

baccalaureate and higher degree nurse education programs)

13. Southern Association of Colleges and Schools, Commission on Colleges (requested scope of recognition: the accreditation of degree-granting colleges and universities located in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia)

14. Western Association of Schools and Colleges, Accrediting Commission for Senior Colleges and Universities (requested scope of recognition: The accreditation of senior colleges and universities located in California, Hawaii, American Samoa, Guam, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands)

#### **State Agencies Recognized for the Approval of Public Postsecondary Vocational Education**

##### *Petition for Renewal of Recognition*

1. Minnesota State Board of Technical Colleges

*Interim Report* (An interim report is a follow-up report on an accrediting agency's compliance with specific criteria for recognition that was requested by the Secretary when the Secretary granted recognition to the agency)—

1. Arkansas State Board of Vocational Education

#### **State Agencies Recognized for the Approval of Nurse Education**

##### *Petition for Renewal of Recognition*

1. Colorado State Board of Nursing

#### **Public Inspection of Petitions and Third-party Comments**

All petitions and interim reports, and those third-party comments received in advance of the meeting, will be available for public inspection at the U.S. Department of Education, ROB-3, Room 3915, 7th and D Streets, SW., Washington, DC 20202-5244, telephone (202) 708-7417 between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.

**David A. Longanecker,**

*Assistant Secretary for Postsecondary Education.*

[FR Doc. 95-15021 Filed 6-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

#### **Financial Assistance Award; Intent To Award Cooperative Agreement to Advance Science, Inc. (ASI)**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of non-competitive financial assistance award.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Energy announces that pursuant to 10 CFR 600.15(a)(2), it is making a discretionary financial assistance award based on the application meeting the criteria of 10 CFR 600.7(b)(2)(i)(H) to Advance Science Inc., (ASI) under Grant Number DE-FG01-95EW55088. The proposed grant will provide funding in the estimated amount of \$2,999,000 over a two year period, to develop an innovative framework for risk communication and public outreach, risk assessment, and risk management.

ASI's proposed approach is designed to communicate complex environmental information to workers and the general public in an appealing and understandable manner. This project is designed to educate and inform a broad spectrum of people in the United States about specific risks associated with environmental management activities (including technology development, decontamination and decommissioning, waste management, and environmental restoration), by providing technically accurate information in a readily understandable format.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department of Energy has determined in accordance with 10 CFR 600.14(d) that the application submitted by the Advance Science, Inc., is meritorious based on the general evaluation of the factors. The basis for the Team's risk assessment approach is the Safety Assessment Comparison (SACO) methodology, a methodology which allows, and in fact requires, the involvement of all interested parties (workers, the public, regulators, and other stakeholders) at all stages in the risk assessment process, from problem definition to evaluation of results.

Environmental issues—particularly those related to the effects of environmental degradation and cleanup on human health—are among the most controversial issues in our society. ASI's approach should allow for an informed national debate about major environmental issues including, for example, contamination and environmental cleanup.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Please write the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Placement and Administration, Attn: Dennis Roth, HR-561.22, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20585.

The anticipated term of the proposed grant is 24 months from the date of award.

Award is anticipated for July 21, 1995.

**John M. Albers,**

*Contracting Officer, Headquarters Operation Division B, Office of Associate Deputy Assistant, Secretary for Headquarters Procurement Operations.*

[FR Doc. 95-15068 Filed 6-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

#### **Office of Nonproliferation and National Security; Fundamental Review of Classification Policy**

**AGENCY:** Office of Nonproliferation and National Security, Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Energy (DOE) announces its intent to hold public meetings in conjunction with its Fundamental Review of Classification Policy. The review will examine all aspects of the Department of Energy's classification policies in light of the end of the Cold War. An information packet containing a summary of this effort, and a questionnaire soliciting public comment on the review and options regarding future meeting locations is available from the point of contact upon request.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** W. Gerald Gibson, Director, Technical Guidance Division, USDOE, Office of Declassification (NN-522), 19901 Germantown Road, Germantown, MD 20874, (301) 903-3689.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The end of the Cold War resulted in a unique opportunity for the Department of Energy to re-evaluate the guidance with which it classifies information for the protection of the common defense and security. On March 16, 1995, the Secretary of Energy initiated a year long review of the Department's classification policies. The review is being chaired by Dr. Albert Narath, President of Sandia Corporation. It will examine all areas of classified information falling under the purview of the Department of Energy. Its purpose is to identify which information continues to require protection in support of the common defense and security in light of the end of the Cold War, and which no longer requires such protection. As part of this endeavor the public is requested to submit their written comments on any aspect of the Department's classification policies for consideration by the Fundamental Review panel. Specific comments regarding the Restricted and Formerly Restricted Data system, National Security Information under the purview of the DOE, or the