

Florida's preconstruction review program found in Rule 62-212, F.A.C., under the authority of title V and part 70 solely for the purpose of implementing section 112(g) to the extent necessary during the transition period between 112(g) promulgation and adoption of a State rule implementing EPA's section 112(g) regulations.

In addition, as discussed in section II.A.4.c., EPA proposes to grant approval under section 112(l)(5) and 40 CFR 63.91 to Florida's program for receiving delegation of section 112 standards that are unchanged from Federal standards as promulgated. EPA also proposes to delegate existing standards under 40 CFR parts 61 and 63 for both part 70 sources and non-part 70 sources.

### III. Administrative Requirements

#### A. Request for Public Comments

EPA requests comments on all aspects of this proposed interim approval. Copies of the State's submittal and other information relied upon for the proposed interim approval are contained in docket number FL-95-01 maintained at the EPA Regional Office. The docket is an organized and complete file of all the information submitted to, or otherwise considered by, EPA in the development of this proposed interim approval. The principal purposes of the docket are:

- (1) To allow interested parties a means to identify and locate documents so that they can effectively participate in the approval process; and
- (2) To serve as the record in case of judicial review. EPA will consider any comments received by July 21, 1995.

#### B. Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this action from Executive Order 12866 review.

#### C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

EPA's actions under section 502 of the Act do not create any new requirements, but simply address operating permit programs submitted to satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR part 70. Because

this action does not impose any new requirements, it does not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the proposed interim approval action promulgated today does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new Federal requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 70

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Operating permits, and Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: June 9, 1995.

**Patrick M. Tobin,**

*Acting Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-15174 Filed 6-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 94-125, RM-8534; RM-8575]

**Radio Broadcasting Services; Fredericksburg, Helotes, Castroville, TX**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; denial of.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission denies the petition filed by October Communications Group, Inc., requesting the reallocation of Channel 266C from Fredericksburg, TX, to either Helotes or Castroville, TX, as their first local aural transmission service, and the modification of Station KONO-FM's license accordingly.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Leslie K. Shapiro, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Report and Order*, MM Docket No. 94-125, adopted June 8, 1995, and released June 16, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037. Federal Communications Commission.

**John A. Karousos,**

*Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 95-15145 Filed 6-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F