

Freedom of Information Public Reading Room, 1E-1990, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20585 between 9:00 am and 4:00 pm, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The transcript will also be made available at the Department's Field Office Reading Room locations.

Issued at Washington, DC on June 1, 1995.

Rachel Murphy Samuel,
Acting Deputy Advisory Committee
Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-13815 Filed 6-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

Advisory Committee on the Demonstration and Commercial Application of Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency, Open Meeting

Under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463; 86 Stat. 770), notice is hereby given of the following meeting:

Name: Advisory Committee on the Demonstration and Commercial Application of Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Technologies.

Date and Time: June 21, 1995, 10:30 a.m.-4:30 p.m.

Place: The Grand Hotel, 2350 M Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Contact: Thomas W. Sacco, Office of Technical Assistance (EE-542), Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585, Telephone 202/586-0759.

Purpose of Committee: To advise the Secretary of Energy on the development of the solicitation and evaluation criteria for commercialization ventures, and on otherwise carrying out her responsibilities under the Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Technology Competitiveness Act of 1989 (Public Law 101-218, 42 U.S.C. 12005), as amended by the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (Public Law 102-486, 42 U.S.C. 13201).

Tentative Agenda: Briefings and discussions of:

- Review of DOE solicitation for a financial intermediary for program implementation;
- Discussion of contents of final report;
- Other matters requiring Committee consideration;
- Public Comment Period (10 minute rule).

Public Participation: The meeting is open to the public. Written statements may be filed with the Committee either before or after the meeting. Members of the public who wish to make oral statements pertaining to agenda items should contact Thomas W. Sacco at the address or telephone number listed above. Requests to make oral presentations must be received 2 days prior to the meeting; reasonable provision will be made to include the statement in the agenda. The Chair of the

Committee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business.

Minutes: The minutes of the meeting will be available for public review and copying within 30 days at the Freedom of Information Public Reading Room 1E-190, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Issued at Washington, DC, on June 1, 1995.

Rachel Murphy Samuel,

Acting Deputy Advisory Committee
Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-13816 Filed 6-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER95-778-000, et al.]

Pacific Gas & Electric Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

May 26, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-778-000]

Take notice that on May 16, 1995, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), tendered for filing a letter of clarification to the amendment to the System Bulk Power Sale and Purchase Agreement (Bulk Power Agreement) between PG&E and the City of Santa Clara (City of Santa Clara), previously filed in this docket on March 21, 1995. The Bulk Power Agreement was initially filed in FERC Docket No. ER87-498-000 and designated as PG&E Rate Schedule FERC No. 108.

PG&E's filing seeks to clarify § 7.2 of the amendment regarding certain rights to seek unilateral rate changes.

Copies of this filing were served upon Santa Clara and the California Public Utilities Commission.

Comment date: June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company

[Docket No. EC94-14-000]

The Toledo Edison Company

Take notice that on May 25, 1995, a notice of filing was inadvertently issued in this docket. That notice is hereby rescinded.

3. The Washington Water Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-982-0000]

Take notice that on May 16, 1995, The Washington Water Power Company (WWP), tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, a request to withdraw its earlier filing (FERC Docket No. ER95-982-000) of an Agreement for the sale of firm capacity and associated energy to the Inland Power and Light Company.

Comment date: June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Kansas City Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-1044-000]

Take notice that on May 15, 1995, Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCPL), tendered for filing a Service Agreement dated May 5, 1995, between KCPL and Enron Power Marketing, Inc. (EPMI). KCPL proposes an effective date of May 5, 1995, and requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirement. This Agreement provides for the rates and charges for Non-Firm Transmission Service between KCPL and EPMI.

In its filing, KCPL states that the rates included in the above-mentioned Service Agreement are KCPL's rates and charges which are under review by the Commission in Docket No. ER94-1045-000 and which are subject to refund pursuant to the Commission's order in that docket.

Comment date: June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Northeast Utilities Service Company

[Docket No. ER95-1045-000]

Take notice that on May 15, 1995, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), tendered for filing, a Service Agreement with InterCoast Power Marketing, Inc. (InterCoast) under the NU System Companies' System Power Sales/Exchange Tariff No. 6.

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to InterCoast.

NUSCO requests that the Service Agreement become effective sixty (60) days after receipt of this filing by the Commission.

Comment date: June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Southern California Edison Company

[Docket No. ER95-1046-000]

Take notice that on May 15, 1995, Southern California Edison Company (Edison), tendered for filing the following Supplemental Agreement (Supplemental Agreement) to the 1990 Integrated Operations Agreement (IOA)

between the City of Anaheim (Anaheim) and Edison, FERC Rate Schedule No. 246: Supplemental Agreement For The Integration Of Non-Firm Energy From Platte River Power Authority Between Southern California Edison Company And City of Anaheim.

The Supplemental Agreement sets forth the terms and conditions by which Edison will integrate Anaheim's purchases of non-firm energy under Service Schedule B of the Energy Sales Agreement between Anaheim and Platte River Power Authority. Edison is requesting waiver of the 60-day prior notice requirements, and requests the Commission to assign to the Agreement an effective date of May 16, 1995.

Copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California and all interested parties.

Comment date: June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Ruffin Energy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1047-000]

Take notice that on May 15, 1995, Ruffin Energy Services, Inc. (Ruffin), an Oklahoma corporation, petitioned the Commission for acceptance of Ruffin, Rate Schedule FERC No. 1, providing for the sale of electricity at market-based rates, the granting of certain blanket approvals, and the waiver of certain Commission regulations.

Comment date: June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Northeast Utilities Service Company

[Docket No. ER95-1048-000]

Take notice that on May 15, 1995, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), tendered for filing, a Service Agreement with LG&E Power Marketing, Inc. (LG&E) under the NU System Companies' System Power Sales/Exchange Tariff No. 6.

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to LG&E.

NUSCO requests that the Service Agreement become effective sixty (60) days after receipt of this filing by the Commission.

Comment date: June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Gateway Energy, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1049-000]

Take notice that on May 15, 1995, GPU Service Corporation on behalf of Gateway Energy Inc. tendered for filing an initial rate schedule for the sale of energy and capacity at market-based rates.

Comment date: June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Sonat Power Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1050-000]

Take notice that on May 16, 1995, Sonat Power Marketing Inc. (SPM), filed an application with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission requesting acceptance of its proposed Rate Schedule FERC No. 1, authorizing market-based rates, granting waivers of certain Commission regulations and granting certain blanket approvals. Consistent with these requests, SPM seeks authority to engage in the business of power marketing and to sell power at market-based rates.

SPM is a wholly and subsidiary of Sonat Energy Services Company and Sonat Inc. SPM is not in the business of generating, transmitting, or distributing electric power.

Comment date: June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. El Paso Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-1051-000]

Take notice that on May 16, 1995, El Paso Electric Company (EPE), tendered for filing Amendment No. 2 to the West Mesa Reactor Switch Agreement (Agreement) between EPE and Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM). Amendment No. 2 amends the Agreement by extending its term and amending the provision of operation of the West Mesa reactor switch. EPE requests that the Commission waive the appropriate notice provisions to accept the Agreement, as amended, for filing as of its date of execution, May 5, 1995.

Copies of this filing were served upon PNM and the appropriate state public service commissions.

Comment date: June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Public Service Company of New Mexico

[Docket No. ER95-1052-000]

Take notice that on May 16, 1995, Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM), tendered for filing Interim Agreement #2 (Interim Agreement) between PNM and El Paso Electric Company (EPE); Pre-Phase Shifting Transformer New Mexico Transmission Operating Procedure to the Interim Agreement (Revised Exhibit A) between EPE, PNM, Texas-New Mexico Power Company (TNP) and Plains Electric Generating and Transmission Cooperative, Inc. (Plains); the EPE/PNM Operating Procedure No. 10,

Incremental Energy Cost (Operating Procedure 10), to the EPE-PNM Interconnection Agreement and a Letter Agreement between EPE and PNM regarding the EPE-Tucson Electric Power Company (TEP) Interconnection Agreement (Letter Agreement).

The Interim Agreement sets forth an understanding between PNM and EPE for the operation of the New Mexico Transmission System. Revised Exhibit A is an operating procedure and provides the basis under which southern New Mexico transmission import capability can be maintained at specified levels prior to the installation of EPE's phase shifting transformer. Operating Procedure 10 establishes EPE's responsibility level for incremental cost incurred by PNM to support a portion of the transmission levels required by EPE. The Letter Agreement provides recognition of the Interconnection Agreement between EPE and Tucson Electric Power Company.

PNM requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements to permit Interim Agreement #2, Revised Exhibit A and Operating Procedure 10 to become effective as of June 1, 1995 and to permit the Letter Agreement to become effective on the day that the operating status of the 345 kV facilities at the Hidalgo and Luna substations is transferred from PNM to EPE.

Copies of this notice have been mailed EPE, TNP, Plains, TEP and the New Mexico Public Utility Commission.

Comment date: June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. Duquesne Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-1053-000]

Take notice that on May 16, 1995, Duquesne Light Company (Duquesne Light), tendered for filing a Coordination Sales Tariff. The tariff provides for sales of Negotiated Capacity and/or Energy, and Emergency Energy. Duquesne Light states that sales under the tariff will be made at negotiated prices no lower than system incremental energy costs and no higher than the Company's fully allocated cost of capacity, plus 110% of incremental energy costs. Duquesne Light has included with the filing a list of prospective customers under the tariff in lieu of filing service agreements with those customers, and states that service will be provided under the tariff only to customers who sign service agreements. Duquesne Light requests that the Commission accept the tariff for filing and that the normally applicable sixty-day suspension period be waived.

Duquesne Light states that copies of the filing have been served on each

potential customer whose name is included on the list attached to the filing.

Comment date: June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

14. Virginia Electric and Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-1054-000]

Take notice that on May 16, 1995, Virginia Electric and Power Company (Virginia Power), tendered for filing a Service Agreement between Kentucky Utilities Company and Virginia Power, dated April 21, 1995 under the Power Sales Tariff to Eligible Purchasers dated May 27, 1994. Under the tendered Service Agreement Virginia Power agrees to provide services to Kentucky Utilities Company under the rates, terms and conditions of the Power Sales Tariff as agreed by the parties pursuant to the terms of Service Schedule B included in the Power Sales Tariff.

Copies of the filing were served upon the Virginia State Corporation Commission and the North Carolina Utilities Commission.

Comment date: June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

15. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1062-000]

Take notice that on May 18, 1995 Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. ("Con Edison") tendered for filing an agreement to provide interruptible transmission service for Catex Vitol Electric, L.L.C. ("Catex").

Con Edison states that a copy of this filing has been served by mail upon Catex.

Comment date: June 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. Public Service Company of Oklahoma

[Docket No. ER95-1065-000]

Take notice that on May 18, 1995, Public Service company of Oklahoma (PSO) tendered for filing a Contract for Electric Service (Contract), dated April 20, 1995, between PSO and Northeast Oklahoma Electric Cooperative, Inc. (NEO) and a Notice of Cancellation of the Second Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement, dated November 11, 1982, between PSO and NEO. Pursuant to the Contract, PSO will provide full-requirements service to NEO at the Mazie, Home, Prior and Sailboat substations. Upon the effectiveness of the Contract, PSO and NEO will no longer have a need for their present interconnection arrangements.

PSO seeks an effective date of May 25, 1995, and, accordingly, seeks waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. Copies of the filing were served on NEO and the Oklahoma Corporation Commission. Copies are also available for public inspection at PSO's offices in Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Comment date: June 8, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-13771 Filed 6-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-341-000]

Texas Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Herbert-Cannelton Looping Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

May 31, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the construction and operation of the facility proposed in the Herbert-Cannelton Looping Project.¹ This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether an environmental impact statement is necessary and whether to approve the project.

¹ Texas Gas Transmission Corporation's application was filed with the Commission under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations.

Summary of the Proposed Project

Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas) wants to expand the capacity of its facilities in Ohio and Hancock Counties, Kentucky to transport 500 million British thermal units per day of natural gas to a local distribution company. Texas Gas requests Commission authorization to construct and operate 0.93 mile of 8-inch-diameter pipeline in Ohio and Hancock Counties. Kentucky needed to transport those volumes.

The general location of the project facility is shown in appendix 1.²

Land Requirements for Construction

Construction of the proposed facility would disturb about 24.66 acres of land. Following construction, about 2.82 acres would be maintained as new right-of-way. About 4.53 acres are located within an existing right-of-way. The remaining 17.33 acres of land would be restored and allowed to revert to its former use.

The EA Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us to discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. We call this "scoping." The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important environmental issues. By this Notice of Intent, the Commission requests public comments on the scope of the issues it will address in the EA. All comments received are considered during the preparation of the EA. State and local government representatives are encouraged to notify their constituents of this proposed action and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

The EA will discuss impacts that could occur as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed project under these general headings:

- Geology and soils.
- Water resources, fisheries, and wetlands.
- Vegetation and wildlife.
- Endangered and threatened species.

² The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the **Federal Register**. Copies are available from the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, Room 3104, 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, or call (202) 208-1371. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail.