

Alternative 4 represents a "wildlife habitat emphasis." It includes harvests of dead, dying, diseased, and overcrowded trees over approximately 3,000 acres, for the purpose of improving wildlife habitat. Removal of about 10 MMBF of both merchantable and unmerchantable material is anticipated. This alternative includes all components of the proposed action, except as modified: while treatment of activity fuels will occur, the use of prescribed fire as a management tool will be limited to improving wildlife habitat; a greater level of road closures would be implemented to reduce disturbance to wildlife.

Implementation of this project requires permits from the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Lahontan Region. Additionally, encroachment permits from the California and Nevada Departments of Transportation will be required for project implementation. Consultation with both the California and Nevada State Historic Preservation Offices (SHPO) and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (AHP) in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.) is required. Concurrence from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is needed if the Forest Service Biological Assessment results in a "may affect" determination.

The decision on this analysis, pursuant to NEPA, is made by Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit Forest Supervisor, Robert Harris, as the Forest Service is the lead agency under NEPA. There is no other joint lead agency and no cooperating agencies under NEPA.

The draft EIS is anticipated to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency and made available to the public for comment in September 1995.

The final EIS and its Record of Decision is expected in January 1996. The decision will be appealable under Forest Service regulations found at 36 CFR 215.

The comment period for the draft EIS will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency's notice of availability appears in the **Federal Register**. The public will also be informed of the availability of the DEIS by news releases issued to the media in the Lake Tahoe region. It is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate at that time. To be the most helpful, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible and may address the adequacy of the statement or the merits of the alternatives discussed (see the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA at 40 CFR 1503.3).

In addition, Federal court decisions have established that reviewers of draft EIS' must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewers' position and contentions. "Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC," 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Environmental objections that could have been raised at the draft stage may be waived if not raised until after completion of the final EIS. "City of Angoon v. Hodel," (9th Circuit, 1986) and "Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris," 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). The reason for this is to ensure that substantive comments and objections are made available for the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final.

Dated: May 23, 1995.

Robert E. Harris,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 95-13725 Filed 6-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of opportunity to request administrative review of antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspension of investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Traffic Act of 1930, as amended, may request, in accordance with section 353.22 or 355.22 of the Department of Commerce (the Department) Regulations (19 CFR 353.22/355.22 (1993)), that the Department conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

Opportunity To Request a Review

Not later than June 30, 1995, interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in June for the following periods:

	Period
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Belgium: Sugar (A-351-077)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Canada:	
Oil Country Tubular Goods (A-122-506)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Red Raspberries (A-122-401)	06/01/94-05/31/95
France:	
Calcium Aluminate Flux (A-427-812)	03/25/94-05/31/95
Large Power Transformers (A-427-030)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Sugar (A-427-078)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Germany:	
Barium Carbonate (A-428-061)	06/01/94-05/31/95
High-Tenacity Rayon Filament Yarn (A-428-810)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Industrial Belts and Components and Parts Thereof, Whether Cured or Uncured (A-428-802)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Sugar (A-428-082)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Italy:	
Large Power Transformers (A-475-031)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Industrial Belts and Components and Parts Thereof, Whether Cured or Uncured (A-475-802)	06/01/94-05/31/95

	Period
Japan:	
Fishnetting of Man-Made Fibers (A-588-029)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Forklift Trucks (A-588-703)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel (A-588-831)	02/09/94-05/31/95
Industrial Belts and Components and Parts Thereof, Whether Cured or Uncured (A-588-807)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Large Power Transformers (A-588-032)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Nitrile rubber (A-588-706)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Pet Film (A-588-814)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Korea: Pet Film (A-580-807)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Netherlands: Aramid Fiber Formed of Poly-Phenylene Terephthalamide (A-421-805)	12/16/93-05/31/95
New Zealand: Fresh Kiwifruit (A-614-801)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Romania: Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished or Unfinished (A-485-602)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Russia: Ferrosilicon (A-821-804)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Singapore: Industrial Belts and Components and Parts Thereof, Whether Cured or Uncured (A-559-803)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Sweden: Stainless Steel Plate (A-401-040)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Taiwan:	
Carbon Steel Plate (A-583-003)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Oil Country Tubular Goods (A-583-505)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings (A-583-816)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers (A-583-020)	06/01/94-05/31/95
The Hungarian People's Republic: Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished or Unfinished (A-437-601)	06/01/94-05/31/95
The People's Republic of China:	
Sparklers (A-570-804)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished or Unfinished (A-570-601)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Silicon Metal (A-570-806)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Venezuela: Ferrosilicon (A-307-807)	06/01/94-05/31/95
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
Italy: Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel (C-475-812)	02/01/94-12/31/94

In accordance with sections 353.22(a) and 355.22(a) of the regulations, an interested party as defined by section 353.2(k) may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For antidumping reviews, the interested party must specify for which individual producers or resellers covered by an antidumping finding or order it is requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or resellers. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by a reseller (or a producer if that producer also resells merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin, and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically which reseller(s) and which countries of origin for each reseller the request is intended to cover.

Seven copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room B-099, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping Compliance, Attention: Pamela Woods, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with section 353.31(g) or 355.31(g) of the regulations, a copy of each request must

be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Antidumping (Countervailing) Duty Administrative Review," for requests received by June 30, 1995. If the Department does not receive, by June 30, 1995, a request for review of entries covered by an order or finding listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute, but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: May 31, 1995.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 95-13825 Filed 6-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[A-583-009]

Color Television Receivers, Except for Video Monitors, From Taiwan; Revision of Revocation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of revision of revocation.

SUMMARY: On March 8, 1995, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) ordered the Department of Commerce (the Department) to rescind its revocation of Capetronic (BSR) Ltd. (Capetronic), with respect to the antidumping duty order on color television receivers, except for video monitors, from Taiwan. Pursuant to the order of the CIT, we are rescinding our revocation of Capetronic.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 18, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael J. Heaney or John Kugelman, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4475 or 482-0649, respectively.