

significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Local Public Document Room locations: Emporia State University, William Allen White Library, 1200 Commercial Street, Emporia, Kansas 66801 and Washburn University School of Law Library, Topeka, Kansas 66621.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of May, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Elinor G. Adensam,

Acting Director, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-13759 Filed 6-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 50-389A; DD-95-10]

Florida Power & Light Company' St. Lucie Plant, Unit #2; Issuance of Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), has issued the Director's Decision concerning the petition dated July 2, 1993, filed by Robert A. Jablon, Esq., et. al, on behalf of the Florida Municipal Power Agency (petitioner). The petitioner requested that the NRC take certain enforcement actions against the Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) for allegedly violating the antitrust license conditions applicable to Unit 2 of the St. Lucie plant.

After consideration and careful review of the facts available to the staff and the decision reached in a parallel proceeding involving the same parties and similar issues before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), the Director has determined that the issues raised by the petitioner that could be remedied by the NRC have addressed and resolved in the FERC proceeding(s) so as to require no further action by the NRC. As a result, no proceeding in response to the petition will be instituted. The reasons for this decision are explained in the "Director's Decision under 10 CFR 2.206" (DD-95-10), which is published below.

A copy of the Director's Decision has been filed with the Secretary of the Commission for Commission review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206(c). The Decision will become the final action of the Commission 25 days after issuance, unless the Commission on its own motion institutes review of the Decision within that time as provided in 10 CFR 2.206(c).

Copies of the Petition, dated July 2, 1993, and the Notice of Receipt of

Petition for Director's Decision under 10 CFR 2.206 that was published in the **Federal Register** on September 23, 1993 (58 FR 47919), and other documents related to this Petition are available in the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555 and Local Public Document Room at the Indian River Community College, 3209 Virginia Avenue, Ft. Pierce, FL 33450.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of May 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

William T. Russell,

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-13758 Filed 6-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. 50-213, 50-245, 50-336, 50-423]

Northeast Utilities; Haddam Neck Plant and Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Units 1, 2, 3; Issuance of Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, has taken action with regard to a Petition dated March 3, 1994, by Mr. Ronald Gavensky (Petition for action under 10 CFR 2.206). The Petition pertains to the Haddam Neck Plant and Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Units 1, 2, and 3.

In the Petition, Petitioner, a quality control receipt inspector raises, numerous concerns regarding receipt inspection activities by Northeast Utilities at both the Haddam Neck Plant and Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. Petitioner alleges violations of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, by Northeast Utilities in the receipt inspection area. Petitioner alleges that parts represented as having been inspected and accepted for use were in fact deficient. Petitioner alleges that adequate training, skilled personnel, and necessary tools were not available to perform adequate receipt inspections. Petitioner alleges that he observed unethical and incorrect methods of receipt inspection, and that he sought to identify quality problems within his own department, along with recommendations and solutions, but was not permitted to do so. Finally, Petitioner accuses Northeast Utilities of "white washing" his concerns in the receipt inspection area. Petitioner alleges that, on two occasions, Northeast Utilities' management hired investigators to pursue concerns raised by Petitioner only to conclude that there were no problems. Petitioner requests

that the licenses of Northeast Utilities be temporarily revoked until after the NRC conducts an investigation of Petitioner's allegations.

The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has determined to deny the Petition. The reasons for this denial are explained in the "Director's Decision Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206" (DD-95-11), the complete text of which follows this notice, and is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document rooms located at the Russell Library, 123 Broad Street, Middletown, CT 06457 for the Haddam Neck Plant, and at the Learning Resources Center, Three Rivers Community-Technical College, Thames Valley Campus, 574 New London Turnpike, Norwich, CT 06360, for Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Units 1, 2, and 3.

A copy of the Decision will be filed with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206(c) of the Commissions regulations. As provided by this regulation, the Decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after the date of issuance unless the Commission on its own motion institutes a review of the Decision within that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of May 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

William T. Russell,

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

I. Introduction

On March 3, 1994, Mr. Ronald Gavensky (Petitioner) filed a Petition with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206. In the Petition, the Petitioner, a Northeast Utilities (NU) quality control inspector raised concerns regarding receipt inspection activities by NU at the Haddam Neck Plant and the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.¹

The Petitioner alleged violations of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, by NU in the receipt inspection area. He alleged that parts represented as having been inspected and accepted for use were in

¹ Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (Millstone licensee), an electric operating subsidiary of Northeast Utilities (NU), holds licenses for the operation of Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. The Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company (Haddam Neck licensee), an electric operating company owned in part by NU, holds the license for the Haddam Neck Plant. Reference in the Petition to the "license of Northeast Utilities" refers to the licenses of the Haddam Neck Plant and Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Units 1, 2, and 3.