

[NAFTA-00264]

**NETP, Inc., Niagara Falls, New York;
Amended Certification Regarding
Eligibility To Apply for NAFTA
Transitional Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor issued a Certification for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance on December 2, 1994, applicable to all workers at the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 16, 1994 (59 FR 65078).

New information received from the company shows that separations at the subject plant continue to occur. Separations are not limited to those workers producing 5 circuit and 7 circuit assemblies of electrical wire harnesses. Workers in all areas of support services and production of electrical wire harnesses are affected.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers who are adversely affected by increased imports.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to expand the coverage to all workers of NETP, Inc.

The amended notice applicable to NAFTA-00264 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of NETP, Inc., Niagara Falls, New York who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 23, 1994 are eligible to apply for NAFTA-TAA under Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of May 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-14352 Filed 6-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION**Audit Guide for LSC Recipients and Auditors****AGENCY:** Legal Services Corporation.**ACTION:** Proposed guideline; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: This notice extends for an additional 10 days the comment period on the proposed Legal Services Corporation (LSC) Audit Guide for Recipients and Auditors, that was published in the **Federal Register** on May 24, 1995 (60 FR 27562-27567). Respondents are now given a 40-day

period from the original date of publication to comment.

DATES: Comments should be received in writing on or before July 3, 1995. Late comments will be considered to the extent practicable. Where possible, comments should reference applicable paragraph numbers in the proposed revision. To facilitate conversion of the comments in computer format for analysis, respondents are asked to send a copy of the comments on either a 3.5 or 5.25 inch diskette in ASCII format.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted in writing to the Office of Inspector General, Legal Services Corporation, 750 First St., NE., 10th Floor, Washington, DC 20002-4250.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karen M. Voellm, Chief of Audits (202) 336-8830.

Dated: June 7, 1995.

Victor Fortuno,*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 95-14353 Filed 6-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7050-01-P

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND
SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

[Notice 95-038]

**National Environmental Policy Act;
Sounding Rocket Program****AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).**ACTION:** Notice of availability of draft supplemental environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500-1508), and NASA policy and procedures (14 CFR part 1216, subpart 1216.3), NASA has prepared and issued a draft supplemental environmental impact statement (DSEIS) for its Sounding Rocket Program (SRP). This DSEIS addresses the programmatic changes to the SRP that have occurred since the issuance of the 1973 final environmental impact statement (FEIS) for the NASA SRP and analyzes the site-specific environmental impacts at the three principal U.S. launch sites located at: Wallops Island, Virginia; Fairbanks, Alaska; and White Sands, New Mexico.

DATES: Comments on the DSEIS must be provided in writing to NASA on or before July 27, 1995 or 45 days from the date of publication in the **Federal**

Register of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's notice of availability of the Sounding Rocket DSEIS, whichever is later.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Mr. William Johnson, Goddard Space Flight Center, Wallops Flight Facility, Code 840, Wallops Island, Virginia 23337. The DSEIS may be reviewed at the following locations:

(a) NASA Headquarters, Library, Room 1J20, 300 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20546.

(b) NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center/Wallops Flight Facility, Public Affairs Office, Wallops Island, VA 23337.

(c) Eastern Shore Public Library, Accomac, VA.

(d) University of Alaska-Fairbanks Library, Fairbanks, AK.

(e) Alamogordo Library, Alamogordo, NM.

In addition, the DSEIS may be examined at the following NASA locations by contacting the pertinent Freedom of Information Act Office:

(f) NASA, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035 (415-604-4191).

(g) NASA, Dryden Flight Research Center, Edwards, CA 93523 (805-258-3047).

(h) NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771 (301-286-0730).

(i) Jet Propulsion Laboratory, NASA Resident Office, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109 (818-354-5179).

(j) NASA, Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX 77058 (713-483-8612).

(k) NASA, Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899 (407-867-2622).

(l) NASA, Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665 (804-864-6125).

(m) NASA, Lewis Research Center, 21000 Brookpark Road, Cleveland, OH 44135 (216-433-2902).

(n) NASA, Marshall Space Flight Center, AL 35812 (205-544-4523).

(o) NASA, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529 (601-688-2164).

Limited copies of the DSEIS are available by contacting Mr. William B. Johnson, at the address or telephone number indicated herein.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William B. Johnson, 804-824-1099.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NASA's SRP is a suborbital spaceflight program used primarily in support of space and earth sciences research activities sponsored by NASA. This program also provides applicable support to other government agencies as well as international sounding rocket groups and scientists. The SRP is a relatively low-cost, quick response effort that

provides approximately 30 flight opportunities per year to space scientists involved in research relating to the upper atmosphere, plasma, physics, solar physics, planetary atmospheres, galactic astronomy, high energy astrophysics, and microgravity. The launch vehicles used are relatively small.

The proposed action and NASA's preferred alternative is the continued operation of the NASA SRP as presently managed. The DSEIS focuses on programmatic changes in the NASA SRP that have taken place since the original FEIS was issued in 1973 by deleting launch vehicles that are no longer used, adding new launch vehicles and systems currently being used, and reflecting changes in Federal and state environmental laws and regulations. The DSEIS addresses both the overall programmatic environmental impacts of the SRP and the site-specific environmental impacts at and in the area of the three principal domestic sounding rocket sites: Goddard Space Flight Center/Wallops Flight Facility, Wallops Island, Virginia; Poker Flat Research Range, Fairbanks, Alaska; and White Sands Missile Range, White Sands, New Mexico.

Benita A. Cooper,

Associate Administrator for Management Systems and Facilities.

[FR Doc. 95-14362 Filed 6-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

[Notice 95-037]

Intent To Grant a Partially Exclusive License

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of intent to grant a patent license.

SUMMARY: NASA hereby gives notice of intent to grant DuPont Advanced Composites, P.O. Box 6108, Newark, DE 19714, a partially exclusive license to practice the inventions described in U.S. Patent Application Numbers 08/209,512 entitled "Phenylethynyl Terminated Imide Oligomers," which was filed on March 3, 1994; and 08/330,773 entitled "Imide Oligomers Endcapped with Phenylethynyl Phthalic Anhydrides and Polymers Therefrom," which was filed on October 28, 1994, both of which are assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

The partially exclusive license will contain appropriate terms and conditions to be negotiated in

accordance with the Department of Commerce Licensing Regulations (37 CFR part 404). NASA will negotiate the final terms and conditions and grant the license unless, within 60 days of the date of this notice, the Director of Patent Licensing receives written objections to the grant, together with supporting documentation. The Director of Patent Licensing will review all written responses to the notice and then recommend to the Associate General Counsel (Intellectual Property) whether to grant the license.

DATES: Comments to the notice must be received by August 11, 1995.

ADDRESSES: National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Code GP, Washington, DC 20546.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Harry Lupuloff, NASA, Director of Patent Licensing at (202) 358-2041.

Dated: June 2, 1995.

Edward A. Frankle,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 95-14312 Filed 6-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-395]

South Carolina Electric & Gas Company; South Carolina Public Service Authority; Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-12, issued to South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and South Carolina Public Service Authority, (the licensee), for operation of the Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1, located in Fairfield County, South Carolina.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would allow the licensee to discontinue the seismic monitoring program (which includes a network of seismometers near the Monticello Reservoir) that was put in place to monitor the seismic activity associated with the impoundment of the Monticello Reservoir. The monitoring program is currently funded by the licensee and operated and maintained by the University of South Carolina.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for

amendment dated March 6, 1955, as supplemented May 5, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action was requested because the licensee believes that the burden and costs of the seismic monitoring program for reservoir induced seismicity are no longer justified.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The licensee's proposal will allow the seismic monitoring equipment to be permanently removed from current locations. This equipment is portable and is located around the Monticello Reservoir. The equipment is used solely for monitoring seismic activity around the reservoir and is not used for the operation of the plant. Based on the licensee's submittals and the discussions with other agencies and persons, the staff found that the removal of this equipment will have no significant impact on the environment.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar since the proposed amendment will allow the licensee to remove the seismic monitoring equipment and the licensee's present license condition does not prohibit the licensee from removing and relocating the seismic monitoring equipment from