

There are approximately 53 Model DC-10-10 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 53 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 262 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$125,609 per airplane. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$7,490,437, or \$141,329 per airplane.

The total cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. App. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Docket 95-NM-50-AD.

Applicability: Model DC-10-10 series airplanes, as listed in McDonnell Douglas DC-10 Service Bulletin 57-36, Revision 7, dated December 11, 1992, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (c) of this AD to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition; or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any airplane from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

Note 2: Inspections and modifications required by paragraphs (g) and (h) of AD 94-23-01, amendment 39-9063, accomplished prior to the effective date of this amendment in accordance with McDonnell Douglas DC-10 Service Bulletin 57-123, dated June 8, 1993, or McDonnell Douglas DC-10 Service Bulletin 57-36, Revision 6, dated February 25, 1991, are considered acceptable for compliance with the applicable inspections and modifications required by this amendment for the affected structure.

To prevent fatigue-related cracking, which could lead to the failure of the aft spar cap and subsequent reduced structural integrity of the wing, accomplish the following:

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 15,000 total landings or within 2,000 landings after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, perform an eddy current inspection of the wings to detect cracks in the aft spar lower cap, in the stringer butterfly clips on the bulkheads at stations $X_{ors}=372.000$ and $X_{ors}=402.000$, and in the fastener holes of the access doors of the inboard upper surface, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas DC-10 Service Bulletin 57-36, Revision 7, dated December 11, 1992.

(1) If no cracks are detected, repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 2,000 landings until the modification required by paragraph (b) of this AD is accomplished.

(2) If any crack is detected, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Los Angeles

Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.

(b) Prior to the accumulation of 42,000 total landings or within 5 years after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, modify the aft spar lower cap, the stringer butterfly clips on the bulkheads at stations $X_{ors}=372.000$ and $X_{ors}=402.000$, and the fastener holes of the access doors of the inboard upper surface of the wings, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas DC-10 Service Bulletin 57-36, Revision 7, dated December 11, 1992. Accomplishment of this modification constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirement of this AD.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 12, 1995.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 95-14768 Filed 6-15-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 94-NM-209-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A320-111, -211, and -231 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Airbus Model A320-111, -211, and -231 series airplanes. This proposal would require modification of the aileron support frame of the wings. This proposal is prompted by reports indicating that tensile cracks have been found at a certain mounting hinge of the aileron support frame during full scale fatigue testing of the test article due to fatigue-related stress. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent such fatigue-related cracking, which could result in loss of

the aileron control surface and the inability of the pilot to control rolling moments of the airplane.

DATES: Comments must be received by July 28, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 94-NM-209-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen Slotte, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (206) 227-2141; fax (206) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 94-NM-209-AD." The

postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 94-NM-209-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for France, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Airbus Model A320-111, -211, and -231 series airplanes. The DGAC advises that tensile cracks have been found at the No. 3 mounting hinge of the aileron support frame during full scale fatigue testing of the test article. The cracks in the test article were discovered at 32,338 simulated flight cycles. Investigation revealed that such cracking was caused by fatigue-related stress. Fatigue-related cracking at the mounting hinge of the aileron support frame of the wings, if not detected and corrected in a timely manner, could result in loss of the aileron control surface and the inability of the pilot to control rolling moments of the airplane.

Airbus has issued Service Bulletin A320-57-1002, Revision 1, dated May 12, 1993, which describes procedures for modification of the aileron support frames of the wings. One modification involves replacing the number 1, 2, and 3 aileron support frames on the rear spar of the wing with re-designed aileron support frames. These re-designed support frames have larger diameter lugs with bushings and increased blend radii. Another modification involves re-positioning and installing new electrical cable raceways, and installing new brackets and clamps for the hydraulic lines at the number 2 aileron servo-control. These modifications improve the fatigue life of the aileron support frames. The DGAC classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued French airworthiness directive 93-108-044(B), dated July 7, 1993, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in France.

This airplane model is manufactured in France and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the DGAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the

DGAC, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require modification of the aileron support frames of the wings. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

As a result of recent communications with the Air Transport Association (ATA) of America, the FAA has learned that, in general, some operators may misunderstand the legal effect of AD's on airplanes that are identified in the applicability provision of the AD, but that have been altered or repaired in the area addressed by the AD. The FAA points out that all airplanes identified in the applicability provision of an AD are legally subject to the AD. If an airplane has been altered or repaired in the affected area in such a way as to affect compliance with the AD, the owner or operator is required to obtain FAA approval for an alternative method of compliance with the AD, in accordance with the paragraph of each AD that provides for such approvals. A note has been included in this notice to clarify this long standing requirement.

The FAA estimates that 5 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 54 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$31,481 per airplane. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$173,605, or \$34,721 per airplane.

The total cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. App. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Airbus Industrie: Docket 94–NM–209–AD.

Applicability: Model A320–111, –211, and –231 series airplanes, serial numbers 005 through 043 inclusive, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (b) of this AD to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition; or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any airplane from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fatigue-related cracking at the mounting hinge of the aileron support frames of the wings, which could result in loss of the aileron control surface and the inability of the pilot to control rolling moments of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 14,000 flight cycles or within 500 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, modify the aileron support frames of the wings, in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A320–57–1002, Revision 1, dated May 12, 1993.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Standardization Branch, ANM–113.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 12, 1995.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 95–14767 Filed 6–15–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

17 CFR Part 17

Reports by Futures Commission Merchants, Members of Contract Markets and Foreign Brokers

AGENCY: Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission" or "CFTC") is proposing to amend Rule 17.01 and to modify the Form 102 required to be filed by clearing members, futures commission merchants (FCMs) and foreign brokers. This form identifies persons having financial interest in, or control of, special accounts in futures and options. The proposed amendments clarify the information required on the Form 102 for various kinds of special accounts

reported to the Commission. The Commission is also proposing to amend Rule 17.02 concerning the time in which a completed Form 102 must be filed. The proposed rules would require that certain specified identification information be provided on the first day that a special account is reported to the Commission and that a completed Form 102 be filed with the Commission within three business days of that date.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Comments must be received by August 15, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to the Office of the Secretariat, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 2033 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20581 and should make reference to "Form 102 changes."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lamont L. Reese, Supervisory Statistician, Division of Economic Analysis, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 2033 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20581, (202) 254–3310.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Part 17 of the Commission's regulations requires that FCMs, clearing members, and foreign brokers ("firms") submit a daily report to the Commission with respect to futures positions in all special accounts on their books.¹ Information required to be provided to the Commission includes quantities of reportable futures positions, exchanges of futures for cash, and delivery notices issued or stopped by each special account.² For reporting purposes, futures positions in all accounts controlled by the same person and those in which a person has a 10 percent or more financial interest must be combined and treated as if they are held in a single account. The firm assigns a reporting number to the special account and reports all information to the Commission using this number.³

In addition to the reporting number and the position and transaction information mentioned above, the firm must file a CFTC Form 102 showing the information specified under § 17.01 of the regulations for each special

¹ Special account means any commodity futures or option account in which there is a reportable position, 17 CFR 15.00 (1994). Firms report futures information to the Commission and option information to the exchanges.

² A reportable position is any open position held or controlled by a trader at the close of business in any one futures contract of a commodity traded on any one contract market that is equal to or in excess of the quantities fixed by the Commission in § 15.03 of the regulations, 17 CFR 15.03 (1994).

³ The firm's reporting number may be the account number carried on its books. However, as noted above, the number may refer to a collection of accounts that are owned and/or controlled by the same person.