

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

**Margaret H. McFarland,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-15368 Filed 6-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

**Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw From Listing and Registration; (Westbridge Capital Corp., Common Stock, \$0.10 Par Value) File No. 1-8538**

June 19, 1995.

Westbridge Capital Corp. ("Company") has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 12d2-2(d) promulgated thereunder, to withdraw the above specified security ("Security") from listing and registration on the American Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Amex").

The reasons alleged in the application for withdrawing the Security from listing and registration include the following:

According to the Company, in addition to being listed on the Amex, the Security is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE"). The Security commenced trading on the NYSE at the opening of business on April 12, 1995 and concurrently therewith the Security was suspended from trading on the Amex.

In making the decision to withdraw the Security from listing on the Amex, the Company considered the direct and indirect costs and expenses attendant with maintaining the dual listing of the security on the NYSE and on the Amex. The Company does not see any particular advantage in the dual trading of the Security and believes that dual listing would fragment the market for the Security.

Any interested person may, on or before July 11, 1995, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the exchanges and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

**Jonathan G. Katz,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-15423 Filed 6-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

**DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

**[Public Notice 2223]**

**Collection of Fee for Processing Garnishment of Department of State Employees for Payment of Debt**

**AGENCY:** Department of State.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of State is giving notice that, as authorized by title 5 United States Code, section 5520a(j)(2), it will collect and use a fee for processing of garnishments against Department of State employees to satisfy debts other than for alimony or child support. The fee is \$30, and it will be collected from the employee at the time the garnishment is first instituted. It will be added to the amount garnished as a charge against salary.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** Pay period beginning July 2, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments regarding this notice should be sent to the Assistant Legal Adviser for Legislation and General Management (L/LM), Office of the Legal Adviser, Department of State, room 5425, 22nd and C Streets NW., Washington, D.C. 20520.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Sheila McCoy phone number (202) 647-7359.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The law permitting garnishment to satisfy debts also authorizes government agencies to collect a fee for such garnishments. Based upon a cost study, the Department of State has decided to impose a fee of \$30.00 for receipt and processing of each garnishment. As required by the law, this fee will be charged to the employee whose salary is being garnished.

The fee will be charged for each garnishment order served and processed. Thus, each time a court or other institution orders the Department to garnish an employee's salary, the Department will deduct the \$30.00 fee. Although administrative costs are incurred for each pay period for which a garnishment is in effect, no additional fee will be charged to cover those costs.

The fee will be deducted at the time the garnishment is first instituted. It will be added to the garnishment amount, except that, in cases where the

garnishment amount plus the fee would exceed the statutory garnishment limit, the garnishment amount will be reduced to keep the total within that limit.

The fee does not apply to garnishments for child support or alimony under title 42 of the United States Code, sections 659, 661, and 662.

**Mary Beth West,**

*Assistant Legal Adviser for Legislation and General Management.*

[FR Doc. 95-15380 Filed 6-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-08-M

**[Public Notice 2226]**

**Advisory Committee on Historical Diplomatic Documentation; Meeting**

The Advisory Committee on Historical Diplomatic Documentation will meet July 6 and 7, 1995, in the Department of State, in Conference Room 1107.

The Committee will meet in open session from 9:00 a.m. on the morning of Thursday, July 6, 1995, until 12 noon. The remainder of the Committee's sessions, 1 p.m. on Thursday, July 6 until 1 p.m. Friday, July 7, will be closed in accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463). It has been determined that discussions during these portions of the meeting will involve consideration of matters not subject to public disclosure under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1), and that the public interest requires that such activities will be withheld from disclosure.

Questions concerning the meeting should be directed to William Z. Slany, Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Historical Diplomatic Documentation, Department of State, Office of the Historian, Washington, DC, 20520, telephone (202) 663-1123.

Dated: June 19, 1995.

**William Z. Slany,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-15381 Filed 6-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-11-M

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Office of the Secretary**

**Reports, Forms and Recordkeeping Requirements**

**AGENCY:** Department of Transportation (DOT), Office of the Secretary.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice lists those forms, reports, and recordkeeping requirements imposed upon the public which were

transmitted by the Department of Transportation to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for its approval in accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 USC Chapter 35).

**DATES:** June 16, 1994.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on the DOT information collection requests should be forwarded, as quickly as possible, to Edward Clarke, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10202, Washington, DC 20503. If you anticipate submitting substantive comments, but find that more than 10 days from the date of publication are needed to prepare them, please notify the OMB official of your intent immediately.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Copies of the DOT information collection requests submitted to OMB may be obtained from Susan Pickrel or Annette Wilson, Information Resource Management (IRM) Strategies Division, M-32, Office of the Secretary of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366-4735.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3507 of Title 44 of the United States Code, as adopted by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, requires that agencies prepare a notice for publication in the **Federal Register**, listing those information collection requests submitted to OMB for approval or renewal under that Act. OMB reviews and approves agency submissions in accordance with criteria set forth in that Act. In carrying out its responsibilities, OMB also considers public comments on the proposed forms and the reporting and recordkeeping requirements. OMB approval of an information collection requirement must be renewed at least once every three years.

**Items Submitted to OMB for Review**

The following information collection requests were submitted to OMB on June 16, 1995:

*DOT No:* 4067

*OMB No:* 2127—New

*Administration:* National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)

*Title:* NHTSA Customer Satisfaction Surveys

*Need for Information:* Executive Order No. 12862 requires agencies to set customer service standards.

*Proposed Use of Information:* This information will be used by NHTSA to: (1) Identify their customers, and (2) Survey their customers on services and results desired and on satisfaction with existing services

*Frequency:* Varies with each survey  
*Burden Estimate:* Varies with each survey

*Respondents:* Varies with each survey  
*Form(s):* None

*Average Burden Hours Per Response:* Varies with each survey.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 16, 1995.

**Paula R. Ewen,**

*Chief, Information Resource Management (IRM), Strategies Division.*

[FR Doc. 95-15359 Filed 6-22-95; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-62-P**

**Notice of Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits Filed Under Subpart Q During the Week Ended June 16, 1995.**

The following Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits were filed under Subpart Q of the Department of Transportation's Procedural Regulations (See 14 CFR 302.1701 et. seq.). The due date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motions to Modify Scope are set forth below for each application. Following the Answer period DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.

*Docket Number:* 50393

*Date filed :* June 12, 1995

*Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope:* July 10, 1995

*Description:* Application of Air Alliance, Inc., pursuant to 49 U.S.C. Section 41304 of the Act, and Subpart Q of the Regulations, applies for amendment if its Foreign Air Carrier Permit to authorize it to provide scheduled and charter foreign air transportation of persons, property, and mail from any point or points in Canada to any point or points in the United States.

*Docket Number:* 50394

*Date filed:* June 12, 1995

*Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope:* July 10, 1995

*Description:* Application of Air Ontario, Inc., pursuant to 49 U.S.C. Section 41304 of the Act and Subpart Q of the Regulations, applies for amendment of its Foreign Air Carrier Permit to authorize it to provide scheduled and charter foreign air transportation of persons, property, and mail from any

point or points in Canada to any point or points in the United States.

*Docket Number:* 50397

*Date filed:* June 13, 1995

*Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope:* June 28, 1995

*Description:* Application of Loken Aviation, Inc., requests the Department to reissue its certificate of public convenience and necessity in the name of Lynden Air Cargo, Inc., and accept the fitness data included in Appendix A in support of its existing operation. In addition, applicant requests that it be found fit to operate large aircraft in all-cargo service as outlined in Appendix C. Under its code sharing agreement with Zantop, applicant has no operational control or financial responsibility for the operation of large aircraft service, and there has been no significant change in its operations as a result.

*Docket Number:* 50399

*Date filed:* June 16, 1995

*Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope:* July 14, 1995

*Description:* Application of Malev Hungarian Airlines, pursuant to Section 402(c) of the Act and Subpart Q of the Regulations, requests renewal of its Foreign Air Carrier Permit for: (a) Authority to engage in foreign air transportation of persons, property and mail between Budapest and the co-terminal points New York, Chicago and Los Angeles (code-sharing only) via intermediate points in Europe and Canada.

(i) MALEV proposes to continue to serve New York (John F. Kennedy International Airport).

(ii) The current service involved herein will continue to be scheduled service involving persons, property and mail, utilizing Hungarian registered Boeing 767-200 aircraft. The continuing frequency of service will be daily scheduled round-trip flights between Budapest and New York.

(iii) At a later date Malev may also serve the co-terminal points Chicago and/or Los Angeles, whether through joint commercial use (blocked space) or code sharing agreements, with one or more carriers or, eventually through direct operation of owned or leased aircraft.

(b) In addition to the scheduled services proposed to continue to be operated under the authorizations sought herein, Malev also requests continuing authority to engage in charter services:

(i) With respect to passenger and/or cargo flights between any point or