

final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** To be considered, comments must be received by July 19, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to: Ms. Kimberly Bingham, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Copies of the material submitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations:

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Department for Environmental Protection, Division for Air Quality, 803 Schenkel Lane, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Kimberly Bingham, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region IV Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is (404) 347-3555 extension 4195.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: April 10, 1995.

**Patrick M. Tobin,**

*Acting Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-14449 Filed 6-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[TX-37-1-6323b; FRL-5162-1]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Texas; Alternative Emission Control Plan For Shell Oil Company, Deer Park, TX

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA in this action proposes to approve the alternative emission reduction (bubble) plan for the Shell Oil Company's Deer Park manufacturing complex as a revision to the Texas State Implementation Plan (SIP). In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn, and all public comments will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by July 19, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to Mr. Guy Donaldson, Acting Chief, Planning Section, at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least twenty-four hours before the visiting day.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Air Programs Branch (6T-A), 1445 Ross Avenue, suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202.

Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, 12124 Park 35 Circle, Austin, Texas 78753.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Leila Yim Surratt or Mr. Herb Sherrow, Planning Section (6T-AP), Air Programs Branch, EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733, telephone (214) 665-7214.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final action of the same title which is located in the final rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: February 8, 1995.

**William B. Hathaway,**

*Acting Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-14853 Filed 6-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[OH50-4-7071; FRL-5222-8]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Ohio

**AGENCY:** United States Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Denial of comment period extension on proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** On May 2, 1995, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) published a proposed rule (60 FR 21490) and a direct final rule (60 FR 21456) approving a request by Ohio to redesignate the Toledo ozone nonattainment area to attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for ozone, and also approving the State's maintenance plan for this area. Several commentors provided comments on the proposed approval, and made a general request that the USEPA extend the public comment period on the rulemaking. One commentor requested an extension of the comment period so that concerns about increased vehicle emissions caused by new road construction projects and a possible increase in highway tolls can be evaluated and addressed. The USEPA is denying the extension requests for the following reasons: Ohio solicited public comments on the issues associated with redesignating the Toledo area in October 1993; the USEPA announced its conclusions regarding the request to the press 6 weeks before publishing the action; justification was not provided that extending the comment period would significantly enhance public input; the USEPA will address the commentors' concerns in a final rule document; and no parties wishing to actually make additional comments were identified. The USEPA notes that a public function for the rulemaking package was held on March 14, 1995, in Toledo, Ohio, and it was shown on television news programs. Based on the factors discussed above, since the public was made aware of this rulemaking action, and because no party has sought to submit comments after the comment period, the USEPA does not believe that an extension of the comment period is warranted. The USEPA is withdrawing the direct final rule mentioned above in a final rule published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Angela Lee, Regulation Development Section, Air Enforcement Branch (AE-17J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson