

100 guidelines and are a small fraction of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) "Protection Action Guidelines" (PAG). The NRC staff's Safety Evaluation of the FSV DP (NRC Decommissioning Order dated November 23, 1992) confirmed PSC's conclusion. Because the risk of an accident requiring reactor stabilization or extensive decontamination of the reactor facility does not exist at FSV, the annual cost of \$250,000 per year for insurance is unwarranted and poses an undue hardship on FSV.

The NRC will not consider granting an exemption unless special circumstances warrant it. In the licensee's letter of August 2, 1993, these special circumstances were addressed as follows:

* * * (ii) Application of the regulation in the particular circumstances would not serve the underlying purpose of the rule; or (iii) Compliance would result in undue hardship or other costs that are significantly in excess of those incurred by others similarly situated * * *.

In addition, for the FSV worst-case accident previously analyzed in Section 3.4.10 of the NRC approved Decommissioning Plan, the radiological release from the accident would result in a whole-body dose to an individual of 8.30 mrem. This dose is considerably less than 1 percent of the EPA PAG dose of 1000 mrem that requires protective action.

IV

The staff has reviewed the licensee's requests and finds that sufficient bases have been presented for NRC's approval of the request for exemption from 10 CFR 50.54(w) requirements to continue to maintain onsite property insurance.

The staff finds that the special circumstances presented by PSC satisfy the requirements of 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2) (ii) and (iii), and it would serve no purpose to meet a requirement that relates primarily to an operating reactor, where costs to stabilize and decontaminate a facility are significant in contrast to a defueled reactor such as FSV that is 65 percent decommissioned. To continue to maintain onsite property insurance would result in undue hardship to the licensee and costs in excess of those contemplated when the regulation was adopted.

Based on the above evaluation, the NRC has determined that pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(1), this exemption is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security.

Accordingly, NRC hereby grants an exemption from 10 CFR 50.54(w). The

exemption deletes the requirement to continue to maintain onsite property damage insurance.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, NRC has determined that the granting of this exemption will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment (May 22, 1995, 60 FR 27140).

A copy of the licensee's request for the exemption and supporting documentation dated February 16, 1995, and the NRC staff's Safety Evaluation, included in the exemption, are available for public inspection at the NRC's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037, and at the Weld Library District—Downtown Branch, 919 7th Street, Greeley, CO 80631.

This exemption will become effective on issuance.

Dated at Rockville, MD, this 7th day of June, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
John T. Greeves,
*Director, Division of Waste Management,
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and
Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 95-14503 Filed 6-13-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 30-32493-CivP EA 93-072;
ASLBP No. 95-709-02-CivP]

Radiation Oncology Center at Marlton (ROCM) Marlton, NJ, (Byproduct Materials License No. 29-28685-01); Notice of Hearing

June 7, 1995.

Notice is hereby given that, by Memorandum and Order dated June 7, 1995, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board has granted the request of Radiation Oncology Center of Marlton (Licensee or ROCM) for a hearing in the above-titled proceeding. The hearing concerns the Order Imposing a Civil Monetary Penalty, issued by the NRC Staff on April 24, 1995 (published at 60 FR 21570, May 2, 1995). The parties to the proceeding are the Licensee and the NRC Staff.

The issues to be considered at the hearings are (a) whether the Licensee was in violation of the Commission's requirements as set forth in the violation in the Notice of Violation and Proposed Imposition of Civil Penalty, dated May 31, 1994, and the following specific examples given with the violation: Examples A.1, A.2, A.4, B.1, B.2, C and D; and (b) whether, on the basis of the violation set forth in the Notice of Violation, this Order should be sustained.

Materials concerning this proceeding are on file at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L St. NW., Washington, DC 20555, and at the Commission's Region I Office, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406-1415.

During the course of this proceeding, the Licensing Board, as necessary, will conduct one or more prehearing conferences and evidentiary hearing sessions. The time and place of these sessions will be announced in later Licensing Board Orders. Members of the public will be invited to attend any such in-person sessions.

Rockville, MD, June 7, 1995.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board,

Charles Bechhoefer,

Chairman, Administrative Judge.

[FR Doc. 95-14504 Filed 6-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

Generalized System of Preferences (GSP); Notice of an "Emergency" Review to Consider Requests for "De Minimis" Waivers of the Competitive Need Limits for Buffalo Leather From Thailand and for Aluminum Conductor From Venezuela; Request for Comments

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Initiation of an "emergency" review and solicitation of public comments with respect to requests for "de minimis" waivers of the competitive need limits for buffalo leather from Thailand and for aluminum conductor from Venezuela.

SUMMARY: This notice initiates an expedited review and solicits public comments with respect to requests for "de minimis" waivers for the competitive need limits for buffalo leather from Thailand and for aluminum conductor from Venezuela.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: GSP Subcommittee, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW., Room 518, Washington, DC 20506. The telephone number is (202) 395-6971.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 504(d)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended ("Trade Act") (19 U.S.C. 2464(d)(2)) authorizes the President to disregard the 50-percent competitive need limit, which is provided for in section 504(c)(1)(B) of the Trade Act (19 U.S.C. 2464(c)(1)(b)), with respect to any