Dated: May 24, 1995

**Joseph K. Alexander,**

*Acting Assistant Administrator for Research and Development.*

[FR Doc. 95–14234 Filed 6–8–95; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–M**

**[FRL–5218–9]**

## Process Source Opt-in Program Technical Background Document

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of draft report for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Acid Rain Division (ARD) of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is preparing this technical background document to gather relevant information, in preparation of an upcoming rulemaking, on various process source industries that emit sulfur dioxide ($SO_2$). This rulemaking will implement section 410(d) of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments and will expand participation into the Opt-in Program for process sources that are able to meet program requirements.

EPA seeks public participation in developing this rulemaking to build a solid technical foundation on which to establish program requirements. In addition, the number and variety of industries that could potentially participate in this program make public input essential in crafting a regulation that can specifically address the many aspects of participation (e.g. allowance allocation, monitoring, etc.) and, at the same time, be flexible in accommodating differing approaches taken in different industries.

**DATES:** The draft Process Source Opt-in Program: Technical Background Document will be available for review and comment. EPA requests comments on or before July 24, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** *Availability:* To obtain a copy of the draft Process Source Opt-in Program: Technical Background Document contact the Office of Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center at 202–260–7548 or 202–260–7549 or by fax at 202–260–4400. Refer to Docket A–95–23.

*Comments:* Written statements should be submitted (in duplicate if possible) to: Adam Klinger, Acid Rain Division (6204J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Adam Klinger, Acid Rain Division (6204J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Telephone: (202) 233–9122.

Dated: May 31, 1995.

**Brian J. McLean,**

*Director, Acid Rain Division.*

[FR Doc. 95–14232 Filed 6–8–95; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–M**

**[FRL–5218–8]**

## Acid Rain Program: Notice of Final Permits

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of permits.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a 5-year sulfur dioxide compliance plan, according to the Acid Rain Program regulations (40 CFR part 72), for the following 2 utility plants: Baldwin and Havana in Illinois.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cecilia Mijares, (312) 886–0968, EPA Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL, 60604.

Dated: May 31, 1995.

**Brian J. McLean,**

*Director, Acid Rain Division, Office of Atmospheric Programs, Office of Air and Radiation.*

[FR Doc. 95–14230 Filed 6–8–95; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

**[ER–FRL–4723–8]**

## Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared May 1, 1995 through May 5, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260–5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (72 FR 19047).

### Draft EISs

*ERP No. D–BLM–J65229–MT*

Rating EC2, Sweet Grass Hills Resource Management Plan Amendment, Implementation, West HiLine Resource Management Plan, Toole and Liberty Counties, MT.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential impacts to water resources wildlife resources, air quality and cultural resources which should be avoided in order to fully protect the environment. EPA requested that additional information regarding these issues be included in the final document.

*ERP No. D–DOE–L05210–00*

Rating EO2, Resource Contingency Program, Construction and Operation, Three Proposed Plant Sites, Chelalis Hermiston and Satsop Power Projects, Lewis and Grays Harbor Counties, WA and Washington and Umattilla Counties, OR.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental objections based on potential water quality and wetland impacts. EPA requested additional information concerning the alternatives for potential cumulative impacts and proposed mitigation and monitoring.

*ERP No. D1–DOE–A00163–00*

Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS–Tritium Supply and Recycling Facilities Siting, Construction and Operation, Implementation, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID; Nevada Test Site, NV; Oak Ridge Reservation, TN; Pantex Plant, TX or Savannah River Site, SC.

*Summary:* EPA endorsed the accelerator technology as the most environmentally preferred technology and noted that each site has a unique set of environmental challenges to mitigate. EPA requested additional information in the final EIS concerning groundwater, aquifer impacts, and emergency preparedness.

### Final EISs

*ERP No. F–DOD–K11057–CA*

California Acoustic Thermometry of Ocean Climate (ATOC) Program and Marine Mammal Research Program (MMRP), Funding, Marine Mammal Research Permit and COE Nationwide Permits Issuance, Monterey County, CA.

*Summary:* Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No comment letter was sent to the preparing Agency.

*ERP No. F–DOE–A00166–00*

NAT, Programmatic Spent Nuclear Fuel Management and Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Environmental Restoration and Waste Management Programs, Implementation.

*Summary:* EPA environmental concerns on the draft environmental impact statement have been adequately addressed.

*ERP No. F–NPS–K61130–HI*

Haleakala National Park General Management Plan and Conceptual Framework, Implementation, Island of Maui, Maui County, HI.