

alternatives would rehabilitate the inadequate Tongue River Dam spillway and raise its crest elevation 4 feet. The resultant increased in Tongue River Reservoir capacity would provide additional water to the NCT and thus partially fulfill the provisions of the Settlement Act. A fundamental component of both action alternatives provides for the enhancement of fish and wildlife habitat in the Tongue River Basin. In addition to the two action plans and the No Action Plan, the DEIS also evaluates in less detail several nonviable alternatives.

The principle environmental consequences that would result from the two action plans include:

- Hydrology. Increased reservoir capacity and contents following construction; short-term reductions in downstream flows during construction; increased upstream ice formation due to increased reservoir contents; short-term reductions in downstream water quality during construction; increased peak outflow from the spillway following construction (Alternative 1 only).

- Aquatics/Fisheries. Short-term drawdown and reduced reservoir capacity during construction; increased reservoir capacity and contents following construction; more stable downstream releases following construction.

- Vegetation. Inundation of approximately 400 acres of vegetation due to increased reservoir capacity and contents following construction; short-term disturbances and reduced productivity to vegetation due to staging area activities, county road realignment, and aggregate mining activities.

- Biodiversity. Potential short-term reductions in biodiversity during construction; increased biodiversity following completion of fish and wildlife habitat enhancement component of project.

- Economic Environment. Increased employment due to project construction; cost to public sector for project construction and operation.

- Transportation. Short-term impacts to local roads from project construction; potential short-term impacts to streets in Sheridan, WY during construction (only if the Sheridan rail load-out facility is used to transport construction-related materials).

- Recreation. Short-term reductions in Tongue River State Park access during construction; short-term reductions in project area recreational experience during construction; short-term increases in exposure to navigational hazards in the reservoir due to construction-related drawdowns; short-term limitations in access to

boating facilities due to construction-related drawdowns; short-term limitations in access to boating facilities due to construction-related drawdowns.

- Appearance. Permanent alterations in dam and spillway appearance following construction (Alternative 1 would differ in appearance from the existing spillway due to its labyrinth (zigzag) crest, and Alternative 2 would have a different dam embankment profile as compared to the existing embankment due to the RCC secondary and emergency spillways).

- Project Cost. Alternative 1 is estimated to have a cost 50 percent greater than Alternative 2.

Hearing Process Information: Organizations and individuals wishing to present statements at the hearings should contact the Bureau of Reclamation, Great Plains Region, at the above address. Requests for scheduled presentations will be accepted through 4 p.m. on June 30, 1995.

Oral comments at the hearings will be limited to 10 minutes. The hearing officer may allow any speaker to provide additional oral comments after all persons wishing to comment have been heard. Whenever possible, speakers will be scheduled according to the time preference mentioned in their letter or telephone requests. Speakers not present when called will lose their privilege in the scheduled order and will be recalled at the end of the scheduled speakers.

Written comments from those unable to attend or those wishing to supplement their oral presentations at the hearing should be received by Reclamation's Montana Area Office at the address above by August 4, 1995, for inclusion in the hearing record.

Dated: May 30, 1995.

Katherine Jabs,

Area Manager.

[FR Doc. 95-13986 Filed 6-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-M

Bay-Delta Advisory Council Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Bay-Delta Advisory Council (BDAC) will meet to discuss several issues including: Council by-laws and procedural matters; overview of the CALFED Bay-Delta Program; function and objectives of BDAC; and other items. The meeting is open to the public. Interested persons may make oral statements to the BDAC or may file written statements for consideration.

DATES: The Bay-Delta Advisory Council will meet from 10:00 am to 4:00 pm on Thursday, June 29, 1995.

ADDRESSES: The Bay-Delta Advisory Council will meet at the Beverly Garland Hotel, 1780 Tribute Road (at Exposition Boulevard/West), Sacramento, CA.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Sharon Gross, CALFED Bay-Delta Program, at (916) 657-2666.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary is a critically important part of California's natural environment and economy. In recognition of the serious problems facing the region and the complex resource management decisions that must be made, the State of California and the Federal Government are working together to stabilize, protect, restore, and enhance the Bay-Delta Estuary. The State and Federal agencies with management and regulatory responsibilities in the Bay-Delta Estuary are working together as CALFED to provide policy direction and oversight for the process.

One area of Bay-Delta management includes the establishment of a joint State-Federal process to develop long-term solutions to problems in the Bay-Delta Estuary related to fish and wildlife, water supply reliability, natural disasters, and water quality. The intent is to develop a comprehensive and balanced plan which addresses all of the resource problems. This effort will be carried out under the policy direction of CALFED. A group of citizen advisors representing California's agricultural, environmental, urban, business, fishing, and other interests who have a stake in finding long-term solutions for the problems affecting the Bay-Delta Estuary has been chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) as the Bay-Delta Advisory Council (BDAC) to advise CALFED on the program mission, problems to be addressed, and objectives for the CALFED Bay-Delta Program. BDAC will provide a forum to help ensure public participation, and will review reports and other materials prepared by CALFED staff. Minutes of the meeting will be maintained by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, Suite 1155, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, and will be available for public inspection during regular business hours, Monday through Friday, within 30 days following the meeting.

Dated: June 1, 1995.

Roger Patterson,

Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 95-13987 Filed 6-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-M

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION**

[Ex Parte No. 388 (Sub-No. 1)]

**Intrastate Rail Rate Authority—
Alabama**

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Notice of provisional recertification.

SUMMARY: The State of Alabama has filed an application for recertification. The Commission, under *State Intrastate Rail Rate Authority*, 5 I.C.C.2d 680, 685 (1989), provisionnally recertifies the State of Alabama to regulate intrastate rail rates, classifications, rules, and practices. After its review, the Commission will issue a recertification decision or take other appropriate action.

DATES: This provisional recertification will be effective on June 8, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elaine Sehrt-Green, (202) 927-5269 or Beryl Gordon, (202) 927-5610 [TDD for hearing impaired: (202) 927-5721].

Decided: June 1, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-14057 Filed 6-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

**JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE
UNITED STATES**

**Proposed Long Range Plan for the
Federal Courts**

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States.

ACTION: Notice of Conference actions concerning the Proposed Long Range Plan for the Federal Courts.

On March 14, 1995, the Judicial Conference of the United States received from its Committee on Long Range Planning a Proposed Long Range Plan for the Federal Courts. The Proposed Plan is similar in format and content to the tentative proposal that the Committee circulated to the public last November (59 FR 55704) but contains changes made by the Committee to reflect comments received in writing

and at public hearings with respect to the earlier version.

In receiving the Proposed Plan, the Judicial Conference authorized public distribution of the document and took the following actions regarding the provisions of the Plan:

1. The Conference allowed its individual members until April 11, 1995, to request referral of any specific numbered recommendations to the appropriate Conference committees for further study and report to the September 1995 Conference session.

2. The Conference approved, effective April 12, 1995, all recommendations in the Proposed Plan not subsequently identified for further study and report as described above. Approval of a Plan recommendation includes the corresponding implementation strategies but not the supporting commentary.

In accordance with this procedure, the following items were approved, effective April 12, 1995, as part of the Long Range Plan for the Federal Courts:

Recommendations	Implementation strategies
19	
21	
26	
31	
32	32a-32b.
34	
35	35a-35d.
36-38	
39	39a, 39d-39e.
40-41	
43	
45	45a-45b.
46	46a-46b.
47	
50-51	
53	53a-53b.
54-57	
58	58a-58b.
59-62	
63	63a-63d.
64	
69	
71	
77-80	
81	81a-81b.
82-88	
91	91a-91c.
93	93a-93e.
94	94a-94c.
95	
97	
99	99a-99e.
100-101	

Also, in accordance with the prior decision of the Judicial Conference, individual Conference members requested that Conference action on the following items in the Proposed Plan be deferred pending further study by the appropriate committees:

Recommendations	Implementation strategies
1-3	
4	4a-4c.
5-8	
9	9a-9b.
10-11	
12	12a-12c.
13-15	
16	16a-16c.
17-18	
20	
22-25	
27	
28	28a-28b.
29	
30	30a-30c.
33	
42	39b-39c.
44	42a-42b.
	44a.
	45c.
48	
49	49a-49b.
52	52a-52c.
65-68	
70	70a-70c.
72-76	
89	
90	
92	92a-92g.
	94d.
96	
98	

Because most of the deferred items involve policy issues, they were assigned to the Conference committees with responsibility for the programs or topics in question and will be the subject of reports at the September 1995 Conference session. The Conference's Executive Committee was also assigned to consider the 11 recommendations (1-3, 5-6, 9, 11, 16, 30 76, 98) and one implementation strategy (39b) on which purely technical questions were raised. After consulting with Conference members, the Executive Committee, on May 31, 1995, approved those 12 items on the Conference's behalf with minor word changes intended to clarify, improve accuracy, or adjust tone without altering substantive meaning.

The Long Range Plan is a guide to policy making and administrative action by the Conference and other judicial branch authorities. However, only those items approved by the Judicial Conference represent Conference policies. All commentary on recommendations and implementation strategies and all other Plan provisions (including the recommendations and implementation strategies on which Conference members have requested further study) merely reflect the views of the Committee on Long Range Planning unless expressly approved by the Conference in subsequent proceedings.