretary will make BPI gathered in these final investigations available to additional authorized applicants under the APO issued in the investigations, provided that the application is made not later than two (2) days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The time limits established in section 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules are

hereby waived. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Staff report.—The prehearing staff report in these investigations was placed in the nonpublic record on June 14, 1995, and a public version was issued on June 16, 1995, pursuant to section 207.21 of the Commission's rules.

Hearing.—The Commission will hold a hearing in connection with these investigations beginning at 9:30 a.m. on June 27, 1995, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. All parties and nonparties desiring to appear at the hearing and make oral presentations should attend a prehearing conference to be held at 9:30 a.m. on June 22, 1995, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Oral testimony and written materials to be submitted at the public hearing are governed by sections 201.6(b)(2), 201.13(f), and 207.23(b) of the Commission's rules.

Requests for a separate hearing in these investigations for the limited purpose of supplementing the June 27, 1995, hearing record with testimony and evidence solely related to these antidumping duty investigations should be filed in writing with the Commission not later than June 30, 1995. If such a hearing is requested, parties will be contacted regarding the dates for the hearing and for the filing of briefs.

Written submissions.—Each party is encouraged to submit a prehearing brief to the Commission. Prehearing briefs must conform with the provisions of section 207.22 of the Commission's rules; the deadline for filing is June 21, 1995. Parties may also file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the hearing, as provided in section 207.23(b) of the Commission's rules, and posthearing briefs, which must conform with the provisions of section 207.24 of the Commission's rules. The deadline for filing posthearing briefs is July 6, 1995; witness testimony must be filed no later than three (3) days before the hearing. In addition, any person who has not entered an appearance as a party to the investigations may submit a written statement of information pertinent to the subject of the investigations on or before July 6, 1995. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections § 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules.

In accordance with sections § 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each