

§ 180.458 Clethodim ((E)-±)-2-[1-[(3-chloro-2-propenyl)oxy]imino]propyl]-5-[2-(ethylthio)propyl]-3-hydroxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one); tolerances for residues.

Commodity	Parts per million
Potatoes	0.5

PART 185—[AMENDED]

2. In part 185:
 a. The authority citation for part 185 continues to read as follows:
Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a and 348.
 b. By adding new § 185.1075, to read as follows:

§ 185.1075 Clethodim ((E)-±)-2-[1-[(3-chloro-2-propenyl)oxy]imino]propyl]-5-[2-(ethylthio)propyl]-3-hydroxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one).

Food additive tolerances are established for the combined residues of the herbicide clethodim ((E)-±)-2-[1-[(3-chloro-2-propenyl)oxy]imino]propyl]-5-[2-(ethylthio)propyl]-3-hydroxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one) and its metabolites containing the 2-cyclohexen-1-one moiety in or on the following processed foods:

Food	Parts per million
Potato flakes ¹	1.0
Potato granules ¹	1.0

¹There are no U.S. registrations as of (date of publication of final rule) for clethodim on potatoes.

[FR Doc. 95-15428 Filed 6-20-95; 1:50 pm]
 BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket Nos. 91-221 and 87-8; DA 95-1355]

Broadcast Services; TV Ownership

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.
ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Commission granted an additional eleven-day extension of time to file reply comments in this proceeding in response to a request filed

by The Black Citizens for a Fair Media, Center for Media Education, Chinese for Affirmative Action, Communications Task Force, Hispanic Bar Association, League of United Latin American Citizens, National Conference of Puerto Rican Women, Office of Communications of the United Church of Christ, Philadelphia Lesbian and Gay Task Force, Telecommunications Research Action Center, Wider Opportunities for Women, and the Women's Institute for Freedom of the Press (Petitioners). The Commission had already granted an extension of the original deadline for filing comments in this proceeding (April 17, 1995) to May 17, 1995, and had extended the original deadline for filing reply comments (May 17, 1995) to June 19, 1995. Petitioners requested an additional 60-day extension of time to file reply comments. The Commission determined that a brief extension was warranted to facilitate the development of a full and complete record, but declined to grant the full 60-day extension requested by Petitioners.

DATES: Reply comments are now due on June 30, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kim Matthews (202) 739-0774 or Robert Kieschnick (202) 739-0764, Mass Media Bureau.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In the matter of Review of the Commission's Regulations Governing Television Broadcasting, MM Docket No. 91-221; Television Satellite Stations Review of Policies and Rules, MM Docket No. 87-8.

Order Granting Extension of Time for Filing Reply Comments

Adopted: June 15, 1995.
 Released: June 15, 1995.

By the Chief, Mass Media Bureau:

1. On December 15, 1994, the Commission adopted a *Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making* regarding ownership of television stations. *Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making* in MM Docket Nos. 91-221 and 87-8, FCC 94-322 (Jan. 17, 1995) (*Notice*) 60 FR 6490, February 2, 1995. Comments on the *Notice* were initially due on April 17, 1995, and reply comments were initially due on May 17, 1995. By *Order* released April 7, 1995 60 FR 19566, April 19, 1995, the time for filing comments in this proceeding was extended to May 17, 1995, and the time for filing reply comments was extended to June 19, 1995.

2. On June 12, 1995, The Black Citizens for a Fair Media, Center for

Media Education, Chinese for Affirmative Action, Communications Task Force, Hispanic Bar Association, League of United Latin American Citizens, National Conference of Puerto Rican Women, Office of Communications of the United Church of Christ, Philadelphia Lesbian and Gay Task Force, Telecommunications Research Action Center, Wider Opportunities for Women, and the Women's Institute for Freedom of the Press (Petitioners), filed a joint request for an additional 60-day extension of time to file reply comments in this proceeding. Petitioners argue primarily that additional time is needed to review and prepare a reply to comments filed in response to the Commission's *Notice*. Petitioners also argue that Congress is presently considering legislation that would "effectively moot" this proceeding and therefore obviate the need to prepare and file reply comments.

3. As set forth in Section 1.46 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.46, it is our policy that extensions of time for filing comments in rulemaking proceedings shall not be routinely granted. Moreover, the initial comment period in this proceeding was longer than usual, and one 30-day extension of time has already been granted. However, in view of the circumstances outlined by Petitioners, we believe an additional 11-day extension of the reply comment deadline is warranted in order to facilitate the development of a full and complete record. We decline to grant a longer extension at this point in time based on speculation as to events that may or may not affect this proceeding.

4. Accordingly, It is Ordered that the Request for Extension of Time filed in MM Docket Nos. 91-221 and 87-8 by The Black Citizens for a Fair Media, Center for Media Education, Chinese for Affirmative Action, Communications Task Force, Hispanic Bar Association, League of United Latin American Citizens, National Conference of Puerto Rican women, Office of Communications of the United Church of Christ, Philadelphia Lesbian and Gay Task Force, Telecommunications Research Action Center, Wider Opportunities for Women, and the Women's Institute for Freedom of the Press is Granted to the extent detailed above and is otherwise Denied.

5. It is Further Ordered that the time for filing reply comments in the above-captioned proceeding is Extended to June 30, 1995.

6. This action is taken pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i) and 303(r) of the communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i) and

303(r), and Sections 0.204(b), 0.283, and 1.45 of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR 0.204(b), 0.283, and 1.45.

Federal Communications Commission.

Roy J. Stewart,

Chief, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95-15394 Filed 6-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Parts 45 and 52

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Government Property

AGENCY: Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The next public meetings of the Government Property Rewrite Team are scheduled for July 12, 1995, and July 13, 1995. Discussion will focus on a draft revised Government Property (Fixed-Price Contracts) clause.

DATES: Public Meetings: The public meetings will be conducted at the address shown below from 9:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., local time, on July 12, 1995, and July 13, 1995.

Draft Materials: Drafts of the materials to be discussed at the public meetings will be available no earlier than July 7, 1995, and may be obtained from Ms. Angelena Moy, (PDUSD (A&T) DP/MPI) at Room C-103, 1211 S. Fern St., Arlington, VA 22202-2808

ADDRESSES: Public Meetings: The public meetings will be held in Room 104, VSE Corporation, 2550 Huntington Ave., Alexandria, VA 22303.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Angelena Moy, by telephone at (703) 604-5875, or by FAX at (703) 604-6709.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 16, 1994, (59 FR 47583) the Director of Defense Procurement, Department of Defense, announced an initiative to rewrite the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Part 45, Government Property, to make it easier to understand and to minimize the burdens imposed on contractors and contracting officers. The Director of Defense Procurement is providing a forum for an exchange of ideas and information with government and industry personnel by holding public meetings, soliciting public comments, and publishing notices of the public meetings in the **Federal Register**.

Michele P. Peterson,

Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

[FR Doc. 95-15255 Filed 6-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

48 CFR Parts 209 and 252

[DFARS Case 92-D344]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Organizational Conflict of Interest

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The proposed rule published November 1, 1993, at 58 FR 58316, is hereby withdrawn. The rule proposed revisions to the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to add an organizational conflict of interest solicitation provision and contract clause for use in acquisitions for development, production, or testing of a defense acquisition program. These DFARS revisions have been determined to be unnecessary at this time.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Amy Williams, (703) 602-0131.

Michele P. Peterson,

Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

[FR Doc. 95-15254 Filed 6-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

48 CFR Part 215

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Field Pricing Reports

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Proposed rule with request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Director of Defense Procurement is proposing to amend the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to increase the threshold for requesting a field pricing report for cost-type proposals from offerors without significant estimating system deficiencies.

DATES: Comments on the proposed rule should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before August 22, 1995, to be considered in the formulation of the final rule.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties should submit written comments to: Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, Attn: Ms. Amy Williams, PDUSD(A&T)DP(DAR), IMD 3D139, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington DC 20301-3062. Telefax number (703) 602-0350. Please cite DFARS Case 95-D010 in all correspondence related to this issue.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Amy Williams, (703) 602-0131.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

This proposed rule implements a recommendation of the Department of Defense Procurement Process Reform Process Action Team. The rule amends DFARS Subpart 15.8 by increasing, from \$1,000,000 to \$10,000,000, the threshold for requesting a field pricing report for cost-type proposals from offerors without significant estimating system deficiencies.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The proposed rule is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*, because the rule primarily relates to application of Government resources for field pricing reviews. An Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis has, therefore, not been performed. Comments from small entities concerning the affected DFARS subpart will be considered in accordance with Section 610 of the Act. Such comments must be submitted separately and cite DFARS Case 95-D010 in correspondence.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because this proposed rule does not impose any new information collection requirements which require the approval of OMB under 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 215

Government procurement.

Michele P. Peterson,

Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

Therefore, 48 CFR part 215 is proposed to be amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR part 215 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

PART 215—CONTRACTING BY NEGOTIATION

2. Section 215.805-5(a)(1)(A)(3) is amended by revising "\$1 million" to read "\$10 million."

[FR Doc. 95-15253 Filed 6-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M