

Sierra asserts that the filing has been served on BPA and on the regulatory commission of Nevada.

Comment date: June 12, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Wisconsin Power and Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-1060-000]

Take notice that on May 17, 1995, Wisconsin Power and Light Company (WPL), tendered for filing a supplement to the existing interconnection and interchange agreement between WPL and Dairyland Power Cooperative.

WPL requests that an effective date concurrent with the contract effective date be assigned. WPL states that copies of the agreement and the filing have been provided to Dairyland Power Cooperative and the Wisconsin Public Service Commission.

Comment date: June 12, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Florida Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-1061-000]

Take notice that on May 17, 1995, Florida Power & Light Company (FPL), tendered for filing proposed Service Agreements with the Orlando Utilities Commission for transmission service under FPL's Transmission Tariff Nos. 2 and 3.

FPL requests that the proposed Service Agreement be permitted to become effective on April 18, 1995, or as soon thereafter as practicable. FPL states that this filing is in accordance with Part 35 of the Commission's Regulations.

Comment date: June 12, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Century Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-1067-000]

Take notice that on May 19, 1995, Century Power Corporation (Century), filed an Assignment and Amendment No. 2 to the Assumption Agreement and an Assignment and Amendment No. 2 to the Amended and Restated Interconnection Agreement. Under these agreements, Tucson provides step-up transformation, transmission, exchange and ancillary services to Century and Century's permitted assignee for power produced at San Juan Unit to Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc., and the filed assignments and amendments transfer to Tri-State rights to service under the agreements. The assignments and amendments are to become effective upon the closing of the sale of the interest in the unit.

Century also has submitted (a) a Notice of Cancellation of the Assumption Agreement as Century FERC Rate Schedule No. 18 and of the Amended and Restated Interconnection Agreement as Century FERC Rate Schedule No. 17, and (b) a Notice of Cancellation of Service Agreement No. 25 under Century's FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1. These rate schedule cancellations are to become effective coincident with the assignments and amendments described above.

Comment date: June 12, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Public Service Company of New Mexico

[Docket No. ER95-1068-000 New Mexico]

Take notice that on May 19, 1995, Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM) submitted for filing a copy of an Assignment and Assumption Agreement (Agreement) to be executed between Century Power Corporation (Century) and Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc. (Tri-State), in connection with Tri-State's intended purchase from Century of an interest in San Juan Generating Station Unit 3. PNM requests that the Agreement be effective the date of the closing of the said purchase transaction and that the Commission's notice requirements be waived.

Copies of this filing have been served upon Century, Tri-State, Tucson Electric Power Company and the New Mexico Public Utility Commission.

Comment date: June 12, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Southwestern Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER95-1069-000]

Take notice Southwestern Public Service Company (Southwestern) on May 19, 1995, tendered for filing a proposed amendment to its rate schedule for service to Central Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Central Valley).

The proposed amendment reflects changes in the maximum commitment at several delivery points as well as adding an additional delivery point for service to Central Valley.

Comment date: June 12, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs:

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,

825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-13881 Filed 6-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-509-000, et al.]

Northwest Pipeline Corporation, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

May 26, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Northwest Pipeline Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-509-000]

Take notice that on May 23, 1995, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158, filed in Docket No. CP95-509-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.216 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216, 157.211) for authorization to abandon certain facilities at the Moses Lake Meter Station in Grant County, Washington and to construct and operate replacement facilities at this station to provide existing delivery obligations at this point to Cascade Natural Gas Corporation (Cascade) under Northwest's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest proposes to modify the Moses Lake Meter Station, originally certificated in Docket No. G-8934, by replacing the two existing 4-inch orifice meters with two new 6-inch turbine meters and appurtenances to accommodate wide flow rate fluctuations. Northwest also proposes to install a new 750,000 Btu heater and electronic flow measurement equipment. Northwest states that the proposed modifications will not affect

the design capacity of the meter station which is limited by existing regulators to 9,300 Dth per day at 300 psig.

Northwest estimates the total cost of the proposed facility modification at the Moses Lake Meter Station to be approximately \$312,350, including the cost of removing the old facilities. Northwest states that it will not require any cost reimbursement from Cascade.

Comment date: July 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. Northwest Pipeline Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-510-000]

Take notice that on May 23, 1995, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, filed in Docket No. CP95-510-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.211, and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211, and 157.216) for authorization to replace certain obsolete and undersized facilities at its Winlock Meter Station in Lewis County, Washington, in order to better accommodate its existing firm maximum daily delivery obligations (MDDO) to Washington Natural Gas Company (Washington Natural), under Northwest's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest states that it presently has firm obligations to deliver up to a total of 400 Dt per day (at 400 psig) under Rate Schedule TF-1, to Washington Natural at the Winlock delivery point. Northwest further states that the Winlock Meter Station has a maximum design delivery capacity of approximately 280 Dt per day (at 400 psig). Since the maximum design capacity of the Winlock Meter Station is less than Northwest's firm delivery obligation to Washington Natural, Northwest is proposing to upgrade the Winlock Meter Station by replacing the two existing undersized 1-inch regulators with two new 1-inch regulators, with 1/4-inch trim; and by replacing the obsolete 2-inch positive displacement meter with one new 2-inch turbine meter and one new 2-inch Roots meter and appurtenances. Northwest states that it is installing two replacement meters in order to more accurately measure the high and low flows through the meter station. It is stated that the proposed facility upgrade will increase the maximum design delivery capacity of the Winlock Meter Station from 280 Dt per day to

approximately 425 Dt per day at a pressure of 400 psig.

Northwest has estimated the cost of the proposed facility upgrade at the Winlock Meter Station to be approximately \$59,446 which includes the cost of removing the old facilities. Northwest avers that since this expenditure is necessary in order for Northwest to accommodate existing MDDO's at the Winlock Meter Station, Northwest will not require any cost reimbursement from Washington Natural.

Comment date: July 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-13880 Filed 6-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-514-000, et al.]

Northern Natural Gas Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

May 30, 1995

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Northern Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-514-000]

Take notice that on May 24, 1995, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), P.O. Box 3330, Omaha, Nebraska 68103-0330, filed in Docket No. CP95-514-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to upgrade an existing delivery point to accommodate increased natural gas deliveries to Northern States Power—Wisconsin (NSP-W), for delivery at the Hudson

town border station, located in St. Croix County, Wisconsin, under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-401-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northern states that NSP-W has requested the upgrade of the delivery point to accommodate growth of gas requirements in this area. Northern asserts that the proposed peak day volumes will increase from 8,500 Mcf to 12,000 Mcf and the annual volumes will increase from 1,100,000 Mcf to 2,444,000 Mcf and will be used for residential, commercial and industrial consumption. Northern claims that the deliveries of the estimated volumes to NSP-W at the upgraded delivery point will be made pursuant to Northern's currently effective throughput service agreements with NSP-W.

Northern estimates that the proposed cost to upgrade the delivery point is \$181,000 and NSP-W will reimburse Northern for the cost of upgrading the delivery point.

Northern states that the delivery of NSP-W's volumes will impact Northern's peak day and annual deliveries. Northern claims that the total volumes to be delivered to the customer after the request do not exceed the total volumes authorized prior to the request. Northern claims that the proposed activity is not prohibited by its existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accommodate the proposed changes without detriment to Northern's other customers.

Comment date: July 14, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. Questar Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-520-000]

Take notice that on May 25, 1995, Questar Pipeline Company (Questar), 79 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111, filed in Docket No. CP95-520-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.216) for permission and approval to abandon a 12-inch meter run and a 12-inch meter located within the confines of Questar's jurisdictional Bonanza Measuring and Regulating Station (Bonanza M&R) in Uintah, Utah. Questar makes such request under its blanket certificates issued in Docket No. CP82-491-000, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.