

that every bank, bank branch, or other bank facility will have some sort of sign identifying the premises to the public, it is not burdensome to require that the sign not be confusing or misleading. Equally, it is not burdensome to prohibit a bank branch resulting from a corporate acquisition within a reasonable time thereafter to cease using the name of its extinguished corporate predecessor.

Nor does the Rule appear to hamper banks in their operations or efficiency or limit their ability to carry out their functions. The situation here is unlike the situation in *Franklin, supra*, 347 U.S. 373, 377, in which a state law was determined to be preempted because it prohibited national banks from advertising in connection with one of their authorized activities (receiving deposits). Under the Rule, banks are not prohibited from advertising any authorized activity. They are not prevented from using abbreviated "advertising" names, such as "FNB" instead of "First National Bank," although if there should be two different "First National Banks" in one city, the Rule requires the second one establishing a bank facility, which will usually be an out-of-town bank, to identify either its domicile city or its branch status: e.g., "FNB Austin" or "San Antonio Branch." Such requirements do not infringe upon a national bank's ability to establish branches under 12 U.S.C. 36(c) or to carry out any other authorized activity.

Since the Texas Rule and the underlying statute are not in conflict with federal law, do not prevent national banks from carrying out their authorized functions under the national banking laws, and do not unduly burden them in operating, it is my opinion that they are applicable to national banks. The OCC, as the authority responsible for administering and enforcing laws and regulations applicable to national banks, will, as the Rule envisions, determine compliance with the Rule with respect to national banks.

I trust this is responsive to your inquiry.

Sincerely,

/s/

Julie L. Williams,

Chief Counsel.

[FR Doc. 95-15060 Filed 6-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-33-P

Customs Service

[T.D. 95-50]

Revocation of Customs Broker Licenses

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Broker License Revocations.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that on March 30, 1995, the Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to Section 641, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 1641), and Part 111.74 of the Customs Regulations, as amended (19 CFR 111.74), ordered the revocation of the following Customs broker licenses due to the failure of the broker to file the

status report as required by 19 CFR 111.30(d). These licenses were issued in the Los Angeles District. The list of affected brokers is as follows:

Gilbert E. Amador—03970
Stanley K. Appel—06305
Carol J. Boldt-Miller—06617
Elayne C. Brenner—11744
Marshall R. Brownfield—05207
Yolanda Curry—07856
P.R. Domey—02998
David W. Doran—11777
Ferdinand M. Dreifuss—04236
Herbert S. Fischer—04484
Charlene Marie Fluster—11742
James Thomas Gibbs—12819
Peggy Changsoon Kim—13616
Young Mok Kim—05804
Josefina G. Klink—06673
Suzanne Knight—11170
Regis Francis Kramer—03279
Michael O. Larson—05567
James W. McDonald—04563
Kay J. Meggison—05847
Maria D. Oria—03319
Hal Dennis Pope—10598
Klaus Roessel—04052
David C. Salazar—11457
Morris H. Schneider—03588
Jack Neal Schulman—07871

Dated: June 14, 1995.

Philip Metzger,

Director, Trade Compliance.

[FR Doc. 95-14959 Filed 6-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-02-P

[T.D. 95-49]

Revocation of Customs Broker Licenses

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Broker license revocations.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that on March 30, 1995, the Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to Section 641, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 1641), and Part 111.74 of the Customs Regulations, as amended (19 CFR 111.74), ordered the revocation of the following Customs broker licenses due to the failure of the broker to file the status report as required by 19 CFR 111.30(d). These licenses were issued in the Houston-Galveston District. The list of affected brokers, both individual and corporate, is as follows:
George Anki, Jr.—05896
Lester M. Barnes, Jr.—02448
Dan Beadle—05532
Ann M. Beardsley—07523
Jane Bentley Bowers—05859
Sandra L. Brown—09523
Ernest M. Bruni—07706
Natalie L. Byrd—11151
John Howard Callaway—07262
Rodger A. Chilton—07197
James Costello—06974
David L. Elmers—07263
Arthur Oran Evans, III—05069

Margaret L. Graeff—05480
David W. Gray—05971
Arnold Gene Greathouse—05230
James A. Green, Jr.—03928
Fred M. Hall—05393
Joseph M. Hankins—07648
Gulshan Kala—10188
John William Kenehan—05585
Salvatore Lobello—07784
Jose R. Lopez—06998
Alger L. McDonald—07829
David R. McIntyre—04747
Adolph Kennon Meadows—04109
Jack B. Morgan—04761
William Cary Okerlund—08042
Barbara A. Painter—06507
Joseph B. Peloso—07882
Gregory L. Perun—06119
J.G. Philen, Jr.—07082
J.J. Portier—07280
Rita R. Powell—05758
Jerry E. Rojas—05129
Abelardo A. Salinas—07901
Charles H. Simpson—05276
Robert Wilbur Smith, Jr.—03944
Jose A. Soto, Jr.—07965
Benny Roy Sprayberry—05146
Scott Taylor—07395
Robert J. Villiard—06666
Phillip Andrew Walsh—06126
James A. Webster—05525
Thomas A. Weiderhold—06027
Rebecca O. Young—09577
Joe Zaragoza, Jr.—05738

Corporate

Accelerated Customs Brokers—07504
Alan Customs Service, Inc.—08048
All-Phase Freight, Inc.—07448
Cargo Express, Inc.—11740
Darrell J. Sekin Co., Inc.—05249
Davis Import Consultants—06704
Green, James A., jr. & Co.—04108
HLZ Import Service, Inc.—09765
Jetero Int'l Services, Inc.—07908
L. Braverman & Company—04365
Livingston International Inc.—04725
McLean Cargo Specialist, Inc.—05977
Panalpina Airfreight, Inc.—04616
Salinas Forwarding Co., Inc.—07068
Sauter Corporation—09632
Shipco, Inc.—04861

Dated: June 14, 1995.

Philip Metzger,

Director, Trade Compliance.

[FR Doc. 95-14960 Filed 6-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-02-P

UNITED STATES INFORMATION AGENCY

Reporting and Information Collection Requirements Under OMB Review

AGENCY: United States Information Agency.

ACTION: Notice of reporting requirements submitted for OMB review.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to