

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-15368 Filed 6-22-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw From Listing and Registration; (Westbridge Capital Corp., Common Stock, \$0.10 Par Value) File No. 1-8538

June 19, 1995.

Westbridge Capital Corp. ("Company") has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 12d2-2(d) promulgated thereunder, to withdraw the above specified security ("Security") from listing and registration on the American Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Amex").

The reasons alleged in the application for withdrawing the Security from listing and registration include the following:

According to the Company, in addition to being listed on the Amex, the Security is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE"). The Security commenced trading on the NYSE at the opening of business on April 12, 1995 and concurrently therewith the Security was suspended from trading on the Amex.

In making the decision to withdraw the Security from listing on the Amex, the Company considered the direct and indirect costs and expenses attendant with maintaining the dual listing of the security on the NYSE and on the Amex. The Company does not see any particular advantage in the dual trading of the Security and believes that dual listing would fragment the market for the Security.

Any interested person may, on or before July 11, 1995, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the exchanges and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-15423 Filed 6-22-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 2223]

Collection of Fee for Processing Garnishment of Department of State Employees for Payment of Debt

AGENCY: Department of State.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of State is giving notice that, as authorized by title 5 United States Code, section 5520a(j)(2), it will collect and use a fee for processing of garnishments against Department of State employees to satisfy debts other than for alimony or child support. The fee is \$30, and it will be collected from the employee at the time the garnishment is first instituted. It will be added to the amount garnished as a charge against salary.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Pay period beginning July 2, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments regarding this notice should be sent to the Assistant Legal Adviser for Legislation and General Management (L/LM), Office of the Legal Adviser, Department of State, room 5425, 22nd and C Streets NW., Washington, D.C. 20520.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Sheila McCoy phone number (202) 647-7359.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The law permitting garnishment to satisfy debts also authorizes government agencies to collect a fee for such garnishments. Based upon a cost study, the Department of State has decided to impose a fee of \$30.00 for receipt and processing of each garnishment. As required by the law, this fee will be charged to the employee whose salary is being garnished.

The fee will be charged for each garnishment order served and processed. Thus, each time a court or other institution orders the Department to garnish an employee's salary, the Department will deduct the \$30.00 fee. Although administrative costs are incurred for each pay period for which a garnishment is in effect, no additional fee will be charged to cover those costs.

The fee will deducted at the time the garnishment is first instituted. It will be added to the garnishment amount, except that, in cases where the

garnishment amount plus the fee would exceed the statutory garnishment limit, the garnishment amount will be reduced to keep the total within that limit.

The fee does not apply to garnishments for child support or alimony under title 42 of the United States Code, sections 659, 661, and 662.

Mary Beth West,

Assistant Legal Adviser for Legislation and General Management.

[FR Doc. 95-15380 Filed 6-22-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4710-08-M

[Public Notice 2226]

Advisory Committee on Historical Diplomatic Documentation; Meeting

The Advisory Committee on Historical Diplomatic Documentation will meet July 6 and 7, 1995, in the Department of State, in Conference Room 1107.

The Committee will meet in open session from 9:00 a.m. on the morning of Thursday, July 6, 1995, until 12 noon. The remainder of the Committee's sessions, 1 p.m. on Thursday, July 6 until 1 p.m. Friday, July 7, will be closed in accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463). It has been determined that discussions during these portions of the meeting will involve consideration of matters not subject to public disclosure under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1), and that the public interest requires that such activities will be withheld from disclosure.

Questions concerning the meeting should be directed to William Z. Slany, Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Historical Diplomatic Documentation, Department of State, Office of the Historian, Washington, DC, 20520, telephone (202) 663-1123.

Dated: June 19, 1995.

William Z. Slany,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-15381 Filed 6-22-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4710-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

Reports, Forms and Recordkeeping Requirements

AGENCY: Department of Transportation (DOT), Office of the Secretary.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists those forms, reports, and recordkeeping requirements imposed upon the public which were