2.702, 2.714, 2.714a, 2.717 and 2.721 of the Commission's Regulations, all as amended, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is being established in the following proceeding.

Radiation Oncology Center at Marlton (ROCM), Marlton, New Jersey
Byproduct Materials License No. 29–28685–01, EA 93–072

This Board is being established pursuant to the request of the Licensee for an enforcement hearing regarding an Order issued by the Deputy Executive Director for Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards and Operations Support, dated April 24, 1995, entitled ''Order Imposing a Civil Monetary Penalty'' (60 Fed. Reg. 21570–75, May 2, 1995). The order directed the payment of penalties in the amount of $80,000.

The designation of a time and place of any hearing will be issued at a later date.

All correspondence, documents and other materials shall be filed in accordance with 10 CFR 2.701. The Board consists of the following Administrative Judges:

Judge Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555
Judge Lester S. Rubenstein, 14540 N. Chalk Creek Drive, Oro Valley, Arizona 85737
Judge James C. Lamb III, 2401 Old Ivy Road, #1204, Charlottesville, Virgina 22903.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of May 1995.

James P. Gleason,

Acting Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 95–13754 Filed 6–5–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

Request for a Collection of Information Under the Paperwork Reduction Act; Customer Satisfaction Focus Groups for Premium Payers

AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Notice of request for OMB approval.

SUMMARY: The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation has requested that the Office of Management and Budget (''OMB'') approve a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act. The purpose of this information collection, which will be conducted through focus group meetings, is to help the agency in assessing the efficiency and effectiveness with which it serves premium payers and in designing actions to address identified problems. The effect of this notice is to advise the public of the PBGC's request for OMB approval of, and to solicit public comment on, this collection of information.

ADDRESSES: All written comments (at least three copies) should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 3208, Washington, DC 20503. The request for approval will be available for public inspection at the PBGC Communications and Public Affairs Department, Suite 240, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Holli Beckerman Jaffe, Attorney, Office of the General Counsel, Suite 340, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202–326–4024 (202–326–4179 for TTY and TDD). (These are not toll-free numbers.)

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) establishes policies and procedures for controlling the paperwork burdens imposed by Federal agencies on the public. The Act vests the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) with regulatory responsibility over these burdens, and OMB has promulgated rules on the clearance of collections of information by Federal agencies.

Executive Order 12862, Setting Customer Service Standards, states that, in order to carry out the principles of the National Performance Review, the Federal Government must be customer-driven. It directs all executive departments and agencies that provide significant services directly to the public to provide those services in a manner that seeks to meet the customer service standards established in the Executive Order.

The PBGC has decided to comply with Executive Order 12862 with respect to its premium-paying customers through a two-step methodology, *i.e.* focus groups followed by mail surveys. Because the mail surveys will depend, in part, on the customer expectations developed through the focus groups, the PBGC is requesting, at this time, approval of the focus group information collection only. the PBGC will publish, at a later date, an additional notice, with request for comments, on the second step of the proposed methodology, *i.e.*, a mail survey to be sent to a random sample of plan administrators, plan sponsors, and consultants involved in the PBGC premium payment process.

This collection of information will put a slight burden on a very small percentage of the public, and will affect only those members of the public who volunteer to participate. Five focus group meetings of up to 15 customers will be held. The 75 customers will be selected from plan administrators, plan sponsors, and consultants representing the 57,000 defined benefit pension plans subject to the PBGC premium requirement. The PBGC estimates that the total burden hours for each respondent will be 3 hours, consisting of a focus group meeting lasting 2 hours and round-trip transportation time of 1 hour, for a total of 225 burden hours (75 respondents at an estimated 3 hours each).

Issued at Washington, DC, this 1st day of June, 1995.

Martin Slate,

Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 95–13809 Filed 6–5–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7708–01–M

Request for Extension of Approval Under the Paperwork Reduction Act; Collection of Information Under 29 CFR Part 2648, Redetermination of Withdrawal Liability Upon Mass Withdrawal

AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Notice of request for extension of OMB approval.

SUMMARY: This notice advises the public that the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation has requested extension of approval by the Office of Management and Budget for a currently approved collection of information (1212–0034) contained in its regulation on Redetermination of Withdrawal Liability Upon Mass Withdrawal (29 CFR part 2648). Current approval of the collection of information expires on July 31, 1995.

ADDRESSES: All written comments should be addressed to: Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (1212–0031), Washington, DC 20503. The request for extension will be available for public inspection at the PBGC Communications and Public Affairs Department, Suite 240, 1200 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005–4026, between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4 p.m..

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Deborah C. Murphy, Attorney, Office of the General Counsel, Suite 340, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005–4026, 202–326–4024 (202–326–4179 for TTY and TDD).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This collection of information is contained in the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation's (''PBGC's'') regulation on Redetermination of Withdrawal Liability Upon Mass Withdrawal (29 CFR Part 2648).

Section 4219(c)(1)(D) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (''ERISA'') requires that the PBGC prescribe regulations for the allocation of a multiemployer plan's total unfunded vested benefits in the event of a ''mass withdrawal,'' *i.e.*, either (1) A plan termination due to the withdrawal of every employer or (2) a withdrawal of substantially all employers pursuant to an agreement or arrangement to withdraw. The regulation on Redetermination of Withdrawal Liability Upon Mass Withdrawal is issued pursuant to this statutory requirement. The regulation also provides rules under ERISA section 4209(c), dealing with an employer's liability for *de minimis* amounts if the employer withdraws in a ''substantial withdrawal,'' *i.e.*, a withdrawal of substantially all employers within one year (without regard to whether there is an agreement or arrangement to withdraw).

The purpose of the regulation is to protect plan participants and beneficiaries against loss of non-guaranteed vested benefits, and the multiemployer plan insurance program against large claims, by requiring that all unfunded vested benefits be allocated to withdrawing employers. In a non-termination mass withdrawal case, the full allocation of unfunded vested benefits to withdrawing employers also reduces the burden on employers that remain in the plan, thus encouraging continuation of the plan. The reporting requirements in the regulation further these purposes by providing information to the PBGC so that it can monitor the plan.

The reports to the PBGC required by the regulation identify the reporting plan as having experienced a ''mass withdrawal'' or ''substantial withdrawal'' and provide certifications that the plan has determined and assessed mass withdrawal liability or liability for *de minimis* amounts, as appropriate. This enables the PBGC to monitor the plan's compliance with the relevant provisions of ERISA and the regulation. By assuring compliance with these rules, the PBGC guards against the increased risk of plan insolvency (with resulting benefit losses to participants and claims against the insurance program) cause by the ''mass withdrawal'' or ''substantial withdrawal.''

For purposes of estimating the burden of reporting under the regulation, the PBGC assumes that there is one ''mass withdrawal'' and one ''substantial withdrawal'' subject to the regulation each year. (Such events are actually experienced less frequently.) For each ''mass withdrawal'' subject to the regulation, a plan must send to the PBGC a notice of mass withdrawal (requiring about 40 minutes to prepare) and two certifications that liability has been determined and assessed as required by the regulation (requiring about 30 minutes each to prepare). For each substantial withdrawal subject to the regulation, a plan must sent to the PBGC a combined notice and certification (requiring about 1 hour to prepare). Accordingly, the PBGC estimates that the total annual burden of reporting under the regulation is 2 hours and 40 minutes.

Issued at Washington, DC, this 1st day of June 1995.

Martin Slate,

Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 95–13808 Filed 6–5–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7708–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Rel. No. IC–21100; File No. 812–9426]

John Hancock Variable Series Trust I, et al.

May 30, 1995.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission (''SEC'' or the ''Commission'').

ACTION: Notice of application for exemption under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the ''1940 Act'').

APPLICANTS: John Hancock Variable Series Trust I (the ''Trust''), any series of the Trust which may be established in the future, John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company (''John Hancock''), and all registered investment companies for which John Hancock may serve as the investment advisor in the future (the ''Funds'').

RELEVANT 1940 ACT SECTIONS: Order requested under Section 6(c) of the 1940 Act for exemptions from Section 17(d) and Rule 17d–1 thereunder.

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicants seek an order permitting the existing series of the Trust to pool daily uninvested cash balances, together with the balances of any futures series of the Trust and any of the Funds, into a joint account for the purpose of investing the cash balances in short-term repurchase agreements, commercial paper and other short-term investments.

FILING DATE: The application was filed on December 19, 1994, and Applicants represent that an amendment to the application will be filed during the notice period.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing on this application by writing to the Secretary of the SEC and serving Applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests must be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on June 26, 1995 and should be accompanied by proof of service on Applicants in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, by certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the interest, the reason for the request and the issues contested. Persons may request notification of the date of a hearing by writing to the Secretary of the SEC.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, SEC, 450 Fifth Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20549. Applicants: James C. Hoodlet, Law Department, T–55, John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company, P.O. Box 111, 200 Clarendon Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02117.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara J. Whisler, Senior Counsel, or Wendy Friedlander, Deputy Chief, both at (202) 942–0670, Office of Insurance Products, Division of Investment Management.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Following is a summary of the application, the complete application is available for a fee from the Public Reference Branch of the SEC.

Applicants' Representations

1. The Trust, an open-end diversified management investment company of the series type, currently has nine separate investment portfolios (the ''Portfolios''), each of which has separate investment objectives, policies and restrictions.

John Hancock serves as the investment advisor to the Trust. Each Portfolio of the Trust pays John Hancock a management fee based on a percentage of the average daily net assets of that Portfolio. Shares of the Trust are sold to separate accounts (the ''Accounts''), funding both variable life insurance