

defined in the Act and its implementing regulations, of owls and murrelets in the harvest area. The permit authorizing incidental take would be in effect for 60 years for owls, and 6 years for murrelets. The HCP includes mitigation that would be in effect for 60 years, and would benefit both owls and murrelets. The application includes an HCP and Implementation Agreement.

The Applicant proposes to mitigate the incidental take by: (1) Setting aside approximately 19 percent of the Forest in late successional reserves located along riparian areas, in important owl, murrelet, and other wildlife habitat, and other areas of high ecological or scenic value; (2) managing the remaining Forest acreage in longer (80–240-year) timber rotations; (3) reducing habitat fragmentation and edge; (4) maintaining a forest landscape conducive to dispersal by owls; (5) dedication of research funds for 5 years to investigate murrelet and owl habitat relationships; and (6) habitat enhancement at the stand level. The Applicant will minimize take through: (1) Implementing a decision hierarchy procedure designed to ensure timber harvest only occurs in the poorest quality potential murrelet habitat; (2) protect and buffer currently known murrelet-occupied sites; (3) for the first 5 years of HCP implementation, protect a 70-acre core area around currently known owl activity centers, and restrict timber harvest and road construction activities within 0.25 miles of currently known owl nest sites during the breeding season; and (4) prohibit timber harvest and road construction within 0.25 miles of known occupied murrelet stands during the breeding season.

The EA considers the environmental consequences of 5 alternatives, including the Proposed Action and No Action alternatives. The Proposed Action is the issuance of a permit under section 10(a) of the Act that would authorize incidental take of owls for 60 years and murrelets for 6 years on the Forest, in accordance with the HCP. The Conservation Biology alternative would set aside 81 percent of the Forest in reserves, and would have the lowest level of take, other than the No Action alternative. The Intermediate Rotation alternative would set aside 13 percent of the Forest, would not include many of the mitigation and minimization measures inherent in the proposed action, and would produce the highest level of take. The Modified Interim Plan alternative would set aside 67 percent of the Forest, and would produce an intermediate level of take. Under the No Action alternative, the Applicant would avoid incidental take of owls and

murrelets and the permit would not be issued.

Dated: June 19, 1995.

**John H. Doebl,**

*Deputy Regional Director, Region 1, Portland, Oregon.*

(Notice: Availability of an Environmental Assessment and Receipt of an Application for a Permit Under Section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Endangered Species Act.)

[FR Doc. 95–15409 Filed 6–22–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

## Bureau of Reclamation

### Interim Concessions Management Policy Statement and Corresponding Concessions Management Guidelines

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Reclamation is extending the comment period published in 60 FR 21827, May 3, 1995, for the interim concessions management policy statement and corresponding concessions management guidelines. The extension will allow the public more time to prepare comments concerning the policy and guidelines.

**DATES:** Comments on the policy and guidelines must be postmarked no later than September 5, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request a copy of the policy and guidelines contact Bruce Glenn, Bureau of Reclamation, P.O. Box 25007, Denver, Colorado, 80225, Telephone: 303–236–3289, extension 314. Submit written comments to Mr. Glenn at the above address.

Dated: June 16, 1995.

**Wayne Deason,**

*Assistant Director, Program Analysis Office.*

[FR Doc. 95–15387 Filed 6–22–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–94–P

## National Biological Service

### Information Collection Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

The proposal for the collection of information reproduced below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for approval under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). An expedited review has been requested in accordance with the Act, since allowing for the normal review period would adversely affect the public interest for the reasons given below. Approval has

been requested by July 1, 1995.

Comments and suggestions on the proposal should be made directly to the bureau clearance officer listed below and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (1089–NB52), Washington, DC 20503; telephone 202–395–7340.

**Title:** Request for Economic Valuation of Riverine Resources.

**OMB approval number:** 1089–NB52.

**Abstract:** This study focuses on entire river basin and ecosystem valuation methodology development. The overall goal is development of transferable models and methods for assessing the value of habitats, using the Tallapoosa River in Alabama and Georgia as a study site. Methods to collect and analyze this data is needed to be able to predict the probable social and economic consequences of riverine and ecosystem management actions.

Results can assist decision-makers in effectively managing a river system's multiple uses. Telephone, mail and on-site interviews with Tallapoosa River users and non-users from a 20 county area will be the focus audience of this research.

**Reason for expedited review:** To allow timely continuation of project activities and to reduce difficulty in responding to question on use of the riverine resource during primary season use. Approval by expedited date would allow surveying to begin during or shortly after peak summer season.

**Frequency:** Once.

**Description of respondents:** Users and non-users of the Tallapoosa River system.

**Estimated completion time:** 14.25 minutes per survey (weighted average of telephone and mail/on-site survey instruments).

**Annual responses:** 4,350.

**Annual burden hours:** 1,509.

**Bureau clearance officer:** Don Minnich 202–482–4838, MS 3070 MIB, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240.

Dated: June 16, 1995.

**H. Ronald Pulliam,**

*Director, National Biological Service.*

[FR Doc. 95–15282 Filed 6–22–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–DP–M

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–374]

### Notice

In the Matter of certain electrical connectors and products containing same.