

**NATIONAL CREDIT UNION
ADMINISTRATION****Public Information Collection
Requirements Submitted to OMB for
Review**

Dated: June 2, 1995.

The National Credit Union Administration submitted the following public information collection requirements to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Pub. L. 96-511. Copies of the submission may be obtained by calling the NCUA Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding information collections should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the NCUA Clearance Officer, NCUA, Office of Administration, Room 4009, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428.

National Credit Union Administration

OMB Number: 3133-0061.

Form Number: CLF 8703.

Type of Review: Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

Title: Central Liquidity Repayment Agreement—Regular Member.

Description: The form is a contract which is necessary to document loans made by or on behalf of the CLF and establishes an enforceable legal right to repayment of such loans, create a security interest in specified assets in case of non-payment, and establishes reporting requirements. Financial condition of the credit union can be monitored via the reporting requirement.

Respondents: Credit unions with a loan from CLF.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 25.

Estimated Burden Hours per Response: 1 hour.

Frequency of Response: Once per year.

Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 25 hours.

OMB Number: 3133-0064.

Form Number: NCUA 7000, 7001, 7002, 7003, and 7004.

Type of Review: Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

Title: Forms and Instructions for CLF Loans.

Description: The information provided in the request for funds, statement of cash receipts and disbursements, cash flow projections and the seasonal flow computations will be used to assist in an analysis of the

credit union's request for credit and in determining the applicant's ability to repay the advance requested.

Respondents: Credit unions that request a loan from the CLF.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 25.

Estimated Burden Hours per Response: 1 hour.

Frequency of Response: Once per application.

Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 25 hours.

OMB Number: 3133-0063.

Form Number: CLF 8702.

Type of Review: Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

Title: Central Liquidity Facility Membership Application.

Description: In order to gain access to CLF loans, credit unions must join the CLF. This collection provides for membership application. The information requested is necessary to establish a creditor-debtor relationship between the CLF and the credit union.

Respondents: Credit unions that apply for loans from the CLF and credit unions with loans from the CLF.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 25.

Estimated Burden Hours per Response: .50 hours.

Frequency of Response: One time.

Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 18.5.

OMB Number: New Collection.

Form Number: CLF 8704.

Type of Review: New collection.

Title: Central Liquidity Facility Repayment Agreement—Agent Member.

Description: The loan agreement requires the borrowing credit union to submit to the CLF a copy of its month-end financial report each month while the loan is outstanding.

Respondents: Credit unions with a loan from the CLF.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 15.

Estimated Burden Hours per Response: 6 hours.

Frequency of Response: Monthly.

Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 90 hours.

OMB Number: 3133-0067.

Form Number: NCUA 5310.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Title: Corporate Credit Union Monthly Report.

Description: Section 202(a)(1) of the Federal Credit Union Act requires federally insured credit unions to make reports of condition to the NCUA Board upon dates selected by it. Each

corporate credit union completes a monthly financial statement. The information is electronically transmitted to a central corporate credit union. The information is collected on a disk and forwarded to NCUA. The information is collected and used by NCUA to monitor financial and statistical trends in corporate credit unions and to allocate examination and supervision resources.

Respondents: Federally insured corporate credit unions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 44.

Estimated Burden Hours per Response: 1.

Frequency of Response: Monthly.

Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 528.

OMB Number: 3133-0116.

Form Number: NCUA 4221, 4401, 4506, 4506 and 9600.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Title: 12 U.S.C. 1771—Conversion From Federal to State Credit Union and From State to Federal Credit Union.

Description: The information collection makes up the application for a credit union's conversion from federal to state charter and from state to federal charter. In addition the package contains an application for approval of federal insurance of member accounts in credit unions.

Respondents: Credit unions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 50.

Estimated Burden Hours per Response: 2 hours.

Frequency of Response: Once.

Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 200.

Clearance Officer: Wilmer A. Theard (703) 518-6410, National Credit Union Administration, Room 4009, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428.

OMB Reviewer: Milo Sunderhauf (202) 395-5167, Office of Management and Budget, Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Becky Baker,

Secretary of the NCUA Board.

[FR Doc. 95-14620 Filed 6-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-M

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION**

[Docket Nos. 50-295 and 50-304]

**Commonwealth Edison Company; Zion
Nuclear Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and
2; Environmental Assessment and
Finding of No Significant Impact**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is

considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-39 and DPR-48, issued to Commonwealth Edison Company (the licensee), for operation of Zion Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Lake County, Illinois.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would allow the storage of fuel in the new fuel storage vault with an enrichment up to and including 4.65 weight percent U-235, revise the description of the enrichment of the fuel in the reactor core, and add references to three previously approved documents in the Technical Specifications (TSs).

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed since future core designs will incorporate fuel enrichments up to 4.65 weight percent U-235. Use of the higher enrichment fuel will permit increased flexibility in planning fuel cycles, with the potential for longer fuel cycles or higher burnup rates.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed revisions to the TSs. The proposed revisions would permit storage of fuel enriched to a nominal 4.65 weight U-235. The safety considerations associated with storing new and spent fuel of a higher enrichment have been evaluated by the NRC staff. The staff has concluded that such changes would not adversely affect plant safety. The proposed changes have no adverse effect on the probability of any accident. No changes are being made in the types or amounts of any radiological effluents that may be released offsite. There is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

The environmental impacts of transportation resulting from the use of higher enrichment fuel and extended irradiation were published and discussed in the staff assessment entitled, "NRC Assessment of the Environmental Effects of Transportation Resulting from Extended Fuel Enrichment and Irradiation," dated July 7, 1988, and published in the **Federal Register** (53 FR 30355) on August 11, 1988, as corrected on August 24, 1988 (53 FR 32322) in connection with Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1: Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact. As indicated therein, the environmental

cost contribution of the proposed increase in the fuel enrichment and irradiation limits are either unchanged or may, in fact, be reduced from those summarized in Table S-4 as set forth in 10 CFR 51.52(c). Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts of reactor operation with the higher enrichment fuel, the proposed changes to the TS involve systems located entirely within the restricted area, as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. They do not affect nonradiological plant effluents and have no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for Zion Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on May 31, 1995, the staff consulted with the Illinois State official, Mr. Frank Niziolek; Head, Reactor Safety Section; Division of Engineering; Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety; regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated December 23, 1994, which is

available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Waukegan Public Library, 128 N. County Street, Waukegan, Illinois 60085.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of June 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Robert A. Capra,

Director, Project Directorate III-2, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-14669 Filed 6-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., Relating to Mediation of Disputes

[Release No. 34-35830; File No. SR-NASD-95-25]

June 9, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on June 6, 1995,¹ the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD" or "Association") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the NASD. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The NASD is proposing to amend the Code of Arbitration Procedure ("Code") by adding a new Part IV to set forth rules to govern the administration of mediations. The NASD is also proposing to amend Sections 37, 43 and 44 of the Code² to add fee and other provisions relating to the administration of

¹ The NASD amended the proposed rule change subsequent to its original filing on May 19, 1995. Amendment No. 1 was a minor technical amendment, the text of which may be examined in the Commission's Public Reference Room. See Letter from Suzanne E. Rothwell, Associate General Counsel, NASD, to Mark P. Barracca, Branch Chief, Over-the-Counter Regulation, Division of Market Regulation, SEC (June 2, 1995).

² NASD Manual, Code of Arbitration Procedure, Part III, Secs. 37, 43 and 44. (CCH) ¶¶3737, 3743, 3744.