

*Character and Conditions of Service*

Alternating current, sixty cycles, three-phase, delivered and metered at the low-voltage side of substation.

*Monthly Rate*

Capacity charge: None.

Energy charge: 21.7 mills per kilowatt-hour.

*Minimum Annual Capacity Charge*

None.

*Billing Demand*

Not applicable.

*Adjustments*

For transformer losses: If delivery is made at the high-voltage side of the customer's substation but metered at the low-voltage side, the meter readings will be increased 2 percent to compensate for transformer losses.

[FR Doc. 95-13682 Filed 6-2-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 3074-006 Washington]

### City of Spokane; WA; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

May 30, 1995.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47910), the Office of Hydropower Licensing (OHL) reviewed the Revised Report on Recreational Resources (revised report), filed May 11, 1990, pursuant to Article 29 of the license for the Upriver Project. The facilities proposed in the revised report are being assessed separately from an amendment proposal to raise the forebay by 1.5 feet, filed September 23, 1993. The proposed recreational facilities will not be impacted by the proposed 1.5-foot rise in the forebay. The additional recreation facilities proposed in the revised report will be constructed on projectlands on the Spokane River in Spokane County, Washington. The recreation facilities proposed consist of: (1) The north side development that includes the licensee's half-mile portion of the constructed Centennial Trail and a constructed river access area located in the immediate area of the Upriver Dam spillway; and (2) the unconstructed southside development that includes a visitor information center, bus parking area, and extensive landscaping next to

the forebay to the powerhouse. The staff prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the action. In the EA, staff concludes that approval of the licensee's revised report would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the EA are available for review in the Reference and Information Center, Room 3308, of the Commission's offices at 941 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-13611 Filed 6-2-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

### Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment, Conduct Site Visit, Solicit Interventions, Protests, and Written Scoping Comments

May 26, 1995.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

- a. Type of Application: Minor License (existing non-operating).
- b. Project No. 11509-000.
- c. Date filed: December 5, 1994.
- d. Applicant: City of Albany, Oregon.
- e. Name of Project: City of Albany Hydroelectric Project.

f. Location: On the South Santiam River and the Albany-Santiam Canal in Linn County, Oregon, in the cities of Albany and Lebanon. T12S,R1W, section 19; T12S,R2W sections 2, 3, 11, 23 and 24; T11S,R3W sections 6, 7, 15, 18, and 20-25; T11S,R2W section 12; T11S,R4W, section 12.

g. Filed Pursuant to: Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)-825(r).

h. Applicant Contact:

Mark A. Yeager, P.E., Public Works Director, City of Albany, P.O.Box 490, 250 Broadalbin, Albany, OR 97321, (503) 967-4300.

Beverly Bruesch, Project Planner, David Evans and Associates, Inc., 2828 SW Corbett Avenue, Portland, OR 97201-4830, (503) 223-6663.

i. FERC Contact: Ms. Deborah Frazier-Stutely, (202) 219-2842.

j. Deadline for filing protests, motions to intervene and written scoping comments: July 28, 1995.

k. Status of Environmental Analysis: The application is not ready for environmental analysis at this time—see attached paragraph D8.

l. Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment and Invitation for Written Scoping Comments: The Commission

staff intends to prepare and Environmental Assessment (EA) on this hydroelectric project in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act. In the EA, we will consider both site-specific and cumulative environmental impacts of the project and reasonable alternatives, and will include an economic, financial, and engineering analyses.

A draft EA will be issued and circulated for review by all interested parties. All comments filed on the draft EA will be analyzed by the staff and considered in a final EA. The staff's conclusions and recommendations will then be presented for the consideration by the Commission in reaching its final licensing decision.

### Scoping Meetings

Staff will hold two scoping meetings. A scoping meeting oriented towards the public will be held on Tuesday, June 27, 1995, at 7:00 pm, at the City of Albany, City Hall, 250 Broadalbin, Albany, Oregon. A scoping meeting oriented towards the agencies will be held on Wednesday, June 28, 1995, at 9:30 am, at the Portland Building, 1120 South West 5th Avenue, 2nd floor, Room B, Portland, Oregon.

Interested individuals, organizations, and agencies are invited to attend either or both meetings and assist the staff in identifying the scope of environmental issues that should be analyzed in the EA.

To help focus discussions at the meetings, a scoping document outlining subject areas to be addressed in the EA will be mailed to agencies and interested individuals on the Commission mailing list. Copies of the scoping document will also be available at the scoping meetings.

### Site Visit

A site visit to the City of Albany Hydroelectric Project is planned for June 27, 1995. Those who wish to attend should plan to meet at 8:00 am at the City of Albany's Water Treatment Plant at 300 Vine Street SW, Albany, Oregon. If you plan to attend, contact Mr. G. Matthew Reynolds, City of Albany, by June 23, 1995, at (503) 967-4300 for directions or additional details.

### Objectives

At the scoping meetings the staff will: (1) identify preliminary issues related to the proposed project; (2) identify issues that are not important and do not require detailed analysis; (3) identify reasonable alternatives to be addressed in the EA; (4) solicit from the meeting participants all available information, especially quantified data, on the